(R-89-34)

RESOLUTION NUMBER R-271084 ADOPTED ON MAY 31, 1988

WHEREAS, on May 31, 1988, the Council of The City of San Diego ("Council") considered the Interim Development Ordinance ("IDO") Accelerated Variance Application No. 87-4007, by Hilda Ball, to construct a 4-unit condominium on a 6000 square foot lot (removing a single family dwelling) at 1368 Reed Avenue, Lots 23 and 24, Block 267, Map No. 854 (APN 423-173-20), a R-1500 zoned site, in the Pacific Beach Community; and

WHEREAS, the request for Accelerated Variance submitted by Hilda Ball pursuant to the IDO Section 7.B.3., requires the project to consist of not more than three (3) dwelling units or not more than three (3) multi-family dwelling units on a single lot; and

WHEREAS, the request for Accelerated Variance submitted is governed by IDO Section 7.B.4., pursuant to which units granted will be taken from the reserve allocation as set forth in Schedule A of the IDO as modified by the procedures set forth in Resolution No. R-269742 adopted by the Council on November 16, 1987; and

WHEREAS, in arriving at their decision, the Council considered the staff report, the decision of the IDO Administrator, reviewed the plans and materials submitted prior to and at the public hearing, and heard public testimony presented at the hearing; NOW, THEREFORE,

RECEIVED

1988 JUL 26 PM 3: 16

SAN DIEGO. CALIF.

 $\mathcal{J}_{i} = \{ x_i \in \mathcal{X} \mid x_i \in \mathcal{X} \mid x_i \in \mathcal{X} \}$

(x,y) = (x,y) + (x,y)

A .

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of The City of San Diego, that the application for Accelerated Variance of Hilda Ball is hereby denied, and, therefore, upholds the decision of the IDO Administrator.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that in arriving at its decision, the Council made the following findings:

- 1. Reserve allocation for units in the community is depleted for the year.
- 2. Facilities adjustment factor for the community does not reach the acceptable level.
- 3. Developer's interests when balanced with the community's interests do not outweigh the public policy of the IDO.
- 4. The project does not provide special benefit or extraordinary public improvement toward the community.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the decision of the Council shall be final.

APPROVED: JOHN, W. WITT, City Attorney

Janis Sammartino Gardner

Deputy City Attorney

JSG:1c 07/08/88

Or.Dept:Clerk

R - 89 - 34

Form=r.idovar

ۇ ئ

Passed and adopted by the Council of The by the following vote:	ne City of San Diego on	MAY 3 1 198	38
Council Members Abbe Wolfsheimer Ron Roberts Gloria McColl H. Wes Pratt Ed Struiksma J. Bruce Henderson Judy McCarty Bob Filner Mayor Maureen O'Connor	Yeas Nay	Not Present	Ineligible
AUTHENTICATED BY:		MAUREEN O'CC	go, California.
(Seal)	City	CHARLES G. ABD Clerk of The City of San D	Diego, California.
	Office of the	City Clerk, San Diego, Ca	alifornia
	Resolution 271) 84 Adopted M	AY 3 1 1988