(0-82-249)

ORDINANCE NUMBER O- 15758 (New Series)

Adopted on AUG 2 1982

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER II, ARTICLE 2, DIVISION 10 OF THE SAN DIEGO MUNICIPAL CODE BY AMENDING SECTIONS 22.1010, 22.1011, 22.1012, 22.1013, 22.1014, 22.1016, 22.1017 AND 22.1018, RELATING TO SUGGESTION AWARDS PROGRAM.

BE IT ORDAINED, by the Council of The City of San Diego, as follows:

Section 1. That Chapter II, Article 2, Division 10 of the San Diego Municipal Code be and it is hereby amended by amending Sections 22.1010, 22.1011, 22.1012, 22.1013, 22.1014, 22.1016, 22.1017 and 22.1018 to read as follows:

SEC. 22.1010 SUGGESTION AWARDS COMMITTEE

There is hereby established a Suggestion Awards

Committee to be composed of nine (9) members selected and appointed as follows:

- 1. The City Manager or his alternate.
- 2. Four (4) department directors or their alternates appointed by the City Manager.
- 3. One representative each of AFL-CIO Local 145-International Fire Fighters Association, AFL-CIO Local
 127--American Federation of State, County and Municipal
 Employees, San Diego Police Officers Association, and
 Municipal Employees Association.

SEC. 22.1011 TERM OF OFFICE

The term of office for Committee members other than

the City Manager, or his/her alternate, shall not exceed one year; provided, however, that such member may serve for more than one term.

SEC. 22.1012 SUGGESTION AWARDS COORDINATOR

There shall be a full-time executive secretary to the Committee known as the Suggestion Awards Coordinator, appointed by the City Manager, who shall be subject to the policy direction of the Committee and to the daily administrative supervision of the appointing authority.

SEC. 22.1013 OUORUM

Any five (5) members of the Committee shall constitute a quorum.

SEC. 22.1014 COMMITTEE VOTING

Each member of the Committee shall have one vote, and at least five (5) affirmative votes of the Committee shall be necessary to pass any matter requiring Committee action. SEC. 22.1016 SUGGESTIONS ELIGIBLE FOR AWARDS

All suggestions submitted by employees of the City are eligible for an award with the following exceptions:

- 1. Suggestions concerning items contained in a Memorandum of Understanding.
 - 2. Suggestions concerning compensation and benefits.
 - 3. Requests for standard items.
- 4. Solutions to problems that fall within the specific scope of an officially assigned task or responsibility.
 - 5. Ideas which duplicate previous suggestions.
- 6. Ideas that were already under active and continuous consideration prior to the receipt of the suggestion.

- 7. Increases in fees or charges.
- 8. Ideas that are the result of a problem-solving group formed by management.

Any eligible employee submitting a suggestion which is not placed into effect shall retain award eligibility for three years from the date of Committee action. Once the three-year period has elapsed, no obligation exists to award the suggestion, unless the eligible employee resubmits the suggestion in accordance with Committee rules.

Any eligible employee submitting a suggestion which is placed into effect shall not lose his or her eligibility for an award by reason of termination of employment or by becoming ineligible subsequent to submission of the suggestion.

SEC. 22.1017 AWARDS

Upon a finding by the Committee that a net savings or net increase in revenue will accrue to the City through adopting and placing the suggestion into operation, the Committee may recommend to the Council that an award be made appropriate to the category of eligibility of the suggester. Three categories of eligibility are established, as follows:

- 1. Category I: Employees who are expected to develop cost saving ideas in all areas of City operations as a natural extension of their normal job duties. Category I employees shall be eligible for a recognition award.
 - 2. Category II: Employees who are expected to develop

cost saving ideas within their area of professional expertise and career development. Category II employees shall be eligible for merchandise awards according to the following schedule:

Savings	Value of Merchandise Award
\$ 0 - \$ 100	Recognition
\$ 101 - \$ 250	\$ 25
\$ 251 - \$ 500	\$ 50
\$ 501 - \$1,000	\$ 100
\$1,001 - \$2,500	\$ 250
\$2,501 - \$5,000	\$ 500
\$5,001 +	\$1,000

3. Category III: Employees who are not normally expected to develop cost saving ideas outside the area of their specific job assignment. Category III employees shall be eligible for an award not to exceed \$5,000 or thirty percent (30%) of the estimated first year's net sarings or net increase in revenue, whichever is less.

