RESOLUTION NUMBER R- 305760

DATE OF FINAL PASSAGE APR 2 3 2010

A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO APPROVING AMENDMENTS TO THE DOWNTOWN COMMUNITY PLAN.

WHEREAS, on April 28, 1992, the Council of the City of San Diego adopted the Centre City Community Plan by Resolution No. R-279876; and

WHEREAS, on February 28, 2006, the Council repealed the Centre City Community Plan and adopted the Downtown Community Plan by Resolution No. R-301264; and

WHEREAS, in order to better implement the goals of the Downtown Community Plan, it is proposed that certain provisions of the Downtown Community Plan, part of the General Plan Land Use Element, be amended; and

WHEREAS, the Council initiated the proposed amendments to the Downtown Community Plan by adopting Resolution R-302563 on April 24, 2007; and

WHEREAS, on June 28, 2007, the Planning Commission of the City of San Diego held a public hearing to consider the proposed amendments to the Downtown Community Plan, part of the Land Use Element of the General Plan, and voted to recommend Council approval and adoption of the proposed amendments; and

WHEREAS, the matter was set for public hearing on _____APR 1 3 2010 _____, testimony having been heard, evidence having been submitted, and the City Council having fully considered the approval and adoption of the proposed amendments to the Downtown Community Plan, part of the Land Use Element of the General Plan, and being fully advised concerning the same; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, that the Council hereby approves the amendments to the Downtown Community Plan and General Plan, a copy of which is attached hereto and incorporated by reference herein.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the provisions of the Downtown Community Plan and amendments thereto shall not be applicable within the Coastal Zone until the day the California Coastal Commission unconditionally certifies the Downtown Community Plan, as amended, as a local coastal amendment; until such certification, the provisions of the 1992 Centre City Community Plan, and all amendments thereto, shall be applicable within the Coastal Zone. If the Downtown Community Plan and amendments thereto are not certified or are certified with conditions or modifications by the California Coastal Commission and such conditions or modifications are not accepted by the City of San Diego, the provisions of the Downtown Community Plan and amendments thereto shall be null and void within the Coastal Zone and the provisions of the 1992 Centre City Community Plan, and all amendments thereto, shall be applicable in the Coastal Zone.

APPROVED: JAN I. GOLDSMITH, City Attorney

By

Nina M. Fain

Deputy City Attorney

NMF:pev:js 02/25/2010 Or.Dept:CCDC R-2010-626 MMS #7836 I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was passed by the Council of the City of San Diego, at this meeting of APR 13 2010.

AMENDMENTS TO THE DOWNTOWN COMMUNITY PLAN

1) Chapter 3, Page 3-26, TDR Program for Historical Resources:

The TDR program for historical resources is designed to encourage the preservation, rehabilitation and restoration of historical resources structures of historic merit that contribute to the quality of the urban environment. Eligible sending and receiving sites may be located on the same block, or in colored areas shown on Figure 3-11. and termsfers can take place either between two different parcels with the same owner, or between two willing and qualified owners as defined in the Planned District regulations of the San Diego Municipal Code. Historical resources are those designated on the National, State, and/or Local San Diego registers. The Centre City Development Corporation (CCDC), the Redevelopment Agency, or the City of San Diego may set up a "TDR Bank" or other mechanisms to facilitate transfers.

2) Chapter 9, Page 9-1, second paragraph, first sentence in second column:

The <u>Local San Diego</u> Register of Historical Resources includes properties and districts deemed to have contributed significantly to regional history and culture.

3) Chapter 9, Figure 9-1, title:

Historical Resources and Districts

4) Chapter 9, Figure 9-1, legend:

Local Historie San Diego Register

Local-San Diego Register Historie District

5) Chapter 9, Page 9-3, first paragraph:

The strategy for conserving downtown historic qualities largely relies on the established process through National Register, California Register, and Local San Diego Register designations of individual properties and districts...The responsibility for designating Local San Diego Register sites and districts belongs to the City's Historical Resources Board, while the federal Department of Interior and State Office of Historic Preservation respectively designate National Register and California Register sites and districts.

6) Chapter 9, Page 9-3, Table 9-1, Historical Designations and Preservation Goals, National Register of Historic Places - Listed:

Retention on-site; any improvements, renovation, rehabilitation, and/or adaptive reuse should facilitate preservation, in conformance with the Department of Interior Standards. Structures consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of

<u>Historic Properties and Guidelines for Preserving, Rehabilitating, Restoring, and Reconstructing Historic Buildings.</u> <u>Structures Historical resources</u> contributing to a National Register District have the same protection status as individually_listed <u>structures</u> resources.

7) Chapter 9.1, Page 9-3, Table 9-1, Historical Designations and Preservation Goals, National Register of Historic Places – Eligible:

Evaluate and encourage listing on in the National Register through the State Office of Historic Preservation or the National Park Service. Resources determined eligible by either agency shall have the same protection status as individually-listed resources in the National Register. If not listed on in, or not determined eligible for listing in the National Register, determine eligibility for Local listing in the San Diego Register with associated development restrictions, and, if designated, provide San Diego Register protections.

8) Chapter 9.1, Page 9-3, Table 9-1, Historical Designations and Preservation Goals, California Register of Historical Resources Places – Listed:

Retention on-site; any improvements, renovation, rehabilitation, and/or adaptive reuse should facilitate preservation, in conformance with the state Office of Historic Preservation standards. Structures Resources contributing to a California Register District have the same protection status as individually-listed structures resources. Structures Resources listed on the National Register of Historic Places or determined to be eligible for listing in the National Register are automatically listed on in the California Register of Historical Places Resources.

9) Chapter 9.1, Page 9-3, Table 9-1, Historical Designations and Preservation Goals, California Register of Historical Resources – Eligible:

Evaluate and encourage listing in the California Register through the State Office of Historic Preservation. Historical resources determined eligible for listing have the same protection status as individually-listed resources in the California Register. Retention onsite; any improvements, renovation, rehabilitation, or adaptive reuse shall be consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties and Guidelines for Preserving, Rehabilitating, Restoring, and Reconstructing Historic Buildings.

10) Chapter 9.1, Page 9-3, Table 9-1, Historical Designations and Preservation Goals, Local San Diego Register of Historical Places Resources – Listed:

Whenever possible, retain resource on-site. Partial retention, relocation or demolition of a resource shall only be permitted through applicable City procedures. Structures Resources contributing to a Local San Diego Register District have the same protection status as individually_listed structures resources.

11) Chapter 9.1, Page 9-3, Table 9-1, Historical Designations and Preservation Goals, Potential Designation to San Diego Register of Historical Resources:

The Land Development Code and Planned District Ordinances require review and processing for potential designations for resources over forty-five years of age, including those listed on applicable surveys.

12) Chapter 9.1, Page 9-4, second paragraph:

Asian Pacific Thematic District: Marks the contributions and architecture of early Asian businesses and residents, and has Local Register status is listed on the San Diego Register of Historical Resources. Structures contributing to the district are subject to preservation goals per the Local San Diego Register provisions, as well as development regulations per the San Diego Municipal Code., while dDiversity in infill structures is allowed in accordance with those goals and regulations. A Master Plan for the Asian Pacific Thematic Historic District was adopted by the Redevelopment Agency in 1995 and remains a valuable source of historic information on the area.

