(R-97-1377)

## RESOLUTION NUMBER R- 288896 ADOPTED ON JUN 30 1997

WHEREAS, in November 1979, the California voters approved a constitutional amendment (Proposition 4) which added article XIIIB to the California Constitution; and

WHEREAS, in 1980, the State Legislature added Division 9 to Title 1 of the California Government Code (commencing with section 7900) to implement article XIIIB; and

WHEREAS, said Government Code sections require the governing body of each local jurisdiction in California to establish, by resolution, the tax appropriations limit for the following fiscal year predicated upon the appropriations limit for the prior fiscal year multiplied by a determinable factor; and

WHEREAS, the FY 1997 limit was established by Resolution No. R-287518 on June 24, 1996; and

WHEREAS, on November 8, 1994, San Diego voters approved Proposition E, authorizing an increase of \$50 million in the City's appropriations limit for the period fiscal year 1996 to 1999; and

WHEREAS, section 7910 of the Government Code requires that the documentation used to determine the City's tax appropriations limit be made available to the public fifteen (15) days prior to the Council meeting at which the tax appropriations limit resolution is to be considered; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of The City of San Diego, that pursuant to the

authority of California Government Code, Division 9, Title 1 (sections 7900 et seq.) there is hereby established the following tax appropriations limit for The City of San Diego:

Established for Fiscal Year 1996

\$448,603,702

Established for Fiscal Year 1997

\$475,160,586

Established for Fiscal Year 1998

\$504,620,542

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this Council hereby declares that, pursuant to the requirements of section 7910 of the California Government Code, the documentation upon which the tax appropriations limit herein established has been determined, which is attached hereto as Exhibit A, has been made available for public information and review at the office of the City Clerk since June 13, 1997.

APPROVED: CASEY GWINN, City Attorney

By

Stuart H. Swett

Deputy City Attorney

SHS:smf 06/11/97

Or.Dept:Fin.Mgmt.

R-97-1377

Form=fytaxres.frm



The City of San Diego

## MANAGER'S REPORT

PRECEIVE 159
97 JUN 20 PM 12: 159
98 JUN 20 PM 12: 159
98 JUN DIEGO, CA
REPORT NO.

DATE ISSUED:

June 25, 1997

ATTENTION:

Honorable Mayor and Council Docket of June 30, 1997

SUBJECT:

Fiscal Year 1998 Tax Appropriations Limit

#### SUMMARY

<u>Issue</u> - Shall the City Council establish a tax appropriations limit of \$504,620,542 for Fiscal Year 1998?

Manager's Recommendation - Establish a tax appropriations limit of \$504,620,542 for Fiscal Year 1998

Other Recommendations - None.

Fiscal Impact - None.

#### **BACKGROUND**

In November 1979, California voters approved Proposition 4 (the Gann Initiative) and added Article XIIIB to the California State Constitution. In 1980, the State Legislature added Division 9 (commencing with Section 7900) to Title I of the Government Code to implement Article XIIIB. This legislation required the governing body of each local jurisdiction in California to establish a tax appropriations limit on or before June 30 of each year for the following fiscal year. On June 5, 1990, California voters approved Proposition 111, amending Article XIIIB. On November 8, 1994 San Diego voters approved Proposition E, authorizing an additional increase of \$50 million in the City's tax appropriations limit for the period FY 1996 to FY 1999. The Fiscal Year 1998 Tax

"EXHIBIT A"

R- 288896

Appropriations Limit being recommended was calculated in accordance with these guidelines. This report provides the necessary material to support the establishment of the Fiscal Year 1998 Tax Appropriations Limit for the City of San Diego.

#### **DISCUSSION**

On June 24, 1996, the Council adopted Resolution Number R-287518 which established a Fiscal Year 1997 tax appropriations limit of \$475,160,586. Under the Proposition 111 guidelines, the appropriations limit is adjusted annually using a "price factor" and "population factor." The following alternatives are available to the City in selecting the two adjustment factors:

#### Price Factor Options:

- 1. Percentage change in California Per Capita Personal Income, or
- 2. Percentage growth in assessed valuation attributed to new non-residential construction.

