

RESOLUTION No. R-250800 <sup>79-1907</sup>  
(R- )


Adopted on DEC 10 1979

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of The City of San Diego as follows:

That Council Policy No. 000-11 entitled, "APPEALS FROM DECISIONS OF THE POLICE CHIEF RELATING TO POLICE REGULATED BUSINESSES," be and the same is hereby amended as set forth in Council Policy filed in the office of the City Clerk as Document No. RR-250800.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the City Clerk be and he is hereby instructed to add the aforesaid to the Council Policy Manual.

APPROVED: JOHN W. WITT, City Attorney

By   
David W. Ryan  
Deputy City Attorney

DWR:rc:011.1  
10/18/79  
Or. Dept.: PS&S Committee

00102

0110

COUNCIL POLICY

SUBJECT	POLICY NUMBER	EFFECTIVE DATE	PAGE
APPEALS FROM DECISIONS OF THE POLICE CHIEF RELATIVE TO POLICE-REGULATED BUSINESSES	000-11		1 of 4

BACKGROUND

Chapter III of the San Diego Municipal Code designates a number of occupations and businesses as "Police Regulated." As such, the Chief of Police is given the authority to approve, deny, suspend, or revoke working permits or business licenses for such police-regulated concerns. Due process of law requires persons so affected a right of appeal.

PURPOSE

To provide a standardized procedure for appeals from decisions of the Chief of Police relative to police-regulated businesses and occupations in the absence of specific statutory or Municipal Code provisions therefor.

DEFINITIONS

For purposes of this policy:

"Appellant" means any person or organization seeking review of a decision of the Chief of Police or the Hearing Officer.

"Hearing Officer" means any person or organization designated by the City Manager to review decisions of the Chief of Police relative to police-regulated businesses or occupations.

"Licensees" means any person or organization licensed to conduct business pursuant to the San Diego Municipal Code.

POLICY

It is the policy of the City that all appeals from decisions of the Chief of Police relating to police-regulated businesses shall be heard by a hearing officer and follow due process of law in accordance with the following procedures.

PROCEDURE PRIOR TO HEARING BY A HEARING OFFICER

1. Within ten (10) days of written notice of a decision by the Chief of Police to revoke or suspend a license, that individual may appeal the Police Department's decision to a hearing officer by filing a petition to that effect with the City Manager.

00103

DOCUMENT NO. RR-250800

FILED DEC 13 1979

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK  
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

COUNCIL POLICY

SUBJECT	POLICY NUMBER	EFFECTIVE DATE	PAGE
APPEALS FROM DECISIONS OF THE POLICE CHIEF RELATIVE TO POLICE-REGULATED BUSINESSES	000-11		2 of 4

2. The effect of a decision of the Chief of Police shall be stayed while an appeal to a hearing officer is pending, or until the time for filing such appeal has expired.

PROCEDURE BY HEARING OFFICER

1. Hearings heard by a hearing officer shall be conducted with recognition of constitutional rights of due process of law including proper notice, the right to appear at the hearing personally or with representation, the right to present evidence, the right to confront and examine witnesses and the right to have written findings and conclusions.

2. The hearing officer may consider hearsay evidence as part of his determination except that no finding may be based solely on such hearsay evidence unless the hearsay evidence is supportive or supplementary to other legally competent evidence. Hearsay may be used if it would be admissible in a civil action.

3. The hearing officer may also consider arrests without conviction as part of his determination, however, only under unusual circumstances should such arrests be the sole finding upon which an appellant's license is revoked or suspended.

4. All licensees, including absentee licensees, can be held accountable for the conduct of their employees or agents if notice of the employee's conduct has been provided to the licensee prior to its reoccurrence.

5. Licenses may be suspended or revoked at all licensed establishments of a licensee when it is shown that a pattern of unlawful activity is occurring at fewer than all licensed establishments and the licensee does not or is unable to control the unlawful activities from occurring.

6. The hearing officer shall notify the appellant of his findings and decision, in writing, no later than ten (10) days after reaching his decision.