13) Chapter 9.1, Page 9-4, third paragraph:

Two additional thematic districts are currently under study for Local San Diego Register designations: the Warehouse District in downtown's southeastern quadrant and the African-American District south of Broadway. If approved, the Local Register designation of designated for listing on the San Diego Register, these districts will accommodate flexible integration of new development.

- 14) Chapter 9.1, Page 9-4, Goals: Historical Conservation:
 - 9.1-G-1 Protect historical resources to communicate downtown's heritage.
- 15) Chapter 9.1, Page 9-4, Goals: Historical Conservation:
 - 9.1-G-2 Encourage the rehabilitation and reuse of designated historical properties resources.
- 16) Chapter 9.1, Page 9-4, Goals: Historical Conservation:
 - 9.1-G-3 Allow development adjacent to designated National Register sites historical resources respectful of context and heritage, while permitting contemporary design solutions that do not adversely affect historical resources.
- 17) Chapter 9.1, Page 9-4, Policies: Historical Conservation:
 - 9.1-P-1 Maintain review procedures for projects potentially affecting <u>resources listed in</u>, <u>or eligible for listing in the</u> National Register, State Register, <u>and Local or San Diego</u> Register properties and districts either individually or as contributors to historic districts.

- 18) Chapter 9.1, Page 9-4, Policies: Historical Conservation:
 - 9.1-P-2 Offer incentives to encourage rehabilitation and reuse of historical properties resources, including transfer of development rights, floor area bonuses and exceptions to parking requirements.
- 19) Chapter 9.1, Page 9-4, Policies: Historical Conservation:
 - 9.1-P-3 Assist in the rehabilitation of historical properties resources through five ongoing programs:
- 20) Chapter 9.1, Page 9-4, Policies: Historical Conservation:
 - 9.1-P-4 Encourage the retention of historical resources on-site with new development. If retention of a historical resource on-site is found to be infeasible under appropriate City review procedures, the potential relocation of the historical resource to another location within downtown shall be explored, and if feasible, adopted as a condition of a site development permit.
- 21) Chapter 9.2, Page 9-5, Integrating Heritage in Downtown's Future, first two paragraphs:

Downtown continues on a path of major transformation. Considerable strides have been made in designating, preserving, and restoring historic assets. Additional historical resources properties preserved through rehabilitation and/or re-use will contribute to the future downtown environment. The preservation, retention, and rehabilitation, restoration, reconstruction, and retention of designated historical resources structures, and their incorporation into new development projects, whether in whole or in part, is strongly encouraged. However, some loss of properties listed on the Local San Diego Register may inevitably occur to accommodate growth and population goals. , but tThe relocation or demolition of designated historical resources shall only be permitted when alternatives are not feasible, and adequate mitigation is provided.

Several properties in the eastern portion of downtown are under study for eligibility for Local San Diego Register listings. Since this is the last district to experience major redevelopment, a number of older buildings still exist. This stock tends to be utilitarian in nature—single—or two story, including warehouses, commercial structures and modest "worker cottages."—and not unique to downtown in the region. The few landmarks in the eastern area are scattered. This contrasts with the stature, construction quality, civic orientation, and architectural distinction of prominent preservation examples found in other downtown San Diego neighborhoods, and other major downtowns—such as the Financial District of San Francisco. Restoration costs and structural conditions also pose practical limits on preservation.

- 22) Chapter 9.2, Page 9-6, Integrating Heritage in Downtown's Future, second paragraph:
 - Another aspect of the historical conservation strategy is to continue interpretive programs, particularly those related to the historic districts.
- 23) Chapter 9.2, Page 9-6, Goals: Integrating Heritage in Downtown's Future:
 - 9.2-G-1 Integrate designated historical resources into the downtown fabric while achieving policies for significant development and population intensification.
- 24) Chapter 9.2, Page 9-6, Policies: Integrating Heritage in Downtown's Future:
 - 9.2-P-1 Incorporate elements of <u>historical</u> buildings in new projects to impart heritage.
- 25) Chapter 9.2, Page 9-6, Policies: Integrating Heritage in Downtown's Future:
 - 9.2-P-2 Partner with business, community, cultural, and historic organizations associated with designated historical districts resources to prepare and implement interpretive programs, such as walking and audio tours or a "story pole,", permanent displays and signage, informational pamphlets, banners, and special events celebrating downtown's history.
- 26) Add Appendix A Mitigation, Monitoring, and Reporting Plan for the Final Environmental Impact Report for the Centre City Redevelopment Project adopted and approved by the Redevelopment Agency on April 13, 2010.

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT(S)	MITIGATION MEASURE(S)	IMPLEMENTATION TIME FRAME	IMPLEMENTATION RESPONSIBILITY	VERIFICATION RESPONSIBILITY
Air Quality (AQ)				l
Impact AQ-B.1: Dust and construction equipment engine emissions generated during grading and demolition would impact local and regional air quality. (Direct and Cumulative)	 Mitigation Measure AQ-B.1-1: Prior to approval of a Grading or Demolition Permit, the City shall confirm that the following conditions have been applied, as appropriate: 1. Exposed soil areas shall be watered twice per day. On windy days or when fugitive dust can be observed leaving the development site, additional applications of water shall be applied as necessary to prevent visible dust plumes from leaving the development site. When wind velocities are forecast to exceed 25 miles per hour, all ground disturbing activities shall be halted until winds that are forecast to abate below this threshold. 	Prior to Demolition or Grading Permit (Design)	Developer	City
	Dust suppression techniques shall be implemented including, but not limited to, the following:			
	 a. Portions of the construction site to remain inactive longer than a period of three months shall be seeded and watered until grass cover is grown or otherwise stabilized in a manner acceptable to the CCDC. 			
	 On-site access points shall be paved as soon as feasible or watered periodically or otherwise stabilized. 	4		
	c. Material transported offsite shall be either sufficiently watered or securely covered to prevent excessive amounts of dust.			
	d. The area disturbed by clearing, grading, earthmoving, or excavation operations shall be minimized at all times.			
	3. Vehicles on the construction site shall travel at speeds less than 15 miles per hour.			
	4. Material stockpiles subject to wind erosion during construction activities, which will not be utilized within three days, shall be covered with plastic, an alternative cover deemed equivalent to plastic, or sprayed with a nontoxic chemical stabilizer.			
	5. Where vehicles leave the construction site and enter adjacent public streets, the streets shall be swept daily or washed down at the end of the work day to remove soil tracked onto the paved surface. Any visible			

