(0-86-47)

ORDINANCE NUMBER O- 16523 (NEW SERIES)

ADOPTED ON OCT 28 1985

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE MISSION VALLEY INTERIM DEVELOPMENT INTENSITY REGULATORY OVERLAY DISTRICT ORDINANCE, ORDINANCE NO. O-16460 (NEW SERIES), ADOPTED JULY 8, 1985, TO CREATE A HEIGHT LIMIT FOR STRUCTURES LOCATED SOUTH OF INTERSTATE 8; TO EXEMPT APPROVED HILLSIDE REVIEW PERMITS; TO ALLOW PLANNING DIRECTOR APPROVAL FOR MINOR MODIFICATIONS TO EXISTING STRUCTURES; AND CORRECT A TYPOGRAPHICAL OMISSION.

BE IT ORDAINED, by the Council of The City of San Diego, as follows:

Section 1. That Section 2., Paragraph 2., of Ordinance No. 16460 (New Series), adopted July 8, 1985, be and the same is hereby amended to read as follows:

2. 140 ADT per gross acre for projects in the area lying north of the center line of Interstate 8 and east of the center line of State Route 163 except for any project lying within the Hillside Review (HR) Overlay District which shall have 140 ADT per net acre as set forth in Paragraph 3. of this section.

(No change to Paragraph Nos. 1. and 3.)

Section 2. That Section 7. of Ordinance No. 16460 (New Series), adopted July 8, 1985, be and the same is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 7. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to any building permit which has been obtained from the City's Building Inspection Department prior to the effective date of this ordinance or to a project proposing modifications to an already constructed building; provided, however, that the affected parcel's existing level of traffic generation is not exceeded.

Notwithstanding any other provision hereof to the contrary, the Planning Director may:

- 1. Waive the permit requirements for an activity regulated under this ordinance when it is determined that the proposed activity is necessary to avoid or abate a hazardous or other unsafe condition; or
- 2. Modify the permit requirements for an activity regulated under this ordinance if, due to the small size, location or other circumstances of the activity, it is demonstrated that such application requirements are not necessary to assure implementation of the purpose and intent of this ordinance.

Section 3. That Section 8. of Ordinance No. 16460 (New Series), adopted July 8, 1985, be and the same is amended to read as follows:

Section 8. The following exceptions to the provisions of this ordinance shall be permitted:

1. Projects permitted under Planned Industrial
Development, Planned Commercial Development, Planned
Residential Development, or Hillside Review Permits approved
prior to the effective date of this ordinance may develop in
accordance with the provisions of the approved permit;
provided, however, that any requested amendments to approved
permits which increase traffic generation shall be subject to
the provisions of this ordinance.

(No change to Paragraph Nos. 2, 3, 4 and 5.)

Section 4. That Section 9. of Ordinance No. 16460 (New

Series), adopted July 8, 1985, be and the same is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 9.

1. PURPOSE AND INTENT

The purpose of this section is the limitation of the height of buildings and structures to 40 feet within that portion of the Mission Valley Interim Development Intensity Regulatory Overlay District located south of Interstate 8.

It is the intent of this section to ensure that all land development in this area will consider the unique relationship of such development to the south slopes of Mission Valley and be so located and designed as to be appropriate to the area, taking into account conditions of development, traffic generation, viewsheds, sound planning practices, the effect on the community and the public health, safety and general welfare.

2. HEIGHT LIMITATION ZONE

That portion of the Mission Valley Interim Development Intensity Regulatory Overlay District designated on amended Zone Map Drawing No. C-688.1 as Area 3, and filed in the office of the City Clerk as Document No. 20-16523, is hereby designated as the Height Limitation Zone of the Mission Valley Interim Development Intensity Regulatory Overlay District.

3. HEIGHT LIMITATION CRITERIA

Within the Mission Valley Interim Development Intensity Regulatory Overlay District, no building or structure shall be established, constructed or altered to a height greater than 40 feet above grade as measured under the provisions of Section 101.0101.62 of the San Diego Municipal Code.

4. EXEMPTIONS

The following projects are exempt from the provisions of this section:

- a. Projects for which building permits have been obtained from the City's Building Inspection Department prior to the effective date of this ordinance.
- b. Projects proposing repairs, alterations or modifications to an already constructed building or structure; provided, however, that the existing building height is not exceeded, and that the proposed repair, alteration or modification does not exceed the 40-foot height limitation.