#### Population Factor Options:

- 1. Percentage change in City population, or
- Percentage change in County population.

The two adjustment factors are combined as follows to calculate the total adjustment permitted:

(Price Factor) x (Population Factor) = Total Adjustment

Since there are two options for each of the factors (Price and Population) there are a total of four options available in making the annual adjustment.

On May 1, 1996, the City received data on population and California Per Capita Personal Income from the California Department of Finance. The City also obtained data from the San Diego County Assessor's Office on the assessed valuation of new non-residential construction included in the 1996 assessment role. The four alternative adjustment options were then calculated using this information (See Attachment 1).

The recommended limit of \$504,620,542 was calculated using the percentage change in California per capita income as the "price factor" and percentage change in Countywide population as the "population factor." The total adjustment permitted using these factors is +6.2%. This adjustment factor is the largest of the four options available to the City (See Attachment 2).

#### Appropriations Subject To The Limit

The Tax Appropriations Limit applies to all City revenues, or all General Fund revenues, but only to proceeds of taxes, including property tax, sales tax, transient occupancy tax, motor vehicle license fees, and other local taxes, less the amount paid in debt service on voter approved debt. Other revenues, including fees, licenses and permits, fees, rents and concessions, and inter-fund transfers are not subject to the limit. Based on the revenue estimates contained in the Proposed Fiscal Year 1998 Budget, the proposed limit exceeds the projected appropriations subject to the limit by approximately \$115.6 million.

The attached documentation outlines the computations used in determining the Fiscal Year 1998 tax appropriations limit. This information includes

- City of San Diego tax appropriations limits for Fiscal Years 1996 and 1997, and the proposed limit for Fiscal Year 1998.
- 2. Alternative adjustment factors for making annual adjustment to appropriations limit.
- 3. Recommended calculations of permitted growth for the City's tax appropriations limit in Fiscal Year 1998.
- 4. City of San Diego tax appropriations limits for Fiscal Years 1979 through 1997, with adjustments for population growth, price changes and voter approved waivers.
- 5. Source data used to calculate alternative adjustment factors:
  - A. Price and population data.
  - B. Assessed valuation attributable to new non-residential construction.
- 6. Proposition E and confirmation of election results.

Section 7910 of the Government Code provides the following time limits for challenges against Article XIIIB tax appropriations limits adopted by the City:

FOR THE 1981-1982 FISCAL YEAR AND EACH FISCAL YEAR THEREAFTER, ANY JUDICIAL ACTION OR PROCEEDING TO ATTACK, REVIEW, SET ASIDE, VOID, OR ANNUL THE ACTION OF THE GOVERNING BODY TAKEN PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION SHALL BE COMMENCED WITHIN 45 DAYS OF THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THE RESOLUTION.

#### **ALTERNATIVE**

Under Division 9, Title I of the California Government Code the Council is required to establish an Article XIIIB tax appropriations limit for the City of San Diego. Under Proposition 111, the Council may select from four alternative adjustment factors in setting the limit. The recommended limit was based on growth in California per capita income and Countywide population growth. The Council has the option of selecting one of the other three adjustment factors provided in Attachment 2 to establish the Fiscal Year 1998 appropriations limit. As indicated in Attachment 2, each of these alternative options would result in a lower annual adjustment factor.

Respectfully submitted,

JACK MCGRORY
City Manager

#### FRAZIER:MC

#### Attachments:

- Tax Appropriations Limits For Fiscal Years 1996 and 1997 and Proposed Limit for Fiscal Year 1998.
- 2. Alternative Adjustment Factors.
- 3. Recommended Calculations of Permitted Growth In Limit.
- 4. Appropriations Limits For Fiscal Years 1979 to 1997.
- 5. Source Data Used To Calculate The Limit
- 6. Proposition E and Confirmation of Election Results.