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT(S)	MITIGATION MEASURE(S)	IMPLEMENTATION TIME FRAME	IMPLEMENTATION RESPONSIBILITY	VERIFICATION RESPONSIBILITY
	track-out extending for more than fifty (50) feet from the access point shall be swept or washed within thirty (30) minutes of deposition.	t		
	 All diesel-powered vehicles and equipment shall be properly operate and maintained. 	1		
	 All diesel-powered vehicles and gasoline-powered equipment shall b turned off when not in use for more than five minutes, as required b state law. 			
	8. The construction contractor shall utilize electric or natural gas-powere equipment in lieu of gasoline or diesel-powered engines, where feasible			
	9. As much as possible, the construction contractor shall time the construction activities so as not to interfere with peak hour traffic. I order to minimize obstruction of through traffic lanes adjacent to the site, a flag-person shall be retained to maintain safety adjacent the existing roadways, if necessary.			
	10. The construction contractor shall support and encourage ridesharing an transit incentives for the construction crew.	1		
	11. Low VOC coatings shall be used as required by SDAPCD Rule 67. Spray equipment with high transfer efficiency, such as the high volume low pressure (HPLV) spray method, or manual coatings application such as paint brush hand roller, trowel, spatula, dauber, rag, or sponger shall be used to reduce VOC emissions, where feasible.	- 1		
	12. If construction equipment powered by alternative fuel source (LPG/CNG) is available at comparable cost, the developer shall specif that such equipment be used during all construction activities on the development site.	<i>i</i>		
	13. The developer shall require the use of particulate filters on diese construction equipment if use of such filters is demonstrated to be cost competitive for use on this development.	1		
	 During demolition activities, safety measures as required b City/County/State for removal of toxic or hazardous materials shall b utilized. 			
	15. Rubble piles shall be maintained in a damp state to minimize during generation.	t		
	16. During finish work, low-VOC paints and efficient transfer systems sha	1		

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT(S)	MITIGATION MEASURE(S)	IMPLEMENTATION TIME FRAME	IMPLEMENTATION RESPONSIBILITY	VERIFICATION RESPONSIBILITY
	be utilized, to the extent possible.			
	17. If alternative-fueled and/or particulate filter-equipped construction equipment is not feasible, construction equipment shall use the newest, least-polluting equipment, whenever possible.			
HISTORICAL RESOURCES (HIST)				
Impact HIST-A.1: Future development in downtown could impact significant architectural structures. (Direct and Cumulative)	Mitigation Measure HIST-A.1-1: For construction or development permits that may impact potentially historical resources which are 45 years of age or older and which have not been evaluated for local, state and federal historic significance, a site specific survey shall be required in accordance with the Historical Resources Regulations in the Land Development Code. Based on the survey and the best information available, City Staff to the Historical Resources Board (HRB) shall determine whether historical resources exist, whether potential historical resource(s) is/are eligible for designation as designated historical resource(s) by the HRB, and the precise location of the resource(s). The identified historical resource(s) may be nominated for HRB designation as a result of the survey pursuant to Chapter 12, Article 3, Division 2, Designation of Historical Resource procedures, of the Land Development Code. All applications for construction and development permits where historical resources are present on the site shall be evaluated by City Staff to the HRB pursuant to Chapter 14, Article 3, Division 2, Historical Resources Regulations of the Land Development Code.	Prior to Development Permit (Design) Prior to Demolition, Grading, and/or Building Permit (Design) Prior to Certificate of Occupancy (Implementation)	Developer	CCDC/City
	• National Register-Listed/Eligible, California Register-Listed/Eligible Resources: Resources listed in or formally determined eligible for the National Register or California Register and resources identified as contributing within a National or California Register District, shall be retained onsite and any improvements, renovation, rehabilitation and/or adaptive reuse of the property shall ensure its preservation and be consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties (1995) and the associated Guidelines.			
	San Diego Register-Listed Resources: Resources listed in the San Diego Register of historical Resources, or determined to be a			

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT(S)	MITIGATION MEASURE(S)	IMPLEMENTATION TIME FRAME	IMPLEMENTATION RESPONSIBILITY	VERIFICATION RESPONSIBILITY
	contributor to a San Diego Register District, shall, whenever possible, be retained on-site. Partial retention, relocation, or demolition of a resource shall only be permitted according to Chapter 14, Article 3, Division 2, Historical Resources Regulations of the Land Development Code.			
	Mitigation Measure HIST-A.1-2: If the potential exists for direct and/or indirect impacts to retained or relocated designated and/or potential historical resources ("historical resources"), the following measures shall be implemented in coordination with a Development Services Department designee and/or City Staff to the Historic Resources Board (HRB) ("City Staff") in accordance with Chapter 14, Article 3, Division 2, Historical Resources Regulations of the Land Development Code.			
	 I. Prior to Permit Issuance A Construction Plan Check 1. Prior to Notice to Proceed (NTP) for any construction permits, including but not limited to, the first Grading Permit Building Permits, but prior to the first Preconstruction (Precon) Meeting, whichever is applicable, City Staff shall verify that the requirements for historical monitoring during demolition and/or stabilization have been noted on the appropriate construction documents. (a) Stabilization work can not begin until a Precon Meeting has been held at least one week prior to issuance of appropriate permits. (b) Physical description, including the year and type of historical 			
	resource, and extent of stabilization shall be noted on the plans. B. Submittal of Treatment Plan for Retained Historical Resources 1. Prior to Notice to Proceed (NTP) for any construction permits, including but not limited to, the first Grading Permit and Building Permits, but prior to the first Precon Meeting, whichever is applicable, the Applicant shall submit a Treatment Plan to City Staff for review and approval in accordance in accordance with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of			

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT(S)	MITIGATION MEASURE(S)	IMPLEMENTATION TIME FRAME	IMPLEMENTATION RESPONSIBILITY	VERIFICATION RESPONSIBILITY
	Historic Properties (1995) and the associated Guidelines. The Treatment Plan shall include measures for protecting any historical resources, as defined in the Land Development Code, during construction related activities (e.g., removal of non-historic features, demolition of adjacent structures, subsurface structural support, etc.,). The Treatment Plan shall be shown as notes on all construction documents (i.e., Grading and/or Building Plans). C. Letters of Qualification have been submitted to City Staff identifying the Principal Investigator (PI) for the project and the names of all persons involved in this MMRP (i.e., Architectural Historian, Historic Architect and/or Historian), as defined in the City of San Diego Historical Resources Guidelines (HRG). 2. City Staff will provide a letter to the applicant confirming that the qualifications of the PI and all persons involved in the historical monitoring of the project meet the qualification standards established by the HRG. 3. Prior to the start of work, the applicant must obtain approval from City Staff for any personnel changes associated with the			
	monitoring program. II. Prior to Start of Construction A. Documentation Program (DP) 1. Prior to the first Precon Meeting and/or issuance of any construction permit, the DP shall be submitted to City Staff for review and approval and shall include the following: (a) Photo Documentation (1) Documentation shall include professional quality photo documentation of the historical resource(s) prior to any construction that may cause direct and/or indirect impacts to the resource(s) with 35mm black and white photographs, 4x6 standard format, taken of all four elevations and close-ups of select architectural			

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT(S)	MITIGATION MEASURE(S)	IMPLEMENTATION TIME FRAME	MPLEMENTATION RESPONSIBILITY	VERIFICATION RESPONSIBILITY
	elements, such as, but not limited to, roof/wall			
	junctions, window treatments, and decorative hardware.			
	Photographs shall be of archival quality and easily			
	reproducible.			
	(2) Xerox copies or CD of the photographs shall be			
	submitted for archival storage with the City of San			
	Diego Historical Resources Board and the CCDC			
	Project file. One set of original photographs and			
	negatives shall be submitted for archival storage with			1
	the California Room of the City of San Diego Public			
	Library, the San Diego Historical Society and/or other			
	relative historical society or group(s).			
	(b) Required drawings			
	(1) Measured drawings of the building's exterior elevations			
	depicting existing conditions or other relevant features			
	shall be produced from recorded, accurate			
	measurements. If portions of the building are not			
	accessible for measurement, or cannot be reproduced			
	from historic sources, they should not be drawn, but			
	clearly labeled as not accessible. Drawings produced in			
	ink on translucent material or archivally stable material			
	(blueline drawings) are acceptable). Standard drawing			
	sizes are 19" x 24" or 24" x 36", standard scale is 1/4" =			İ
	1 foot.			
	(2) One set of measured drawings shall be submitted for			
	archival storage with the City of San Diego Historical			
	Resources Board, the CCDC Project file, the South			
	Coastal Information Center, the California Room of the			
	City of San Diego Public Library, the San Diego			
	Historical Society and/or other historical society or			
	group(s).			
	2. Prior to the first Precon Meeting, City Staff shall verify that the			
	DP has been approved.			