- c. Projects permitted under Planned Industrial
 Development, Planned Commercial Development, Planned
 Residential Development, or Hillside Review Permits
 approved prior to the effective date of this ordinance
 may develop in accordance with the provisions of the
 approved permit; provided, however, that any requested
 amendments to approved permits which increase building
 heights shall be subject to the provisions of this
 ordinance.
- d. Projects contained within specific plans which have been approved or specific plans which have been initiated by the Planning Commission prior to the effective date of this ordinance may be developed according to the approved specific plans.

5. EXCEPTION PROCEDURE

a. Application.

Upon the filing of a letter or request with the Planning Department for an exception to the Mission Valley Interim Development Intensity Regulatory Overlay District Height Limitation Zone, which letter shall be accompanied by appropriate schematic plot plans, preliminary grading plans, typical floor plans, building elevations, and sections showing the relationship of the project structure to the existing hillsides, the Planning Director shall set a public hearing in

accordance with the noticing and hearing procedures as set forth in Chapter X, Article 1, Division 5, of the San Diego Municipal Code.

b. Development standards.

Exceptions to the 40-foot height limitation may be approved up to 65 feet in height provided that all of the following standards are met:

- (1) A minimum of 30 percent of the total site area located outside of the Hillside Review Zone shall be landscaped in accordance with the standards of the City-Wide Landscape Ordinance;
- (2) Natural existing hillside vegetation and topography shall be preserved. Any non-natural hillsides disturbed by grading shall be recontoured into a naturalistic form and revegetated with native plants;
- (3) Buildings and structures shall be designed and sited so that an open public view corridor is created to the hillside from adjacent public streets and freeways;
- (4) Roof areas shall be designed to enclose mechanical equipment and provide an attractive visual appearance when viewed from above; which may include roof gardens, terraces, or other unique architectural or recreational features; and

(5) In unique or unusual circumstances, where no occupied floor area is proposed, such as for the construction of a church steeple, theater proscenium, or other similar situation (excluding mechanical equipment) exceptions above the 65-foot height may be granted by the Planning Director as part of a Planned Development Permit, Hillside Review Permit or Conditional Use Permit.

c. Decision.

After a public hearing, the Planning Director may grant an exception to the height restrictions of the Mission Valley Interim Development Intensity Regulatory Overlay District if the Planning Director finds from the evidence presented at the public hearing that all of the following facts exist:

- (1) The proposed structure at the particular location and under the proposed conditions of development has been designed to meet the development standards established in Paragraph 5.b., subparagraphs (1) through (5), with regard to landscaping, open space, grading and related matters:
- (2) The proposed structure will comply with all of the zoning regulations and conditions specified in the San Diego Municipal Code for such structures; and

(3) The granting of an exception will not adversely affect any adopted plan of any governmental agency.

In addition to requiring compliance with the applicable provisions of the San Diego Municipal Code, the Planning Director, in granting an exception, may impose other or additional conditions relating to maximum height, landscaping, open space, access, site development and building design as may be necessary or desirable to meet the requirements of this section.

In granting any exceptions, the Planning Director shall make a written finding which shall specify facts relied upon in rendering the decision and shall set forth wherein the facts and circumstances fulfill the requirements of this section. A copy of the Planning Director's action granting the exception shall be filed with the City Clerk, the Building Inspection Department, the County Recorder of San Diego County, and a copy shall be mailed to the applicant.

đ. Appeal procedure.

Appeal may be made to the Planning Commission from the decision of the Planning Director. The decision of the Planning Commission shall be final on the eleventh day following such filing in the office of the City Clerk, except when an appeal is taken to the City

Council in accordance with the procedures set forth in Chapter X, Article 1, Division 5, of the San Diego Municipal Code.

6. EXPIRATION DATE

This section shall expire concurrently with the Mission Valley Interim Development Intensity Regulatory Overlay District Ordinance.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force on the thirtieth day from and after its passage, and no building permits for development inconsistent with the provisions of this ordinance shall be issued after this date.

