(R-91-1149)

## RESOLUTION NUMBER R-277056 ADOPTED ON DECEMBER 11, 1990

WHEREAS, Robert J. Krause, S.P.E. Corporation, by Richard A. Rubin of Sholders & Sanford, Inc., appealed the decision of the Planning Commission in denying Tentative Map No. 87-1098 (River Trail Project) submitted by S.P.E. Corporation for a 47-lot subdivision located on the west side of Hollister Street, between Rodear Road and Hollister Street, and described as Lot 75 of Sunrise Addition Unit No. 3, Map No. 10832, in the Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Plan area, R1-5000 zone; and

WHEREAS, the matter was set for public hearing on December 11, 1990, testimony having been heard, evidence having been submitted, and the City Council having fully considered the matter and being fully advised concerning the same; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of The City of San Diego, that this Council adopts the following findings with respect to Tentative Map No. 87-1098:

1. The map proposes the subdivision of a 10.54-acre site into 47 lots for residential development (5-10 dwelling units per acre). This type of development is not consistent with the General Plan and the Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Plan which designate the area for low density residential use (4.4 dwelling units per acre). The proposed map will not retain the community's character by encouraging orderly, sequential

RECEIVED CITY CLERK'S OFFICE 91 FEB 22 PM 3: 10 SAN DIEGO, CALIF. development compatible in its intensity with surrounding existing and future land development.

- 2. The design and proposed improvements for the map are consistent with the zoning/development regulations of the R1-5000 zone in that:
  - a. All lots have minimum frontage on a dedicated street which is open to and usable by vehicular traffic, only as allowed under a planned infill residential development (PIRD) permit and a resource protection overlay zone (RPOZ) permit.
  - b. All lots meet the minimum dimension requirements of the R1-5000 zone, only as allowed under a PIRD and a RPOZ.
  - c. All lots are designed so that required improvements do not result in nonconforming lots in respect to building area, setbacks, side yard and rear yard regulations, only as allowed under a PIRD and a RPOZ.
  - d. Development of the site is controlled by Planned
    Infill Residential Development Permit and Resource
    Protection Overlay Zone Permit No. 87-1098.
- 3. The design and proposed improvements for the subdivision are consistent with State Map Act section 66473.1 regarding the design of the subdivision for future passive or nature heating and/or cooling opportunities.
- 4. The site is not physically suitable for residential development. The harmony in scale, height, bulk, density, and coverage of development creates an incompatible physical

R- 277056

relationship to surrounding properties for which this area has been planned, because the area lacks school facilities.

- 5. The site is not physically suitable for the proposed density of development. This is inconsistent with the community plan, which provides for residential uses.
- 6. The design of the subdivision and the proposed improvements are likely to cause substantial environmental damage or substantially and avoidably injure fish or wildlife or their habitat based upon the findings in Environmental Impact Report No. 87-1098, which is included herein by this reference. However, a finding has been made pursuant to Subdivision (c) of Section 21081 of the Public Resources Code that specific economic, social, or other considerations make infeasible the mitigation measures or project alternatives identified in the environmental impact report.
- 7. The design of the subdivision and the type of improvements will not likely cause serious public health problems inasmuch as needed public services and facilities are available or required by condition of this map to provide for water and sewage facilities, as well as other related public services.
- 8. The design of the subdivision and the type of improvements are such that they will not conflict with any easements, acquired by the public at large, for access through or use of property within the proposed subdivision as demonstrated by the City Engineer's request for public dedications and adequate improvement on the proposed subdivision map.

9. The Planning Commission has reviewed the adopted Housing Element, the Progress Guide and General Plan of The City of San Diego, and hereby finds, pursuant to Section 66412.3 of the Government Code, that the housing needs of the region are being met since residential development has been planned for the area and public services programmed for installation, as determined by the City Engineer, in accordance with financing and environmental policies of the City Council.

The above findings are supported by the minutes, maps and exhibits, all of which are herein incorporated by reference.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the appeal of Robert J. Krause, S.P.E. Corporation, by Richard A. Rubin of Sholders & Sanford, Inc., is denied; the decision of the Planning Commission is sustained; and Tentative Map No. 87-1098 (River Trail Project) is hereby denied.

APPROVED: JOHN W. WITT, City Attorney

By

Frederick C. Conrad

Chief Deputy City Attorney

FCC:1c 02/22/91

Or.Dept:Clerk

R-91-1149

TM 87-1098

Form=r.tm

sed and adopted by the Council of The C the following vote:	DEC 11 1990
Council Members  Abbe Wolfsheimer  Ron Roberts  John Hartley  H. Wes Pratt  Linda Bernhardt  J. Bruce Henderson  Judy McCarty  Bob Filner  Mayor Maureen O'Connor	Yeas Nays Not Present Ineligible
AUTHENTICATED BY:	MAUREEN O'CONNOR  Mayor of The City of San Diego, California.  CHARLES G. ABDELNOUR
	By May Clerk of The City of San Diego, California.  By Deput
	Office of the City Clerk, San Diego, California
	Resolution 277056 Number DEC 111990