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT(S)	MITIGATION MEASURE(S)	IMPLEMENTATION TIME FRAME	IMPLEMENTATION RESPONSIBILITY	VERIFICATION RESPONSIBILITY
	B. PI Shall Attend Precon Meetings	<u> </u>		
	1. Prior to beginning any work that may impact any historical			
	resource(s) which is/are subject to this MMRP, the Applicant			
	shall arrange a Precon Meeting that shall include the PI,			
	Construction Manager (CM) and/or Grading Contractor, Resident			
	Engineer (RE), Historical Monitor(s), Building Inspector (BI), if			
	appropriate, and City Staff. The qualified Historian and/or			
	Architectural Historian shall attend any grading/excavation			ł
	related Precon Meetings to make comments and/or suggestions			
	concerning the Historical Monitoring program with the			
	Construction Manager and/or Grading Contractor.		}	:
	(a) If the PI is unable to attend the Precon Meeting, the			
	Applicant shall schedule a focused Precon Meeting with City			
	Staff, the PI, RE, CM or BI, if appropriate, prior to the start			
	of any work that requires monitoring.		:	
	2. Historical Monitoring Plan (HMP)			
	(a) Prior to the start of any work that is subject to an HMP, the			
	PI shall submit an HMP which describes how the			
	monitoring would be accomplished for approval by City			
	Staff. The HMP shall include an Historical Monitoring			
	Exhibit (HME) based on the appropriate construction			
	documents (reduced to 11x17) to City Staff identifying the			
	areas to be monitored including the delineation of		j	
	grading/excavation limits.			
	(b) Prior to the start of any work, the PI shall also submit a			
	construction schedule to City Staff through the RE indicating		Ì	
	when and where monitoring will occur.			
	(c) The PI may submit a detailed letter to City Staff prior to the			
	start of work or during construction requesting a		ĺ	
	modification to the monitoring program. This request shall			
	be based on relevant information such as review of final			
	construction documents which indicate site conditions such			
	as underpinning, shoring and/or extensive excavation which		<u> </u>	L

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT(S)	MITIGATION MEASURE(S)	IMPLEMENTATION TIME FRAME	MPLEMENTATION RESPONSIBILITY	VERIFICATION RESPONSIBILITY
	could result in impacts to, and/or reduce impacts to the on-			
	site or adjacent historical resource.			
	C. Implementation of Approved Treatment Plan for Historical		ĺ	
	Resources			
	1. Implementation of the approved Treatment Plan for the protection			
	of historical resources within the project site may not begin prior			
	to the completion of the Documentation Program as defined			
	above.			
	2. The qualified Historical Monitor(s) shall attend weekly jobsite			
	meetings and be on-site daily during the stabilization phase for			
	any retained or adjacent historical resource to photo document the			
	Treatment Plan process.			
	3. The qualified Historical Monitor(s) shall document activity via			
	the Consultant Site Visit Record (CSVR). The CSVR's shall be		1	1
	faxed by the CM to the RE the first day and last day (Notification			
	of Monitoring Completion) of the Treatment Plan process and in			
	the case of ANY unanticipated incidents. The RE shall forward			
	copies to City Staff.			
	4. Prior to the start of any construction related activities, the			
	applicant shall provide verification to City Staff that all historical			1
	resources on-site have been adequately stabilized in accordance		•	
	with the approved Treatment Plan. This may include a site visit			
	with City Staff, the CM, RE or BI, but may also be accomplished			
	through submittal of the draft Treatment Plan photo			
	documentation report.			
	5. City Staff will provide written verification to the RE or BI after			
	the site visit or upon approval of draft Treatment Plan report			
	indicating that construction related activities can proceed.			
	III. During Construction			
	A. Qualified Historical Monitor(s) Shall be Present During Grading/Excavation/Trenching			
	1. The Qualified Historical Monitor(s) shall be present full-time			
	during grading/excavation/trenching activities which could result			
	during grading/excavation/trenching activities which could result			<u> </u>
ttachment to R-2010-626)				
tiacinnent to K-2010-020)				

70	
ω	
\bigcirc	
CT	
\sim 1	
~	

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT(S)	MITIGATION MEASURE(S)	IMPLEMENTATION TIME FRAME	IMPLEMENTATION RESPONSIBILITY	VERIFICATION RESPONSIBILITY
	in impacts to historical resources as identified on the HME. The Construction Manager is responsible for notifying the RE, PI, and City Staff of changes to any construction activities. 2. The Qualified Historical Monitor(s) shall document field activity via the Consultant Site Visit Record (CSVR). The CSVR's shall be faxed by the CM to the RE the first day of monitoring, the last day of monitoring, monthly (Notification of Monitoring Completion), and in the case of ANY incidents involving the historical resource. The RE shall forward copies to City Staff. 3. The PI may submit a detailed letter to City Staff during construction requesting a modification to the monitoring program when a field condition arises which could effect the historical resource being retained on-site or adjacent to the construction site. B. Notification Process 1. In the event of damage to a historical resource retained on-site or adjacent to the project site, the Qualified Historical Monitor(s) shall direct the contractor to temporarily divert construction activities in the area of historical resource and immediately notify the RE or BI, as appropriate, and the PI (unless Monitor is the PI). 2. The PI shall immediately notify City Staff by phone of the incident, and shall also submit written documentation to City Staff within 24 hours by fax or email with photos of the resource in context, if possible. C. Determination/Evaluation of Impacts to a Historical Resource 1. The PI shall evaluate the incident relative to the historical resource. (a) The PI shall immediately notify City Staff by phone to discuss the incident and shall also submit a letter to City Staff indicating whether additional mitigation is required.			
	(b) If impacts to the historical resource are significant, the PI shall submit a proposal for City Staff review and written			
	approval in accordance with Chapter 14, Article 3, Division			

75
ယ
05
57
6.