APPROVED: JOHN W. WITT, City Attorney

Frederick C. Conrad

Chief Deputy City Attorney

FCC:cc:630 09/16/85 Or.Dept:Plan. 0-86-47

Passed and adopted by the Council of The Ci	ty of San	Diego on		UU1 ZX	1809
by the following vote:	,	Bo on	1777 1777 1777 1777 177	***************************************	······································
Councilmen	Yeas	Nays	Not Present	Ineligible	
Bill Mitchell	匣	Ď			
Bill Cleator	1				
Gloria McColl					
William Jones	Ø				
Ed Struiksma	(3)				
Mike Gotch					
District 7					- VACANT
Uvaldo Martinez	回				
Mayor Roger Hedgecock					
AUTHENTICATED BY:		Mayor o	ROGER HEDG	Diego, Califor	
(Scal)	В	City Cler	CHARLES G. A k of The City of S MUS. A	an Diego, Cali	
I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing of	rdinance	was not fine	ally passed until	twelve calen	dar davs had
elapsed between the day of its introduction a			- •		
OCT 1 5 1985	d on	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	OCT 28	1985	transportujakostakoppia 4
I FURTHER CERTIFY that said ordinan	co-was re	ed in full pr	ior to ito final-p	005080 1	
I FURTHER CERTIFY that the reading less than a majority of the members elected to of each member of the Council and the publ said ordinance.	the Cou	ncil, and that	there was avail	lable for the c	onsideration
(Seal)	ъ	City Cle	HARLES G. AI	San Diego. Cal	ifornia.
		Office of	the City Clerk, !	San Diego, C	alifornia
04.020	Ordi Num	inance	16523	Adopted	OCT 28 1985

y the following vote:					
Councilmen	Yeas	Nays	Not Present	Ineligible	
Bill Mitchell	回				
Bill Cleator	र्ष ज	닖	닏		
Gloria McColl	E E	Ц	님		
William Jones					
Ed Struiksma			님		
Mike Gotch District 7	ليما				- VACANT
Uvaldo Martinez	Ī				- VACANI
Mayor Roger Hedgecock		$\overline{\Box}$		ī	
May of Roger Housecook					
AUTHENTICATED BY:			ROGER HEDG		rnia.
			CHARLES G. A		
	•		k of The City of		*******
(Seal)		12.	1.18	Inthe	-30
	В	Muy	lul S. P	onceio	Deputy.
	tion and the day	was not find y of its find	ally passed until I passage, to wi OCT 21	t, on	dar days had
I HEREBY CERTIFY that the forege elapsed between the day of its introduct OCT 15 1985 - I FURTHER CERTIFY that said or	tion and the day	was not find y of its find	ally passed until l passage, to wi OCT 21	t, on 1985	dar days had
OCT 15 1985 I FURTHER CERTIFY that said or I FURTHER CERTIFY that the recess than a majority of the members elected of each member of the Council and the	, and on dinance-was-re ading of said of	was not find y of its fina ad in full predinance in notil, and tha	ally passed until l passage, to wi OCT 2 lior to its final full was dispensed there was avai	twelve calent, on 3 1985	vote of not
OCT 15 1985 - I FURTHER CERTIFY that said of	, and on dinance-was-re ading of said of	was not find y of its fina ad in full pr rdinance in ncil, and tha to the day o	ally passed until l passage, to wi OCT 2 lior to its final full was dispensed there was avai	t, on 3 1985 Secure 1985 Sec	vote of not consideration nted copy of
OCT 15 1985 I FURTHER CERTIFY that said or I FURTHER CERTIFY that the receives than a majority of the members elected of each member of the Council and the	, and on	was not find y of its fina ad in full pr rdinance in ncil, and tha to the day o	of the residual of the passage of the residual	t, on 1985 1985 Secure of the control of the con	vote of not consideration nted copy of
OCT 15 1985 I FURTHER CERTIFY that said or I FURTHER CERTIFY that the receives than a majority of the members elected of each member of the Council and the	, and on	was not find y of its fina ad in full pr rdinance in ncil, and tha to the day o	of the residual of the passage of the residual	t, on 1985 1985 Secure of the control of the con	vote of not consideration nted copy of
OCT 15 1985 - I FURTHER CERTIFY that said of I FURTHER CERTIFY that the re- less than a majority of the members elec- of each member of the Council and the said ordinance.	, and on	was not find y of its fina ad in full pr rdinance in ncil, and tha to the day o	of the residual of the passage of the residual	t, on 1985 1985 Secure of the control of the con	vote of not consideration nted copy of
OCT 15 1985 - I FURTHER CERTIFY that said of I FURTHER CERTIFY that the re- less than a majority of the members elec- of each member of the Council and the said ordinance.	, and on	was not find y of its fina ad in full pr rdinance in ncil, and tha to the day o	ally passed until l passage, to wi OCT 2 lor to its finel; full was dispens t there was avai f its passage a	t, on 1985 1985 Secure of the control of the con	vote of not consideration nted copy of
OCT 15 1985 - I FURTHER CERTIFY that said of I FURTHER CERTIFY that the re- less than a majority of the members elec- of each member of the Council and the said ordinance.	, and on	was not find y of its fina ad in full pr rdinance in ncil, and tha to the day o	of the residual of the passage of the residual	t, on 1985 1985 Secure of the control of the con	vote of not consideration nted copy of
OCT 15 1985 - I FURTHER CERTIFY that said of I FURTHER CERTIFY that the re- less than a majority of the members elec- of each member of the Council and the said ordinance.	, and on	was not find y of its fina ad in full pr rdinance in ncil, and tha to the day o	of the residual of the passage of the residual	t, on 1985 1985 Secure of the control of the con	vote of not consideration nted copy of
OCT 15 1985 I FURTHER CERTIFY that said of I FURTHER CERTIFY that the re- less than a majority of the members elec- of each member of the Council and the said ordinance.	, and on	was not find y of its fina ad in full pr rdinance in ncil, and tha to the day o	of the residual of the passage of the residual	t, on 1985 1985 Secure of the control of the con	vote of not consideration nted copy of
OCT 15 1985 - I FURTHER CERTIFY that said of I FURTHER CERTIFY that the re- less than a majority of the members elec- of each member of the Council and the said ordinance.	, and on	was not find y of its fina ad in full pr rdinance in ncil, and tha to the day o	of the residual of the passage of the residual	t, on 1985 1985 Secure of the control of the con	vote of not consideration nted copy of
OCT 15 1985 - I FURTHER CERTIFY that said of I FURTHER CERTIFY that the re- less than a majority of the members elec- of each member of the Council and the said ordinance.	, and on	was not find y of its fina ad in full predinance in notal, and that to the day of	of the residual of the passage of the residual	twelve calent, on 8 1985 Second with by a lable for the written or print BDELNOUR San Diego, Ca	vote of not consideration nted copy of lifornia.