COUNCIL POLICY

SUBJECT	POLICY NUMBER	EFFECTIVE DATE	PAGE
APPEALS FROM DECISIONS OF THE POLICE CHIEF RELATIVE TO POLICE-REGULATED BUSINESSES	000-11		3 of 4

PROCEDURE SUBSEQUENT TO HEARING OFFICER HEARING (AND PRIOR TO PUBLIC SERVICES AND SAFETY COMMITTEE HEARING, IF APPLICABLE)

1. Within ten (10) days after a written decision of the hearing has been received by an appellant, a member of the City Council may request the Public Services and Safety Committee to review that decision of the hearing officer by notifying the Committee Consultant to that effect, in writing.

2. If so notified, the Committee Consultant shall cause the matter to be set for a hearing before the Public Services and Safety Committee on a regular agenda within twenty-one (21) days after such notification. The Committee Consultant shall notify the appellant, the Chief of Police, and the City Manager, of the date, time and place of such hearing at least seven (7) days before the hearing date.

3. After the City Manager has been notified that an appeal of a hearing officer decision has been filed, he shall cause a copy of the hearing officer's written report to be issued to members of the City Council at least three (3) days prior to the date set for hearing by the Committee.

4. The appellant may submit, in writing, his own statement of facts and any other relevant information he may desire to bring before the Public Services and Safety Committee to the Committee Consultant and City Attorney at least three (3) days prior to the date of the Committee hearing.

5. The decision of the hearing officer shall be stayed while an appeal to the Public Services and Safety Committee is pending, or until the time for filing such an appeal has expired.

6. If a member of Council does not utilize the aforementioned appeal process within the specified time period, the decision of the hearing officer shall be the final administrative remedy.

7. If the Public Services and Safety Committee does hear an appeal, the decision of that Committee shall be the final administrative remedy, subject to the permanent rules of the Council.

PROCEDURE AT COUNCIL AND COMMITTEE HEARINGS

1. The Council or Committee review shall be to determine whether an appellant has been afforded due process of law by the hearing

COUNCIL POLICY

SUBJECT	POLICY NUMBER	EFFECTIVE DATE	PAGE
APPEALS FROM DECISIONS OF THE POLICE CHIEF RELATIVE TO POLICE-REGULATED BUSINESSES	000-11		

officer and to review if the findings and conclusions have been supported by substantial evidence.

2. The City Attorney shall briefly state the positions of the appellant and the department, and the issues for decision by the Council or Committee.

3. Witnesses shall not be heard or other evidence received unless the Council or Committee determines that such witnesses or other evidence were unknown or undiscoverable in the exercise of due diligence at the time of the hearing officer's hearing.

4. The appellant shall present his case to the Council or Committee. The department shall then present its case after which the appellant shall be afforded an opportunity for rebuttal.

5. The Council or Committee may at any stage of the proceedings direct questions to the appellant or the department. It may also summon witnesses or call for other evidence, and continue the hearing to a later time.

6. After the presentation by the appellant and the department, the Council or Committee shall, upon motion, close the hearing and make its decision on the appeal. Three (3) affirmative votes of a Council Committee and five (5) votes of the full Council are required to reverse or modify the decision of a hearing officer.

PROCEDURE FOLLOWING COUNCIL AND COMMITTEE HEARINGS

1. The Committee Consultant shall notify the appellant, in writing, of the findings and decision of the Committee no later than ten (10) days after the Committee hearing.

Passed and adopted by the Council of The City of San Diego on DEC 10 1979,  
 by the following vote:

Councilmen	Yeas	Nays	Not Present	Ineligible
Bill Mitchell	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Bill Cleator	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Bill Lowery	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Leon L. Williams	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Fred Schnaubelt	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mike Gotch	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Larry Stirling	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Lucy Killea	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mayor Pete Wilson	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

AUTHENTICATED BY:

PETE WILSON  
 Mayor of The City of San Diego, California.

CHARLES G. ABDELNOUR  
 City Clerk of The City of San Diego, California.

(Seal)

By William Borham, Deputy.

Office of the City Clerk, San Diego, California	
Resolution Number	<u>R-250800</u> Adopted <u>DEC 10 1979</u>