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT(S)	MITIGATION MEASURE(S)	IMPLEMENTATION TIME FRAME	IMPLEMENTATION RESPONSIBILITY	VERIFICATION RESPONSIBILITY
	2, Historical Resources Regulations of the Land Development Code and the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties (1995) and the associated Guidelines. Direct and/or indirect impacts to historical resources from construction activities must be mitigated before work will be allowed to resume. (c) If impacts to the historical resource are not considered significant, the PI shall submit a letter to City Staff indicating that the incident will be documented in the Final			
	Monitoring Report. The letter shall also indicate that that no further work is required. IV. Night Work			
	A. If night and/or weekend work is included in the contract 1. When night and/or weekend work is included in the contract package, the extent and timing shall be presented and discussed at the Precon Meeting.			
	The following procedures shall be followed. (a) No Impacts/Incidents In the event that no historical resources were impacted.			
	during night and/or weekend work, the PI shall record the information on the CSVR and submit toCity Staff via fax by 8 am of the next business day. (b) Potentially Significant Impacts If the PI determines that a potentially significant impact has occurred to a historical resource, the procedures detailed under Section III - During Construction shall be followed.			
	(c) The PI shall immediately contact City Staff, or by 8 am of the next business day to report and discuss the findings as indicated in Section III-B, unless other specific arrangements			
	have been made. B. If night and/or weekend work becomes necessary during the course of construction: 1. The Construction Manager shall notify the RE, or BI, as			
	appropriate, a minimum of 24 hours before the work is to begin.			

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT(S)	MITIGATION MEASURE(S)	IMPLEMENTATION TIME FRAME	IMPLEMENTATION RESPONSIBILITY	VERIFICATION RESPONSIBILITY
	2. The RE, or BI, as appropriate, shall notify City Staff immediatelyC. All other procedures described above shall apply, as appropriate.	•		
	 V. Post Construction A. Submittal of Draft Monitoring Report 1. The PI shall submit two copies of the Draft Monitoring Report (even if negative), prepared in accordance with the Historica Resources Guidelines and Appendices which describes th results, analysis, and conclusions of all phases of the Historica Monitoring Program (with appropriate graphics) to City Staff for review and approval within 90 days following the completion of monitoring, (a) The preconstruction Treatment Plan and Documentation Plan (photos and measured drawings) and Historica Commemorative Program, if applicable, shall be include and/or incorporated into the Draft Monitoring Report. (b) The PI shall be responsible for updating (on the appropriat State of California Department of Park and Recreation forms-DPR 523 A/B) any existing site forms to document the partial and/or complete demolition of the resource Updated forms shall be submitted to the South Coasta Information Center with the Final Monitoring Report. 2. City Staff shall return the Draft Monitoring Report to the PI for revision or, for preparation of the Final Report. 3. The PI shall submit revised Draft Monitoring Report to City Staff or approval. 4. City Staff shall provide written verification to the PI of the approved report. 5. City Staff shall notify the RE or BI, as appropriate, of receipt of the provide written verification of the receipt of the provide written verification of the receipt of the provide written verification to the PI of the provide written verification. 			
	all Draft Monitoring Report submittals and approvals. B. Final Monitoring Report(s) 1. The PI shall submit one copy of the approved Final Monitorin	oi.		
	Report to the RE or BI as appropriate, and one copy to City State (even if negative), within 90 days after notification from Cit	f		

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT(S)	MITIGATION MEASURE(S)	IMPLEMENTATION TIME FRAME	IMPLEMENTATION RESPONSIBILITY	VERIFICATION RESPONSIBILITY
	Staff that the draft report has been approved. 2. The RE shall, in no case, issue the Notice of Completion until receiving a copy of the approved Final Monitoring Report from City Staff.			
	Mitigation Measure HIST-A.1-3: If a designated or potential historical resource ("historical resource") as defined in the Land Development Code would be demolished, the following measure shall be implemented in accordance with Chapter 14, Article 3, Division 2, Historical Resources Regulations of the Land Development Code.			
	 I. Prior to Issuance of a Demolition Permit A. A Documentation Program (DP) shall be submitted to City Staff to the Historic Resources Board (HRB) ("City Staff") for review and approval and shall include the following: 1. Photo Documentation (a) Documentation shall include professional quality photo documentation of the structure prior to demolition with 35mm black and white photographs, 4x6 standard format, taken of all four elevations and close-ups of select architectural elements, such as, but not limited to, roof/wall junctions, window treatments, decorative hardware. Photographs shall be of archival quality and easily reproducible. (b) Xerox copies or CD of the photographs shall be submitted for archival storage with the City of San Diego Historical Resources Board and the CCDC Project file. One set of original photographs and negatives shall be submitted for archival storage with the California Room of the City of San Diego Public Library, the San Diego Historical Society and/or other relative historical society or group(s). 2. Required drawings (a) Measured drawings of the building's exterior elevations depicting existing conditions or other relevant features shall be produced from recorded, accurate measurements. If portions of the building are not accessible for measurement, or cannot be reproduced from historic 			

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT(S)	MITIGATION MEASURE(S)	IMPLEMENTATION TIME FRAME	IMPLEMENTATION RESPONSIBILITY	VERIFICATION RESPONSIBILITY
	sources, they should not be drawn, but clearly labeled as not accessible. Drawings produced in ink on translucent material or archivally stable material (blueline drawings are acceptable). Standard drawing sizes are 19" x 24" or 24" x 36", standard scale is 1/4" = 1 foot. (b) One set of measured drawings shall be submitted for archival storage with the City of San Diego Historical Resources Board, the CCDC Project file, the South Coastal Information Center, the California Room of the City of San Diego Public Library, the San Diego Historical Society and/or other historical society or group(s). B. Prior to the first Precon Meeting City Staff shall verify that the DP has been approved.			
	Mitigation Measure HIST-A.1-3: If a designated or potential historical resource ("historical resource") as defined in the Land Development Code would be demolished, the following measure shall be implemented in accordance with Chapter 14, Article 3, Division 2, Historical Resources Regulations of the Land Development Code.			
	I. Prior to Issuance of a Demolition Permit A. A Documentation Program (DP) shall be submitted to City Staff to the Historic Resources Board (HRB) ("City Staff") for review and approval and shall include the following: 1. Photo Documentation (a) Documentation shall include professional quality photo documentation of the structure prior to demolition with 35mm black and white photographs, 4x6 standard format, taken of all four elevations and close-ups of select architectural elements, such as, but not limited to, roof/wall junctions, window treatments, decorative hardware. Photographs shall be of archival quality and easily reproducible. (b) Xerox copies or CD of the photographs shall be submitted for archival storage with the City of San Diego Historical Resources Board and the CCDC Project file. One set of original photographs and negatives shall be submitted for			

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT(S)	MITIGATION MEASURE(S)	IMPLEMENTATION TIME FRAME	IMPLEMENTATION RESPONSIBILITY	VERIFICATION RESPONSIBIL
	archival storage with the California Room of the City of San Diego Public Library, the San Diego Historical Society and/or other relative historical society or group(s). 2. Required drawings (a) Measured drawings of the building's exterior elevations depicting existing conditions or other relevant features shall be produced from recorded, accurate measurements. If portions of the building are not accessible for measurement, or cannot be reproduced from historic sources, they should not be drawn, but clearly labeled as not accessible. Drawings produced in ink on translucent material or archivally stable material (blueline drawings are acceptable). Standard drawing sizes are 19" x 24" or 24" x 36", standard scale is 1/4" = 1 foot. (b) One set of measured drawings shall be submitted for archival storage with the City of San Diego His torical Resources Board, the CCDC Project file, the South Coastal Information Center, the California Room of the City of San Diego Public Library, the San Diego Historical Society and/or other historical society or group(s). B. Prior to the first Precon Meeting, City Staff shall verify that the DP has been approved. C. In addition to the Documentation Program, the Applicant shall comply with any other conditions contained in the Site Development Permit pursuant to Chapter 14, Article 3, Division 2, Historical Resources Regulations of the Land Development Code.			
Impact HIST-B.1: Development in downtown could impact significant buried archaeological resources. (Direct and Cumulative)	Mitigation Measure HIST-B.1-1: If the potential exists for direct and/or indirect impacts to significant buried archaeological resources, the following measures shall be implemented in coordination with a Development Services Department designee and/or City Staff to the Historic Resources Board (HRB) ("City Staff") in accordance with Chapter 14, Article 3, Division 2, Historical Resources Regulations of the Land Development Code. Prior to issuance of any permit that could directly affect an archaeological resource, City Staff shall assure that all elements of the MMRP are performed in accordance with all applicable City regulations and guidelines by an Archaeologist meeting the qualifications specified in Appendix B of the San Diego Land Development Code, Historical Resources Guidelines. City Staff shall also require that the	Prior to Demolition or Grading Permit (Design) Prior to Certificate of Occupancy (Implementation)	Developer	City Staf