#### CITY OF SAN DIEGO

#### TAX APPROPRIATIONS LIMITS FOR FISCAL YEARS 1996, 1997, AND 1998 (PROPOSED)

FY 1996 Tax Appropriations Limit	\$448,603,272
FY 1997 Tax Appropriations Limit	\$475,160,586
FY 1998 Tax Appropriations Limit (Proposed)	\$504,620,542
ADJUSTED TAX APPROPRIATIONS (1)	
Actual Tax Appropriations for FY 1996	\$355,873,474
Budgeted Tax Appropriations for FY 1997	\$361,867,464
Projected Tax Appropriations for FY 1998	\$388,975,225
(1) Based on tax appropriations adjusted for debt service paym	ents.

#### ALTERNATIVE ADJUSTMENT FACTORS

Under Proposition 111, there are two options available for each of the major adjustment factors. The values of these factors for the purpose of calculating the Fiscal Year 1998 adjustment are as follows:

#### Price Factor:

- (A) Percent growth in State Per Capita Income + 4.67%
- (B) Percent change in Assessed Valuation due +0.38% to new non-residential construction.

#### Population Factor:

- (C) Percent growth in County Population +1.46%
- (D) Percent growth in City Population +1.35%

#### Annual Adjustment Factors:

 $(A \times C) =$ 

Based upon the above data, the four alternative adjustment factors are as follows:

$$(A \times D) = (1.0467) \times (1.0135) = 1.0608$$

(1.0467) x (1.0146)

$$(B \times C) = (1.0038) \times (1.0146) = 1.0185$$

$$(B \times D) = (1.0038) \times (1.0135) = 1.0174$$

The recommended limit was calculated using the factor of (A x C), resulting in a 6.2% increase to the limit.

1.0620

Source data supporting the above calculation is provided in Attachment 5.

#### CITY OF SAN DIEGO

#### RECOMMENDED CALCULATION OF FY 1998 TAX APPROPRIATIONS LIMIT

#### Recommended Adjustment Factors

The recommended appropriations limit of \$504,620,542 is based on the following factors:

Price Factor (State Per Capita Income)

+4.67%

Population Factor (Change in County Population)

+1.46%

To calculate the actual adjustment factor, the above factors were converted as follows:

Price change converted to adjustment factor:

 $(4.67 + 100) \div (100) = 1.0467$ 

Population change converted to adjustment factor:  $(1.46 + 100) \div (100) = 1.0146$ 

Combined adjustment factor =

 $(1.0467) \times (1.0146) = 1.0620$ 

#### Calculation of FY 1998 Limit

FY 1998 Limit = (FY 1997 limit) x (Adjustment Factor) =

 $(\$475,160,586) \times (1.0620) = \$504,620,542$ 

#### HISTORY OF TAX APPROPRIATIONS LIMIT ADJUSTMENTS FOR CHANGES IN POPULATION, INFLATION, AND SPECIAL ADJUSTMENTS FISCAL YEARS 1979 TO FISCAL YEAR 1997

				• *
Adjustment From	То			
Fiscal	Fiscal	Price	Pop.	Total
Year	Year	<u>Adj.</u>	<u>Adj.</u>	<u>Adi.</u>
			•	
1979	1980	10.17%	2.89%	13.35%
1980	1981	12.11	2.49	14.90
1981	1982	9.12	2.49	11.84
1982	1983	6.79	2.40	9.35
1983	1984	2.35	2.13	4.53
1984	1985	4.74	2.85	7.73
1985	1986	3.74	2.32	6.15
1986	1987	2.30	3.06	5.43
1987	1988	3.04	2.43	5.54
1988	1989	3.93	2.78	6.82
1989	1990	4.98	3.11	8.24
1990	1991	4.21	3.65	8.01
1991	1992	4.14	3.06	7.33
1992	1993	-0.64	2.34	1.69
1993	1994	2.72	2.23	5.01
1994	1995	0.71	1.42	2.14
1995	1996	4.72	1.33	6.11
1996	1997	4.67	1.19	5.92
<u>Year</u>		<u>Appropriation</u>	<u>ıs Limit</u>	
1979		\$116,513,949	)	
1980	•	132,073,121		* .
1981		151,754,049	)	
1982	•	169,717,309	)	
1983		185,590,901	ł .	•
1984		193,998,271		
1985		209,004,242	2	
1986		221,866,905		•
1987		233,915,121		•
1988	•	298,900,060	(1)	•
1989		319,282,815		
1990		345,607,293	3	•
1991		387,569,525	5	•
1992		617,411,893	3 (2)	
1993		627,846,154	4	
1994		659,301,246	5	
1995		673,410,293	3	
1996		448,603,272	2 (3)	
1997		475,160,586	6	• •

