(R.80-1564)

WHEREAS, WILLIAM S. IVANS, et al, appealed the decision of the Planning Commission in approving the proposed T.M. 77-254 for La Jolla Corona Subdivision which proposes a 48-lot subdivision of Lots 11 through 26, Block 391; Lots 1 through 30, Block 392; Lots 1 through 30, Block 393; Lot 1, Block 394; Lots 27 and 28, Block 452; Lots 1, 2, 17 and 18, Block 453, Map No. 975; and Lot 9, La Jolla Butterfield Estates, Map No. 3782; and a portion of Lot 5 of Pueblo Lot 1782. The subject property is located between the southerly terminus of La Jolla Corona Drive and the northerly terminus of Linda Rosa Avenue, easterly of Bellevue Avenue in the R-1-5, R-1-10 and R-1-40 (HR Overlay) Zones; and

WHEREAS, on January 25, 1979, the Planning Commission voted 6 to 0 to deny the appeal, approve the tentative map and uphold the decision of the Subdivision Board; and

WHEREAS, on February 9, 1979, pursuant to the provisions of Section 102.0308 of the San Diego Municipal Code, WILLIAM S. IVANS, et al, appealed the decision of the Planning Commission; and

WHEREAS, said appeal was set for public hearing on March 6, 1979, testimony having been heard, evidence having been submitted, and the City Council having fully considered the matter and being fully advised concerning the same; and

WHEREAS, the City Council in considering said appeal is empowered by the provisions of Municipal Code, Section 102.0308, to affirm, reverse or modify in whole or in part any determination



of the Planning Commission subject to the limitations as are placed upon the Planning Commission by the Municipal Code; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of The City of San Diego, as follows:

That the City Council adopts findings of the Subdivision Board as follows:

- 1. The proposed map is consistent with the General Plan and La Jolla Community Plan which designate the property for residential use.
- 2. The design and proposed improvements for the subdivision are consistent with the General Plan and La Jolla Community Plan and PRD 132 Development Regulations.
- 3. The site is physically suitable for residential development.
- 4. The site is suitable for the proposed residential density of development.
- 5. The design of the subdivision and the proposed improvements will not cause substantial environmental damage based upon the findings of the Environmental Report EQD.

 No. 77-09-1, adopted by the Planning Commission and City Council.
- 5. The design of the subdivision and the type of improvements will not likely cause serious public health problems inasmuch as the map is consistent with PRD 132 and conforms with City development regulations.
- 7. The design of the subdivision and the type of improvements are such that they will not conflict with any easements, acquired by the public at large, for access through or use of

property within the proposed subdivision.

8. That said Findings are supported by the minutes, maps and exhibits, all of which are herein incorporated by reference.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the appeal of WILLIAM S.

IVANS, et al, is denied; the decision of the Planning Commission to deny the appeal and uphold the decision of the Subdivision Board is sustained, and said tentative map is hereby granted.

APPROVED: JOHN W. WITT, City Attorney

Frederick C. Conrad

Chief Deputy City Attorney

FCC:ps 2/27/80 T.M. 77-254 Or.Dept. Clerk

MICROFILMED

	and adopted by the Council of	The City of San Diego	onMA	R 61979 ,
	Councilmen Bill Mitchell Maureen F. O'Connor Bill Lowery Leon L. Williams Fred Schnaubelt Tom Gade Larry Stirling Lucy Killea Mayor Pete Wilson	Yeas N	Nays Not Present	Ineligible
AUTHENTICATED BY:				
(Seal)		City	PETE WILSO ayor of The City of San D CHARLES G. ABD Clerk of The City of San Arcasa	iego, California. ELNOUR ,

Office of the City Clerk, San Diego, California

Resolution

222995

Adonted

MAR 61979

CC-1276 (REV. 10-78)