MITIGATION MEASURE(S)	IMPLEMENTATION TIME FRAME	IMPLEMENTATION RESPONSIBILITY	VERIFICATION RESPONSIBILITY
following steps be taken to determine: (1) the presence of archaeological resources and (2) the appropriate mitigation for any significant resources which may be impacted by a development activity. Sites may include residential and commercial properties, privies, trash pits, building foundations, and industrial features representing the contributions of people from diverse socio-economic and ethnic backgrounds. Sites may also include resources associated with pre-historic Native American activities. Archeological resources which also meet the definition of historical resources or unique archaeological resources under CEQA or the SDMC shall be treated in accordance with the following evaluation procedures and applicable mitigation program:			
An initial evaluation for the potential of significant subsurface archaeological resources shall be prepared to the satisfaction of City Staff as part of an Environmental Secondary Study for any activity which involves excavation or building demolition. The initial evaluation shall be guided by an appropriate level research design in accordance with the City's Land Development Code, Historical Resources Guidelines. The person completing the initial review shall meet the qualification requirements as set forth in the Historical Resources Guidelines and shall be approved by City Staff. The initial evaluation shall consist, at a minimum, of a review of the following historical sources: The 1876 Bird's Eye View of San Diego, all Sanborn Fire Insurance Company maps, appropriate City directories and maps that identify historical properties or archaeological sites, and a records search at the South Coastal Information Center for archaeological resources located within the property boundaries. Historical and existing land uses shall also be reviewed to assess the potential presence of significant prehistoric and historic archaeological resources. The person completing the initial review shall also consult with and consider input from local individuals and groups with expertise in the historical resources of the San Diego area. These experts may include the University of California, San Diego State University, San Diego Museum of Man, Save Our Heritage Organization (SOHO), local historical and archaeological groups, the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC), designated community planning groups, and other individuals or groups that may have specific knowledge of the area. Consultation with these or other individuals and groups shall occur as early as possible in the evaluation process.			

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT(S)	MITIGATION MEASURE(S)	IMPLEMENTATION TIME FRAME	IMPLEMENTATION RESPONSIBILITY	VERIFICATION RESPONSIBILITY
	present on a project site but their presence cannot be confirmed prior to construction or demolition due to obstructions or spatially limited testing and data recovery, the applicant shall prepare and implement an archaeological monitoring program as a condition of development approval to the satisfaction of City Staff. If the NAHC Sacred Lands File search is positive for Native American resources within the project site, then additional evaluation must include participation of a local Native American consultant in accordance with CEQA Sections 15064.5(d), 15126.4(b)(3) and Public Resources Code Section 21083.2.			
	No further action is required if the initial evaluation demonstrates there is no potential for subsurface resources. The results of this research shall be summarized in the Secondary Study.			
	Step 2-Testing			
	A testing program is required if the initial evaluation demonstrates that there is a potential for subsurface resources. The testing program shall be conducted during the hazardous materials remediation or following the removal of any structure or surface covering which may be underlain by potential resources. The removal of these structures shall be conducted in a manner which minimizes disturbance of underlying soil. This shall entail a separate phase of investigations from any mitigation monitoring during construction.			
	The testing program shall be performed by a qualified Historical Archaeologist meeting the qualifications specified in Appendix B of the San Diego Land Development Code, Historical Resources Guidelines. The Historical Archaeologist must be approved by City Staff prior to commencement. Before commencing the testing, a treatment plan shall be submitted for City Staff			
	approval that reviews the initial evaluation results and includes a research design. The research design shall be prepared in accordance with the City's Historical Resources Guidelines and include a discussion of field methods, research questions against which discoveries shall be evaluated for significance, collection strategy, laboratory and analytical approaches, and curation arrangements. All tasks shall be in conformity with best practices in the field of historic urban archaeology. A recommended approach for historic urban sites is			
	at a minimum fills and debris along interior lot lines or other areas indicated on Sanborn maps.			

70
ω
CT
~
တ
0

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT(S)	MITIGATION MEASURE(S)	IMPLEMENTATION TIME FRAME	IMPLEMENTATION RESPONSIBILITY	VERIFICATION RESPONSIBILITY
	Security measures such as a locked fence or surveillance shall be taken to prevent looting or vandalism of archaeological resources as soon as demolition is complete or paved surfaces are removed. These measures shall be maintained during archaeological field investigations. It is recommended that exposed features be covered with steel plates or fill dirt when not being investigated. The results of the testing phase shall be submitted in writing to City Staff and shall include the research design, testing results, significance evaluation, and recommendations for further treatment. Final determination of significance shall be made in consultation with City Staff, and with the Native American community, if the finds are prehistoric. If no significant resources are found and site conditions are such that there is no potential for further discoveries, then no further action is required. If no significant resources are found but results of the initial evaluation and testing phase indicates there is still a potential for resources to be present in portions of the property that could not be tested, then mitigation monitoring is required and shall be conducted in accordance with the provisions set forth in Step 4 - Monitoring. If significant resources are discovered during the testing program, then data recovery in accordance with Step 3 shall be undertaken prior to construction. If the existence or probable likelihood of Native American human remains or associated grave goods area discovered through the testing program, the Qualified Archaeologist shall stop work in the area, notify the City Building Inspector, City staff, and immediately implement the procedures set forth in CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5 and the California Public Resources Code (PRC) Section 5097.98 for discovery of human remains. This procedure is further detailed in the Mitigation, Monitoring and Reporting Program (Step 4).			
	City Staff must concur with evaluation results before the next steps can proceed. Step 3-Data Recovery For any site determined to be significant, a Research Design and Data Recovery Program (RDDRP) shall be prepared in accordance with the City's Historical Resources Guidelines, approved by City Staff, and carried out to mitigate impacts before any activity is conducted which could potentially disturb			
	significant resources. The archaeologist shall notify City Staff of the date upon which data recovery will commence ten (10) working days in advance. All cultural materials collected shall be cleaned, catalogued and permanently curated with an appropriate institution. Native American burial resources shall			