The City Manager shall determine the Category of Eligibility for each job classification, and shall publish and distribute this information for guidance of all employees.

Where the Committee finds that it is impracticable or uneconomical to estimate the amount of such savings or increase in revenue, the Committee may nevertheless recommend that the Category I suggester receive a recognition award, the Category II suggester receive a \$50 merchandise award and the Category III suggester be paid a monetary award not to exceed \$500 for any one suggestion.

Where the Committee finds that the suggestion cannot be adopted or placed in operation but that the suggestion has led directly to the adoption of improvements or changes that result in a savings or increase in revenue to the City, the Committee may recommend to the Council that the Category I suggester receive a recognition award, the Category II suggester receive a \$50 merchandise award, and the Category III suggester be paid a monetary award not to exceed \$500 for any one suggestion.

At the end of the first twelve (12) months following the granting of any monetary award of less than \$5,000 to a Category III employee, or any merchandise award of less than \$1,000 to a Category II employee, the Committee shall reinvestigate such suggestion and shall determine or estimate the extent to which said suggestion has resulted in a net savings or a net increase in revenue to the City.

For a Category III employee, if the Committee concludes that a net savings or net increase in revenue for such twelve-month period has been realized and that the previous award was less than thirty percent (30%) of such savings, then the Committee may recommend that the suggester be paid an additional award not to exceed thirty percent (30%) of the difference between the original award and the reevaluated first year's net savings or net increase in revenue.

For Category II employees, if the Committee concludes that a net savings or net increase in revenue for such twelve-month period has been realized and that the value of

the previous merchandise award was less than appropriate for such savings, then the Committee may recommend that the suggester receive an additional merchandise award with a value not to exceed the difference between the value of the merchandise award and the value of the merchandise award appropriate for the reevaluated first year's net savings or net increase in revenue. In no event, however, shall the aggregate total monetary award for any one suggestion exceed \$5,000 or the aggregate total of merchandise awards for any one suggestion exceed the value of \$1,000.

SEC. 22.1018 COUNCIL APPROVAL OF AWARDS

Upon receiving a recommendation from the Committee, the Council shall consider the recommendation and may approve it. Upon approval by the Council of a recommendation of the Committee, the award shall be made.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force on the thirtieth day from and after its passage.

APPROVED: John W. Witt, City Attorney

Rν

Stuart H. Swett

Chief Deputy City Attorney

SHS:rc:361 6/4/82

Or.Dept:Risk Mgmt.

