(R-88-2504)

RESOLUTION NUMBER R-271008 ADOPTED ON MAY 17, 1988

WHEREAS, on May 17, 1988, the Council of The City of San Diego ("Council") considered the Interim Development Ordinance ("IDO") Accelerated Variance Application No. 88-4084, by James R. and Lorie A. Kennedy, to construct a 5-unit project (includes 3 proposed and 2 existing units) at 1537 Reed Avenue, described as Lots 9, 10 and 11, Block 286, Map 923, a R-1500 zoned site, in the Pacific Beach Community; and

WHEREAS, the request for Accelerated Variance submitted by James R. and Lorie A. Kennedy pursuant to the IDO Section 7.B.3., requires the project to consist of not more than three (3) dwelling units or not more than three (3) multi-family dwelling units on a single lot; and

WHEREAS, the request for Accelerated Variance submitted is governed by IDO Section 7.B.4., pursuant to which units granted will be taken from the reserve allocation as set forth in Schedule A of the IDO as modified by the procedures set forth in Resolution No. R-269742 adopted by the Council on November 16, 1987; and

WHEREAS, in arriving at their decision, the Council considered the staff report, the decision of the IDO Administrator, reviewed the plans and materials submitted prior to and at the public hearing, and heard public testimony presented at the hearing; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of The City of San Diego, that the application for Accelerated Variance of James R. and Lorie A. Kennedy is hereby granted and, therefore, overturns the decision of the IDO Administrator.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that in arriving at its decision, the Council made the following findings:

- 1. Project esthetically enhances the community.
- 2. The project is consistent with the adopted Community Plan, and Progress Guide and General Plan. There are no applicable Community Plan revisions pending.
 - 3. The project complies with applicable zoning.
- 4. The project will not result in material damage or prejudice to other property in the vicinity, nor be detrimental to the public health, safety or general welfare.
- 5. A construction delay on the project would significantly impede the ability of James R. and Lorie A. Kennedy to proceed at some future time.
- 6. Absent growth management provisions of the IDO necessitating the application and approval process described in Sections 6. and 7. of the IDO, the project could proceed.
- 7. Application was made for a building permit between April 29 and June 22, 1987, therefore, the project is a pipeline case.
- 8. Occupancy plans demonstrate substantial commitment to community welfare and fostering the Community Plan.
- 9. James R. and Lorie A. Kennedy have presented financial evidence of readiness to proceed.

P-271.008

10. Units are available and will be borrowed from the unused allocations of another community. That community allocation will then be reduced accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the units granted by the Council pursuant to this Accelerated Variance shall come from Midway.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the decision of the Council shall be final.

APPROVED: JOHN W. WITT, City Attorney

By

Janis Sammartino Gardner

Deputy City Attorney

JSG:1c

ø6/09/88

Or.Dept:Clerk

R-88-2504

Form=r.idovar

Abbe Wolfsheimer Ron Roberts Gloria McColl H. Wes Pratt Ed Struiksma J. Bruce Henderson Judy McCarty		Nays	Not Present	Ineligible
Rob Filmer	<u>a</u>			LJ
Bob Filner Mayor Maureen O'Connor AUTHENTICATED BY: (Seal)	By	Mayor o GHA		Diego, California.
Resolution	γ		Clerk, San Diego	, California

CC-1276 (Rev. 12-67)