<sup>(1) \$52</sup> million waiver approved for 4 year period (FY 88 to FY 91).

(2) \$273 million waiver approved for 4 year period (FY 92 to FY 95).

<sup>(3)</sup> Limit fell due to end of 4 year waiver authorizing \$273 million increase in limit. Fiscal Year 1996 was the first year of a new 4 year waiver authorizing a \$50 million increase in the limit.

\$\mu = 288896\$

#### DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR STATE CAPITOL, ROOM 1145 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814-4998



May 1, 1997

Dear Auditor/Controller/Fiscal Officer:

This letter contains PRICE AND POPULATION INFORMATION for FY 1997-1998.

APPROPRIATIONS LIMIT: The Department of Finance is mandated to provide population and California per capita personal income change data to local jurisdictions to use in calculating their appropriations limits. The enclosures contain price and population factors for setting your 1997-1998 appropriations limit. These factors are effective for January 1, 1997 and were calculated on a base that excludes Federal and state institutionalized populations noted. Enclosure I provides the change in California's per capita personal income. An example of how to utilize this price factor and the population percentage change factor in calculating your 1997-1998 limit is included. Enclosures II and IIA provide population percentage change for cities and counties, and their incorporated areas.

POPULATION FACTORS FOR SPECIAL DISTRICTS: Some special districts are required to establish appropriations limits. These districts should consult the state code for the various population factors that may be used for purposes of assessing change in population. Other districts are exempt under Article XIII B, Section 9(c). This letter may be received by such special districts. However, it should not be construed as a Department of Finance requirement to establish an appropriations limit.

POPULATION CERTIFICATION: The certification program applies only to cities and counties. It is mandated that if a Department of Finance population estimate is greater than the current certified population, the Department shall automatically file a certified copy with the Controller not less than 25 days nor more than 30 days after completion of the estimate. The Department will certify the higher estimate unless it receives a written request not to certify within 25 days of the completion of the estimate. A city or county requesting that their higher estimate not be certified will remain at the current certified population. The Department of Finance will not certify a population estimate that is lower than the current certified population unless requested to do so by a city or a county. The request to not certify must be received by the Department on or before June 1, 1997.

Questions about population should be addressed to the Demographic Research Unit at (916) 323-4086.

Sincerely.

RAIG L. BROWN

Director

 $\sqrt{-288896}$ 

A. Price Factor: Article XIII B specifies that local jurisdictions select their cost-of-living factor to compute their appropriation limit by a vote of their governing body. The cost of living factor provided here is per capita personal income. If the percentage change in per capita personal income is selected, the percentage changes to be used in setting the 1997-98 appropriation limit are:

#### Per Capita Personal Income

Fiscal	Percentage chang			
Year (FY)	over prior year			
1997-98	4.67			

B. Following is an example using sample population change and the change in California per capita personal income as growth factors in computing a 1997-98 appropriations limit.