70	
ယ	
\bigcirc	
O	
~	
\Box	

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT(S)	MITIGATION MEASURE(S)	IMPLEMENTATION TIME FRAME	IMPLEMENTATION RESPONSIBILITY	VERIFICATION RESPONSIBILITY
	be treated in the manner agreed to by the Native American representative or be reinterred on the site in an area not subject to further disturbance in accordance with CEQA section 15164.5 and the Public Resources Code section 5097.98. All artifacts shall be analyzed to identify function and chronology as they relate to the history of the area. Faunal material shall be identified as to species and specialty studies shall be completed, as appropriate. All newly discovered archaeological sites shall be recorded with the South Coastal Information Center at San Diego State University. Any human bones and associated grave goods of Native American origin encountered during Step 2-Testing, shall, upon consultation, be turned over to the appropriate Native American representative(s) for treatment in accordance with state regulations as further outlined under Step 4-Monitoring (Section IV. Discovery of Human Remains). A draft Data Recovery Report shall be submitted to City Staff within twelve months of the commencement of the data recovery. Data Recovery Reports shall describe the research design or questions, historic context of the finds, field results, analysis of artifacts, and conclusions. Appropriate figures, maps and tables shall accompany the text. The report shall also include a catalogue of all finds and a description of curation arrangements at an approved facility, and a general statement indicting the disposition of any human remains encountered during the data recovery effort (please note that the location of reinternment and/or repatriation is confidential and not subject to public disclosure in accordance with state law). Finalization of draft reports shall be subject to City Staff review.			
	Step 4 – Monitoring If no significant resources are encountered, but results of the initial evaluation and testing phase indicates there is still a potential for resources to be present in portions of the property that could not be tested, then mitigation monitoring is required and shall be conducted in accordance with the following provisions and components:			
	 I. Prior to Permit Issuance A. Construction Plan Check 1. Prior to Notice to Proceed (NTP) for any construction permits, including but not limited to, the first Grading Permit, Demolition Permits and Building Permits, but prior to the first Precon Meeting, whichever is applicable, City Staff shall verify that the 			

Ź	
ယ	
0.5	
-1	
٥	

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT(S)	MITIGATION MEASURE(S)	IMPLEMENTATION TIME FRAME	IMPLEMENTATION RESPONSIBILITY	VERIFICATION RESPONSIBILITY
	requirements for Archaeological Monitoring and Native American monitoring, where the project may impact Native American resources, have been noted on the appropriate construction documents. B. Letters of Qualification have been submitted to City Staff 1. The applicant shall submit a letter of verification to City Staff identifying the Principal Investigator (PI) for the project and the names of all persons involved in the archaeological monitoring program, as defined in the City of San Diego Historical Resources Guidelines (HRG). If applicable, individuals involved in the archaeological monitoring program must have completed the 40-hour HAZWOPER training with certification documentation. 2. City Staff will provide a letter to the applicant confirming that the qualifications of the PI and all persons involved in the archaeological monitoring of the project meet the qualifications established in the HRG. 3. Prior to the start of work, the applicant must obtain written			
	approval from City Staff for any personnel changes associated with the monitoring program. II. Prior to Start of Construction A. Verification of Records Search 1. The PI shall provide verification to City Staff that a site-specific records search (1/4 mile radius) has been completed. Verification includes, but is not limited to a copy of a confirmation letter from South Coastal Information Center, or, if the search was in-house, a letter of verification from the PI stating that the search was completed. 2. The letter shall introduce any pertinent information concerning expectations and probabilities of discovery during trenching and/or grading activities. 3. The PI may submit a detailed letter to City Staff requesting a reduction to the ¼ mile radius. B. PI Shall Attend Precon Meetings 1. Prior to beginning any work that requires monitoring, the Applicant shall arrange a Precon Meeting that shall include the PI, Native American consultant/monitor (where Native American resources may be impacted), Construction Manager (CM) and/or			

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT(S)	MITIGATION MEASURE(S)	IMPLEMENTATION TIME FRAME	IMPLEMENTATION RESPONSIBILITY	VERIFICATION RESPONSIBILITY
	Grading Contractor, Resident Engineer (RE), the Native American representative(s) (where Native American resources may be impacted), Building Inspector (BI), if appropriate, and City Staff. The qualified Archaeologist and the Native American consultant/monitor shall attend any grading/excavation related Precon Meetings to make comments and/or suggestions concerning the Archaeological Monitoring program with the Construction Manager and/or Grading Contractor. (a) If the PI is unable to attend the Precon Meeting, the Applicant shall schedule a focused Precon Meeting with City Staff, the PI, RE, CM or BI, if appropriate, prior to the start of any work that requires monitoring. 2. Archaeological Monitoring Plan (AMP) (a) Prior to the start of any work that requires monitoring, the PI shall submit an Archaeological Monitoring Plan (with verification that the AMP has been reviewed and approved by the Native American consultant/monitor when NA resources may be impacted) which describes how the monitoring would be accomplished for approval by City Staff and the Native American monitor. The AMP shall include an Archaeological Monitoring Exhibit (AME) based on the appropriate construction documents (reduced to 11x17) to City Staff identifying the areas to be monitored including the delineation of grading/excavation limits. (b) The AME shall be based on the results of a site-specific records search as well as information regarding existing known soil conditions (native or formation). (c) Prior to the start of any work, the PI shall also submit a construction schedule to City Staff through the RE indicating when and where monitoring will occur. (d) The PI may submit a detailed letter to City Staff prior to the start of work or during construction requesting a modification to the monitoring program. This request shall be based on relevant information such as review of final construction documents which indicate site conditions such as depth of excavation and/or site graded to bedrock, etc., which may reduce or increas			

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT(S)
Attachment to R-2010-626)

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT(S)	MITIGATION MEASURE(S)	IMPLEMENTATION TIME FRAME	IMPLEMENTATION RESPONSIBILITY	VERIFICATION RESPONSIBILITY
	 The PI shall immediately notify City Staff by phone of the discovery, and shall also submit written documentation to City Staff within 24 hours by fax or email with photos of the resource in context, if possible. No soil shall be exported off-site until a determination can be made regarding the significance of the resource specifically if Native American resources are encountered. 			
	C. Determination of Significance 1. The PI and Native American consultant/monitor, where Native American resources are discovered, shall evaluate the significance of the resource. If Human Remains are involved, follow protocol in Section IV below. (a) The PI shall immediately notify City Staff by phone to discuss significance determination and shall also submit a letter to City Staff indicating whether additional mitigation is required. (b) If the resource is significant, the PI shall submit an Archaeological Data Recovery Program (ADRP) which has been reviewed by the Native American consultant/monitor when applicable, and obtain written approval from City Staff and the Native American representative(s), if applicable. Impacts to significant resources must be mitigated before ground disturbing activities in the area of discovery will be allowed to resume. (c) If the resource is not significant, the PI shall submit a letter to City Staff indicating that artifacts will be collected, curated, and documented in the Final Monitoring Report. The letter shall also indicate that that no further work is required.			
	IV. Discovery of Human Remains If human remains are discovered, work shall halt in that area and no soil shall be exported off-site until a determination can be made regarding the provenance of the human remains; and the following procedures set forth in CEQA Section 15064.5(e), the California Public Resources Code (Sec. 5097.98) and State Health and Safety Code (Sec. 7050.5) shall be undertaken:			