· 一三二次

CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION

RECEIVED
OFFICE
CUT.OV 18 17, 2154
SAN DIEGO, CALIF.

TEH CITY OF SAN DIEGO OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK 202 "C" STREET 12TH FLOOR SAN DIEGO, CA 92101

IN THE MATTER OF

NO.

ORDINANCE NO. 0-16460 (NEW SERIES)

the state of the s
BETTECT DEBILANCE, ORTHANCE NO. C-19400 PIEW
MANAGEMENT OF THE STATE OF THE CHILD
TO EXPECT OF BOYER HELION REVIEW PERSONS TO
BELLOW PLANNING OFFICTOR APPROVAL FOR MINOR
AVA STORMAN AND A STORMAN AND
The ordinatos amende the Mission Valley Interim Development
in the second of
with abouth of interestate 8. A procedure is established for construc-
buildings to a height of \$6 feet under conditions specified. The
initial Continuous expires concurrently with the Mission Villey initial Development intensity Regulatory Overlay District Ordinates
All complete copy of the Ordinance is available for inspection in the
Milice of the City Clark of the City of Sah Diego, 12th Floor, City Administration Building, 202 C Street, San Diego, CA 92101
hintroduced on OCTOBER 15, 1985
Passes and adopted by the Council of The City of San Diego on
PAUTHENTICATED BY:
Migor of The City of San Diego, California
WEMARLES G. ABDELNOUR.
数ERV Clerk of The City of San Diego. California (中央の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の
WAYDELLL RONTECORVO

ORDINANCE NUMBER 0-16523 (NEW SERIES)

is a true and correct copy of which the annexed is a printed copy and was published in said newspaper on the following date(s), to wit:

November 11, 1985

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at San Diego, California this 11th day of Nov., 19 85.

(Signature

 $3x^2 \times 9.76 = 58.56$

01471