#### 1997-98:

Per Capita Change = 4.67 percent Population Change = 1.35 percent

Per Capita converted to a ratio: 
$$\frac{4.67 + 100}{100} = 1.0467$$

Population converted to a ratio: 
$$\frac{1.35 + 100}{100} = 1.0135$$

Calculation of factor for FY 97-98: 
$$1.0467 \times 1.0135 = 1.0608$$

### Enclosure II Annual Percent Change in Population Minus Exclusions\* January 1, 1996 to January 1, 1997 and Total Population January 1, 1997

County	Percent Change	•	Population Minus Exclusions —		Total Population 1-1-97	
City	1996-97	1-1-96	1-1-97	1-1-3/		
SAN DIEGO						
CARLSBAD	3.07	68010	70099	70099		
CHULA VISTA	2.28	152044	155506	156148		
CORONADO	0.95	16130	16283	29229		
DEL MAR	0.90	5101	5147	5147	-	
EL CAJON	0.91	91805	. 92638	92638		
ENCINITAS	1.11	56943	57574	57574	-	
ESCONDIDO	1.70	117908	119916	119916		
IMPERIAL BEACH	0.81	27731	27957	28007		
LA MESA	0.80	55489	55935	56851		
LEMON GROVE	0.57	24622	24762	24762		
NATIONAL CITY	0.79	49665	50058	56408		
OCEANSIDE	1.70	146725	149220	149220		
POWAY ,	1.54	45200	45898	46608		
SAN DIEGO	1.35	1132371	1147638	1197077		
SAN MARCOS	2.19	47934	48982	48982		
SANTEE	2.00	. 53725	54801	55304		
SOLANA BEACH	0.90	13555	13677	13677		
VISTA	1.53	79792	81013	81013		
UNINCORPORATED	1.36	391650	396975	436377	•	
COUNTY TOTAL	1.46	2576400	2614079	2724437		

<sup>\*</sup> Exclusions include residents in state mental institutions, federal military installations, and state and federal prisons.

Page 1

262

PAGE

	11
OFFICE	(PROP
SAN DIEGO COUNTY ASSESSORS OFFICE	TIAL NEW CONSTRUCTION ASSESSMENT ROLL 1996
COUNTY	NEW CO
SAN DIEGO	NON-RESIDENTIAL NEW CONSTRUCTION (PROP 111) ASSESSMENT ROLL 1996
	PA640380 PA6433-01 RUN DATE 10/08/96
	PA640380 PA6433-C RUN DATE 10/08/96

-	
(PROP	
ON-RESIDENTIAL NEW CONSTRUCTION (	ASSESSMENT ROLL 1996
Z	

SAN DIEGO CITY 6085-00

08001 08012 08015 08026 08035 08035 08073 08073 08073 08073 08073 08114 08118 08119 08119 08119 08119 08119 08119

ASSESSORS OFFICE

08154 08159 08168 08169 08170 08170 08186 08200 08215 08216 08220 08220 08220 08220 08241

FUND TOTAL

\$232,977,121



### COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

OFFICE OF THE ASSESSOR


1600 PACIFIC HIGHWAY, ROOM 103 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101-2480 (619) 236-3771 GREGORY J. SMITH COUNTY ASSESSOR

