(R-85-66 REV.)

RESOLUTION NUMBER R- 261295 ADOPTED ON JUL 31 1984

WHEREAS, The City of San Diego adopted a Community Plan for Otay Mesa on April 27, 1981; and

WHEREAS, this plan proposed the development of properties within The City of San Diego and the annexation and development of a large industrial area (approximately 3,500 acres) lying south and east of Brown Field; and

WHEREAS, the adopted community plan identified the remainder of the easterly mesa as a future growth area; and

WHEREAS, the County of San Diego adopted on May 18, 1983 the City's Community Plan for the unincorporated area, excluding properties owned by the Otay International Center which were designated and later approved as a specific plan area; and

WHEREAS, in conjunction with the May 1983 action, at the request of the property owners in the easterly mesa future growth area, the Board of Supervisors directed the County Planning staff to begin a land use analysis for this area; and

WHEREAS, in early 1984, an environmental document was produced by the County preparatory to a plan amendment process for the east mesa and The City of San Diego commented at length regarding this document and urged that additional transportation studies be made and alternative land use proposals be considered; and

WHEREAS, the property owners in the east mesa funded a transportation analysis by a consultant firm and the information from this analysis was shared during the Spring of this year with City staff; and

WHEREAS, at the urging of the property owners, County staff has limited its attention primarily to industrial land uses in this east mesa area and County staff brought forward the proposed GPA 84-02 to the and County Planning Commission at its meeting of June 22, 1984; and

WHEREAS, the General Plan Amendment (GPA) 84-02 consists principally of approximately 2,700 acres proposed as industrial land and approximately 2,300 acres of estate residential land; and

WHEREAS, the City Manager in Report No. 84-293, dated July 13, 1984, advised the Rules Committee that if the GPA 84-02 is adopted by the Board of Supervisors, the impacts on The City of San Diego will be dramatic; and

WHEREAS, because of the factors and analysis contained in Report No. 84-293, the City Manager recommended that the Council request the Board of Supervisors to (1) deny the Otay Mesa portion of GPA 84-02 and (2) direct County planning staff to prepare a land use plan in balance with an achievable transportation plan which will mitigate the severe traffic impacts identified with the current land use proposal; and

WHEREAS, the Rules Committee on July 23, 1984, considered said Report No. 84-293 and the City Manager's recommendations and approved them unanimously and now forwards the matter to the full

Council with a recommendation to approve as submitted; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of The City of San Diego, that this Council does hereby approve the City Manager's recommendations set forth in Report No. 84-293 requesting the County Board of Supervisors to (1) deny the Otay Mesa portion of the General Plan Amendment 84-02 and (2) direct the County planning staff to prepare a land use plan in balance with an achievable transportation plan which will mitigate the severe teaffic impacts identified with the current land use proposal.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the City Manager and the Councilmember from District 8 communicate this Council request to the County of San Diego in an expeditious manner.

APPROVED: John W. Witt, City Attorney

Ву

Jack Katz, Chief Deputy

JK:smm 7/25/84

Revised 8/1/84:ps

Or.Dept:Rules

R-85-66

Form=r.none

	d and adopted by the Council of The City of San Diego on . following vote:		n JUL	JUL 3 1 1984	
	Councilmen Bill Mitchell Bill Cleator Gloria McColl William Jones Ed Struiksma Mike Gotch Dick Murphy Uvaldo Martinez Mayor Roger Hedgecock	Yeas Na	ys Not Present	Ineligible	
	AUTHENT	FICATED BY:	ROGER HEDGE	COCK	
		May	or of The City of San D		
(Seal)			CHARLES G. ABD		
			Plerk of The City of San		
		Office of the	City Clerk, San Diego, (California	
		Resolution R-261	295 Adopted	JUL 31 1984	

である。これでは、これでは、100mのでは 100mのでは、100mので