WHEREAS, WILLIAM A. CORN and DEAN COMSTOCK appealed the decision of the Planning Commission in denying the Tentative Subdivision Map 78-352 (entitled "Bay View"), which proposes a 61-lot subdivision of Lots 19 through 26, Block 16, Beverly, Map No. 1129 and portions of Lots 16 and 18, Rancho Mission of San Diego, Map No. 330, located on the north side of Market Street and the west side of Pitta Street, in the R-1-5 and R-1-5 HR Zones and within the boundaries of the Southeast San Diego Community Plan; and

WHEREAS, on January 11, 1979, the Planning Commission voted 6 to 0 to deny the tentative map and overrule the decision of the Subdivision Review Board; and

WHEREAS, on January 11, 1979, pursuant to the provisions of Section Nos. 102.0205 and 102.0205.1 of the San Diego Municipal Code, WILLIAM A. CORN and DEAN COMSTOCK appealed the decision of the Planning Commission; and

WHEREAS, said appeal was set for public hearing on February 6, 1979, and testimony having been heard, evidence having been submitted, and the City Council having fully considered the matter and being fully advised concerning the same; and

WHEREAS, the City Council in considering said appeal is empowered by the provisions of the Municipal Code, Section 102.0205, to affirm, reverse or modify, in whole or in part, any determination of the Planning Commission subject to the limitations as are placed by the Municipal Code; and

MIUNUFILMED 00868

WHEREAS, the City Council in considering said appeal is empowered by the provisions of Municipal Code, Section 102.0205, to affirm, reverse or modify, in whole or in part, any determination of the Planning Commission, subject to the limitations as are placed upon the Planning Commission by the Municipal Code; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of The City of San Diego, as follows:

All of the following facts exist with respect to Tentative Subdivision Map 78-352:

- 1. The proposed map is not consistent with the General Plan and the Plan for the Preservation of Parks and Open Space and Southeast San Diego Community Plan which designate the property for open space.
- 2. The design and proposed improvements for the subdivision are not consistent with the General Plan and Southeast San Diego Community Plan and R-1-5 Zoning/Development Regulations.
- 3. The site is physically suitable for residential development.
- 4. The site is suitable for the proposed residential density of development.
- 5. The design of the subdivision and the proposed improvements will not cause substantial environmental damage, based upon the findings of the Environmental Report EQD No. 77-09-55 Amendment.
- 6. The design of the subdivision and the type of improvements will not likely cause serious public health problems.

MICROFILMED SSS773

- 7. The design of the subdivision and the type of improvements are such that they will not conflict with any easements, acquired by the public at large, for access through or use of property within the proposed subdivision.
- 8. That said findings are supported by the minutes, maps and exhibits, all of which are herein incorporated by reference.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the appeal of WILLIAM A. CORN and DEAN COMSTOCK is denied, and Tentative Subdivision Map 78-352 is denied.

APPROVED: JOHN W, WITT, City Attorney

Frederick C. Conrad

Chief Deputy City Attorney

FCC:clh 4/18/79 T.M. 78-352 Or.Dept.:Clerk

MICROFILMED

222777

Passed :	sed and adopted by the Council of The City of San l			FEB 6 1979			
	Councilmen Bill Mitchell Maureen F. O'Connor Bill Lowery Leon L. Williams Fred Schnaubelt Tom Gade Larry Stirling Lucy Killea Mayor Pete Wilson	Yeas	Nays	Not Present	Ineligible		
(Seal)	AUTHENT	TICATED BY:	PETE WILSON Mayor of The City of San Diego, California. CHARLES G. ABDELNOUR City Clerk of The City of San Diego, California. By Barbara Barrage, Depu				

Office of the City Clerk, San Diego, California

Resolution 222773 FEB 6 1979

Number ______Adopted ______

CC-1276 (REV. 10-78)

MICROFILMED 00871