#### 1995 INVENTORY OF PARCELS AND ASSESSED VALUES - CITY OF SAN DIEGO

					DIEGO
			PARCELS	UNITS	ACCIDED TO
RESIDENTIAL					ASSESSED VALUES
	* -	TOTAL	293,317	/00 += /	
Time-share Condominium	£	• •		429,384	\$44,197,890,000
Mobilehomes			1,516	1,516	9,611,377
Vacant			792	787	
Single Family	-	•	7,437	2	23,489,658
Duplex or 2 Houses			188,422	188,400	582,303,182
Majer of 7 Houses			6,126	100,400	27,512,785,623
Multi 2 to 4 Units				12,251	698,780,917
Multi 5 to 15 Units			11,870	33,234	1,624,653,913
Multi 16 to 60 Units			5,378	41,752	1 554 150 010
Multi 61 Units and Up			1,143 .	30,324	1,554,450,213
Condominium			421	50,675	1,044,035,175
Transitional			69,732	70,373	2,219,658,426
COHMERCIAL			480		8,892,591,376
- COMMERCIAL		TOTAL	11,018	70	35,530,140
Vacant .				31,881	10,807,169,075
Store Building			1,217	44	411,780,246
Shopping Center			5,762	3,164	5 760 501 75
Hotel Motel		•	389	16	5,268,501,719
Service Station			391	25,258	1,240,244,759
Office Condominiums			350		1,553,736,300
Drain Condominiums			266	15	119,640,761
Parking or Used Car Lot	,	•		- 29	34,583,255
Trailer Park			824 .	285	364,301,039
Auto Sales & Service Age	ncv	'	52	1,623	91 750 710
General	,		115	125	81,750,712
INDUSTRIAL		mon. ·	1,652	1,322	110,626,722
Vacant		TOTAL	4,488	6,321	1,622,003,562
Factory			1,318		4,168,804,094
			<b>9</b> 99	17	454,233,663
Warehousing				86	2,084,054,818
Bulk Storage			1,420	3,134	1,351,279,513
Extractive & Mining			25	Ò	11 /01 er/
Industrial Condominiums			47	1	11,481,554
General			217	21	15,782,639
IRRIGATED FARM			462	3,062	63,810,533
RURAL LAND (NON-IRRIGATED)		TOTAL	148		188,161,374
INSTITUTIONAL		TOTAL	937	90	30,477,192
PECPEATIONAL		TOTAL	900	27	284,152,597
RECREATIONAL		TOTAL		1,782	1,517,599,103
MISCELLANEOUS		TOTAL	1,707	434	437,032,920
•			5	<b>Q</b>	31 517 220
	GRAND	ምርም ለተ			31,517,288
	GNAND	· ·	312,520	469,919	\$61,474,642,269

The above data is provided for your information and represents total assessed values of real property only, prior to exemptions. If you have any questions, please contact Robert Frazier, Chief Deputy Assessor, at (619) 531-5509.

CREGORY J. SMITH County Assessor



# CITY OF SAN DIEGO Proposition E

(This proposition will appear on the battet in the following form.)

AN ORDINANCE CHANGING THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO'S APPROPRIATIONS LIMIT FOR FISCAL YEARS 1996 THROUGH 1999, Shall The Clly of San Mega's appropriations limit for Fiscal Year 1998 provided for in the Californta Constitution, Article XIII B, be revised upward to \$140,000,000 (an ncrease of \$50 million), provided this limit is edjusted annually for Fiscal rears 1996-97, 1997-90, and 1990-99 for changes in population and cost of living to permit the expenditure of enticipated revenues from existing and CHANGING THE CITY luture sources to fund needed programs? PROP

# PROPOSED ORDINANCE

A ONDINANCE OF THE PEOPLE OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO CHANGING THE PROPRIATIONS LIMIT FOR THE FISCAL YEARS 1996 THROUGH 1999.

The People of The City of San Diego do ordein as follows:

SECTION 1. CHANGE OF APPROPRIATION LIMIT.

The appropriations itmit for Fiscal Years 1008 through 1000 set pursuant to Article XIII B of the illornia Consiliution is changed to the extent set forth in Section 2 of this Ordinance. .

AUTHORITY TO APPROPRIATE EXISTING TAX REVENUE SOURCES DULECTED IN FISCAL YEARS 1996 THROUGH 1999. SECTION 2.

The City of San Dlago appropriations limit for Fiscal Year 1998 provided for in Article XIII D of inges in population and cost of living as provided by the State Constitution to permit the enditure of enticipated revenues from existing sources and sources to be authorized to fund ided programs which include, but are not necessarily limited to, police protection, fire nection, retuse collection and disposal, library services, park and recreation programs, and ovided Hits limit is adjusted each year for Fiscal Years 1996-07, 1997-90 and 1990-99 for California Constitution shall to rovised upyward to \$140,000,000 (an increase of \$50 million) illy and intrastructure maintenance and capital improvements,

SAVINO CLAUSE SEČNON 3,

ordinance shall remain in hill force and effect and shall be interpreted to serve the Intent of this his ordinance is intended to comply with Cellfornia Constitution Article XIII N. It any section, , chause, torm, word or phrase is for any reason held to be invalid, the remaining

DATE OF EFFECT SECTION 4. Mils ordinanca shall take effect Immadiately upon lis, anaciment

# ANGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION E

# A YES vata on Proposition E

- WILL allow the City to spend revenues from current tax sources such as sales and property taxes to provide essential city services.
- WILL NOT increase existing lax rates.
- WILL NOT aller assessment practicas.