Form=none

Passed and adopted by the Cou	San Diego on	AUG 0 2 1982			
y the following vote:					
Councilmen	Yeas	Nays	Not Present	Ineligible	
Bill Mitchell		. 📙			
Bill Cleator	4				
Susan Golding					
Leon L. Williams					
Ed Struiksma	र् र प				
Mike Gotch					
Dick Murphy	<u> </u>	, 🖳			
Lucy Killea		_ =			
Mayor Pete Wilson	المعا				·
UTHENTICATED BY:		*******************************	PETE WIL		
		Mayor	of The City of San	Diego, Californ	ua.
(Seal)			CHARLES G.		
			rk of The City of S		
		Bulks. A	ue L. h	alecow	6
I HEREBY CERTIFY that playsed between the day of its	introduction and the	nce was not fin	ally passed until Il passage, to wi AUG $\dot{\cdot}$ 2	twelve calend	
JUL 19 198 TOURTHER CERTIFY the ess than a majority of the member of the Council	introduction and the 2 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	day of its fina	AUG 2	twelve calend t, on 1982 consecution sed with by a velable for the co	ar days had
JUL 19 198 THURTHER CERTIFY the ess than a majority of the member of the Council	introduction and the 2 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	day of its final day of the day	AUG 2 Figure 12 finely full was dispense there was available its passage a visual series of its passage and its passage a visual series of its passage and its passage a visual series of its passage and its	twelve calend t, on 1982 sed with by a wallable for the co	ar days had
JUL 19 198	introduction and the 2 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	day of its fina	AUG 2	twelve calend t, on 1982 sed with by a value of the convenience or print	ar days had
JUL 19 198 THURTHER CERTIFY the ess than a majority of the member of the Council	introduction and the 2 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	day of its finate in Council, and the day of City Cl	AUG 2 AUG 2 AUG 2 Final passage available its passage available	twelve calend t, on 1982 sed with by a velable for the convitten or print BDELNOUR	ar days had
JUL 19 198 FURTHER CERTIFY the ess than a majority of the member of the Council aid ordinance.	introduction and the 2 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	day of its finate in Council, and the day of City Cl	AUG 2 AUG 2 Fine 1-1 full was dispense there was available fits passage a value of the City of the	twelve calend t, on 1982 sed with by a velable for the convitten or print BDELNOUR	ar days had
JUL 19 198 FURTHER CERTIFY the ess than a majority of the member of the Council aid ordinance.	introduction and the 2 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	day of its finate in Council, and the day of City Cl	AUG 2 AUG 2 Fine 1-1 full was dispense there was available fits passage a value of the City of the	twelve calend t, on 1982 sed with by a velable for the convitten or print BDELNOUR	ar days had
JUL 19 198 FURTHER CERTIFY the ess than a majority of the member of the Council aid ordinance.	introduction and the 2 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	day of its fina day of its fina day of its fina condinance in Council, and tha for to the day of	AUG 2 AUG 2 For the finel of the was available for the was available fits passage a war of its passage a war of the City of	twelve calend t, on 1982 sed with by a velable for the convitten or print BDELNOUR San Diego, Cali	or days had vote of not onsideration and copy of fornia.
JUL 19 198 FURTHER CERTIFY the ess than a majority of the member of the Council aid ordinance.	introduction and the 2 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	day of its fina day of its fina day of its fina condinance in Council, and tha for to the day of	AUG 2 AUG 2 Fine 1-1 full was dispense there was available fits passage a value of the City of the	twelve calend t, on 1982 sed with by a velable for the convitten or print BDELNOUR San Diego, Cali	or days had vote of not onsideration and copy of fornia.

ord Million

CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION

GAN DIEGO, CALIF.

SAN DIEGO, CITY OF 12th floor, 202 C St. San Diego, CA 92101

ATTN: PONTECORVO

IN THE MATTER OF

NO.

ORDINANCE NO. 0-15788

(New Series)

AN OFDINANCE AMERIDING CHAPTER 8, ARTICLE 2.
OWINION 19 OF THE SAN DEGO NUNCOPAL CODE BY
AMERICING SECTIONS 22.1916, 22.1911, 22.1912, 22.1913,
22.1914, 22.1916, 22.1917, AND 22.1918, RELATING TO SUGGESTION AMARICS PROGRAM.
This ordinance amends Municipal Code provisions governing
the Supposition Awards Coordinator. In addition, suggestion
semposition, term of office, quorum, and the appointing authority
for fire Supposition Awards Coordinator. In addition, suggestion
series that are ineligible for sward are more clearly defined. Suggester eligibility has been redefined into three categories with
each category having a different award schedule based on jetbend and responsibility. Finely, a statute of limitations for nanallegated supposition has been included.
Witroduced on JULLY 18, 1982
Pessed and adopted by the Council of The City of Sen Diago
on AUGUST 2, 1982.
AUTHENTICATED BY:
PETE WILSON, Mayor of the City of Sen Diago, California.
CHARLES G. ASDELNOUR, City Clerk of The City
of Sen Diago, California.

I, Charlene Casselman, am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to or interested in the above-entitled matter. I am the principal clerk of the San Diego Daily Transcript, a newspaper of general circulation, printed and published daily, except Saturdays and Sundays, in the City of San Diego, County of San Diego, and which newspaper has been adjudged a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of San Diego, State of California, under the date of January 23, 1909, Decree No. 14894; and the

ORDINANCE NO. 0-15788 (New Series)

is a true and correct copy of which the annexed is a printed copy and was published in said newspaper on the following date(s), to wit:

August 16, 1982

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at San Diego, California this 16th day of Aug , 1982

(Signature)

00908

2-3/8'x218.87x1 = 42.13