(R-82-1276)

RESOLUTION NUMBER R-255828 Adopted on FEB 161982

WHEREAS, the City declared its support for AB 1743 (Ryan) on July 27, 1981 via Resolution No. R-254701; and

WHEREAS, The Bill died in the State Senate Local Government Committee last year but has been resurrected this session; and

WHEREAS, since last July, the City has received additional information regarding the merits of the Bill and is now aware that significant opposition has been registered to its passage, as indicated in the January 15, 1982 memorandum from the Intergovernmental Relations Department to the Rules Committee, attached hereto as Attachment "A"; and

WHEREAS, as a result of the factors arising since last July, it is deemed prudent at this time to withdraw City support for the Bill, adopt a neutral stance, and pursue additional legislation as appropriate; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of The City of San Diego, that Resolution No. R-254701, adopted July 27, 1981 declaring support for Assembly Bill 1743 (Ryan) be and the same is hereby rescinded.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that The City of San Diego takes no position on Assembly Bill 1743 and directs its Legislative

Representative to pursue additional legislation as may be appropriate.

John W. Witt, City Attorney APPROVED:

Jack Katz Chief Deputy City Attorney

JK:smm 2/4/82

Or.Dept:Rules Form=r.82-1276

MEMORANDUM

"" E NO.:

Phone: 236-6276

DATE : January 15, 1982

The Committee on Rules, Legislation and Intergovernmental Relations

FROM : John Witzel via Director, Intergovernmental Relations Department

SUBJECT: Reconsideration of City Position on AB 1743 (Ryan)

Subject bill, which technically died in Senate Local Government, has been resurrected through a bit of parliamentary maneuvering.

We took a support position on July 27, 1981. I recommend that we reconsider that position for the following reasons:

- The bill would lock into law the definition of costs that could be charged by a county to a city for services rendered under contract. Therefore, we would lose the flexibility to negotiate the type of agreement so successfully worked out as to election costs.
- Presumably, if this restriction is enacted statewide, it could be easily applied to cities in determining costs as a contractor in those cases where we have offered our services to neighboring cities.
- 3. AB 1743 attempts to mandate that which should be negotiated on a local level between the two parties to a contract. In effect, it restricts local authority to determine either the costs to be charged or the costs to be paid. It is a little like binding arbitration prior to the impasse.
- 4. All of the major independent cities in the State oppose AB 1743.
- 5. All of the major counties and CSAC oppose AB 1743.
- 6. The Contract Cities Association is attempting to use AB 1743 to broaden their organization into a statewide group that could eventually divide and weaken the League of Cities.

Unfortunately, this measure is dividing cities at a time when we most need to be united; therefore, I recommend that the City change their position to either oppose or watch because the bill mandates contract provisions, and because we now stand alone in conflict with all of the other independent cities. At the very least we should take no position as opposed to the present support position.

SH:mpa

00825

R- 255828

いいのとないというからからないというか

Passed and adopted by the Council of The City of San Diego on		FEB 1	FEB 1 6 1982	
by the following vote: Councilmen	Yeas Nay		Ineligible	
Bill Mitchell Bill Cleator Susan Golding Leon L. Williams				
Ed Struiksma Mike Gotch Dick Murphy Lucy Killea				
Mayor Pete Wilson				
AUTHENTICA	TED BY:	Direct Met (701	
	Маус	PETE WILS		
(Seal)		CHARLES G. ABI	DELNOUR	
	City C	lerk of The City of Sa	n Diego, California .	
	By Jellen	e a. Bla	chell , Deputy	
	Office of the C	City Clerk, San Diego,	California	
F	Resolution 2558	28 Adopted	FEB 1 6 1982	

CC-1276 (REV. 1-82)