### Remambar

- Proposition E DOES NOT RAISE TAXES.
- Proposition E is essentially a continuation of the Gann override approved by volers in 1990,

In the event the State restores local tax dollars previously diverted to hatance the State budget, the passaga of Propostiton E will help insura that Itiasa funds ara avaltabla to maet focal needs in vital areas such ns public safely, straet maintenance, libraries and parks and recreation

# VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION E

President, League of Women San Diego Public Library Volers of San Dlego SYLVIA HAMPTON Greater San Dlego Chamber of Commerce Chalrman, Governmant Affairs Division Board of Library Commissioners Chalrperson, Clty of San Dlego NORNHOL J. JOHNSON JAMES D. DAWE

Executive Offector, Filends of DETTY SHERMAN

# GEONGE WALKER SMITH

# ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION E

No argument agalnst tha propostition was filed in the Ollice of the City Clerk.

11 SD 400-044

PR-1690-4

11 SD 400-0-15

16.90

288896

### RESOLUTION NUMBER R- 285040 ADOPTED ON DEC 05 1994

RESOLUTION DECLARING THE RESULTS OF THE SPECIAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION HELD IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO ON NOVEMBER 8, 1994, AS THE RESULTS PERTAIN TO PROPOSITION E.

WHEREAS, a special municipal election was held in The City of San Diego on Tuesday, November 8, 1994, pursuant to the provisions of Ordinance No. O-18094 (New Series), adopted August 8, 1994, which Special Municipal Election was called for the purpose in part of submitting to the qualified voters of The City of San Diego one proposition to revise upward the appropriations limit for fiscal years 1996 through 1999 for The City of San Diego (Proposition E), as follows:

#### PROPOSITION E

PROPOSITION E. CHANGES THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO'S APPROPRIATIONS LIMIT FOR FISCAL YEARS 1996 THROUGH 1999.

Revises The City of San Diego's appropriations limit for Fiscal Year 1996 upward to \$440,000,000, provided the limit is adjusted annually for Fiscal Years 1997, 1998 and 1999.

; and

WHEREAS, a copy of the certificate of the Registrar of Voters of San Diego County canvassing the returns of the special municipal election, as certified to the City Clerk, has been duly received; and  $\rho = 288896$ 

WHEREAS, a canvass of this election has been completed and the City Clerk has certified the results to the City Council; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of The City of San Diego, that the Council finds and determines pursuant to the provisions of Section 27.2206 of the San Diego Municipal Code, as follows:

Т

The whole number of votes cast in the City was 333,087.

II

The number of votes cast in the City for and against the proposition which appeared on the ballot as Proposition E and the total number of votes cast upon that proposition is as follows:

#### PROPOSITION E

For 155,488

Against 102,442

TOTAL 257,930

Proposition E received the affirmative vote of a majority of the qualified voters voting on such proposition and is hereby declared to have been approved.

III

The number of votes in each precinct cast for and against each measure is recorded in the Elections Returns Book, which book is a part of the records of this Council.

The City Clerk shall make public the results of the canvass of the special municipal election as pertains to Proposition E by publication of a copy of this resolution.

APPROVED: JOHN W. WITT, City Attorney

By Cutul Miscu

Cristie C. McGuire Deputy City Attorney

CCM:jrl
12/01/94
12/09/94 REV. 1
Or.Dept:Clerk
R-95-901
Form=r+t