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT(S)	MITIGATION MEASURE(S)	IMPLEMENTATION TIME FRAME	IMPLEMENTATION RESPONSIBILITY	VERIFICATION RESPONSIBILITY
	 A. Notification 			

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT(S)	MITIGATION MEASURE(S)	IMPLEMENTATION TIME FRAME	IMPLEMENTATION RESPONSIBILITY	VERIFICATION RESPONSIBILITY
	failed to make a recommendation within 48 hours after			
	being notified by the Commission; OR;			
	(b) The landowner or authorized representative rejects the			
	recommendation of the MLD and mediation in accordance			
	with PRC 5097.94 (k) by the NAHC fails to provide		İ	
	measures acceptable to the landowner, THEN,			
	(c) In order to protect these sites, the Landowner shall do one		1	1
	or more of the following:			
	(1) Record the site with the NAHC;			
	(2) Record an open space or conservation easement on			
	the site; (3) Record a document with the County.		[
	6. Upon the discovery of multiple Native American human remains			
	during a ground disturbing land development activity, the			
	landowner may agree that additional conferral with descendants is			
	necessary to consider culturally appropriate treatment of multiple			1
	Native American human remains. Culturally appropriate			
	treatment of such a discovery may be ascertained from review of		i	
	the site utilizing cultural and archaeological standards. Where the			
	parties are unable to agree on the appropriate treatment measures			
	the human remains and buried with Native American human			
	remains shall be reinterred with appropriate dignity, pursuant to			
	Section 5.c., above.			
	D. If Human Remains are not Native American			
	1. The PI shall contact the Medical Examiner and notify them of			
	the historic era context of the burial.			
	 The Medical Examiner will determine the appropriate course of action with the PI and City staff (PRC 5097.98). 			
	3. If the remains are of historic origin, they shall be appropriately			
	removed and conveyed to the San Diego Museum of Man for			
	analysis. The decision for internment of the human remains shall			
	be made in consultation with City Staff, the applicant/landowner			
	and the San Diego Museum of Man.			
	V. Night and/or Weekend Work			
	A. If night and/or work is included in the contract			
	1. When night and/or weekend work is included in the contract			
	package, the extent and timing shall be presented and discussed			
	at the Precon Meeting.			<u> </u>

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT(S)	MITIGATION MEASURE(S)	IMPLEMENTATION TIME FRAME	IMPLEMENTATION RESPONSIBILITY	VERIFICATION RESPONSIBILITY
	2. The following procedures shall be followed. (a) No Discoveries In the event that no discoveries were encountered during night and/or weekend work, the PI shall record the information on the CSVR and submit to City Staff via fax by 8 am of the next business day. (b) Discoveries All discoveries shall be processed and documented using the existing procedures detailed in Sections III - During Construction, and IV - Discovery of Human Remains. Discovery of human remains shall always be treated as a significant discovery. (c) Potentially Significant Discoveries If the PI determines that a potentially significant discovery has been made, the procedures detailed under Section III - During Construction and IV-Discovery of Human Remains shall be followed. (d) The PI shall immediately contact City Staff, or by 8 am of the next business day to report and discuss the findings as indicated in Section III-B, unless other specific arrangements have been made. B. If night and/or weekend work becomes necessary during the course of construction 1. The Construction Manager shall notify the RE, or BI, as appropriate, a minimum of 24 hours before the work is to begin. 2. The RE, or BI, as appropriate, shall notify City Staff immediately. C. All other procedures described above shall apply, as appropriate. VI. Post Construction A. Submittal of Draft Monitoring Report 1. The PI shall submit two copies of the Draft Monitoring Report (even if negative) prepared in accordance with the Historical Resources Guidelines and Appendices which describes the results, analysis, and conclusions of all phases of the Archaeological Monitoring Program (with appropriate graphics) to City Staff, for review and approval within 90 days following the completion of monitoring, (a) For significant archaeological resources encountered during			

ス	`
ယ	
CT	٠
~1	
က	

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT(S)	MITIGATION MEASURE(S)	IMPLEMENTATION TIME FRAME	IMPLEMENTATION RESPONSIBILITY	VERIFICATION RESPONSIBILITY
	monitoring, the Archaeological Data Recovery Program shall be included in the Draft Monitoring Report. (b) Recording sites with State of California Department of Parks and Recreation The PI shall be responsible for recording (on the appropriate State of California Department of Park and Recreation forms-DPR 523 A/B) any significant or potentially significant resources encountered during the Archaeological Monitoring Program in accordance with the City's Historical Resources Guidelines, and submittal of such forms to the South Coastal Information Center with the Final Monitoring Report. 2. City Staff shall return the Draft Monitoring Report to the PI for revision or, for preparation of the Final Report. 3. The PI shall submit revised Draft Monitoring Report to City Staff for approval. 4. City Staff shall provide written verification to the PI of the approved report. 5. City Staff shall notify the RE or BI, as appropriate, of receipt of all Draft Monitoring Report submittals and approvals. B. Handling of Artifacts and Submittal of Collections Management Plan, if applicable 1. The PI shall be responsible for ensuring that all cultural remains collected are cleaned and catalogued. 2. The PI shall be responsible for ensuring that all artifacts are analyzed to identify function and chronology as they relate to the history of the area; that faunal material is identified as to species; and that specialty studies are completed, as appropriate. 3. The PI shall submit a Collections Management Plan to City Staff for review and approval for any project which results in a substantial collection of historical artifacts. C. Curation of artifacts: Accession Agreement and Acceptance Verification 1. The PI shall be responsible for ensuring that all artifacts associated with the survey, testing and/or data recovery for this project are permanently curated with an appropriate institution. This shall be completed in consultation with City Staff and the			

Ŋ
ω
O
~
S
0

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT(S)	MITIGATION MEASURE(S)	IMPLEMENTATION TIME FRAME	IMPLEMENTATION RESPONSIBILITY	VERIFICATION RESPONSIBILITY
	Native American representative, as applicable. 2. The PI shall include the Acceptance Verification from the curation institution in the Final Monitoring Report submitted to the RE or BI andCity Staff. 3. When applicable to the situation, the PI shall include written verification from the Native American consultant/monitor indicating that Native American resources were treated in accordance with state law and/or applicable agreements. If the resources were reinterred, verification shall be provided to show what protective measures were taken to ensure no further disturbance in accordance with section IV – Discovery of Human Remains, subsection 5.(d). D. Final Monitoring Report(s) 1. The PI shall submit one copy of the approved Final Monitoring Report to the RE or BI as appropriate, and one copy to City Staff (even if negative), within 90 days after notification from City Staff that the draft report has been approved. 2. The RE shall, in no case, issue the Notice of Completion until receiving a copy of the approved Final Monitoring Report from City Staff which includes the Acceptance Verification from the curation institution.			
LAND USE (LND)				
Impact LU-B.1: Noise generated by major ballpark events could cause interior noise levels in noise-sensitive uses (e.g. residential and hotels) within four blocks of the ballpark to exceed the 45 dB(A) limit mandated by Title 24 of the California Code. (Direct)	Implementation of the noise attenuation measures required by Mitigation Measure NOI-B.2-1 would reduce interior noise levels to 45 dB (A) CNEL and reduce potential impacts to below a level of significance.	Prior to Building Permit (Design) Prior to Certificate of Occupancy (Implementation)	Developer	CCDC/City
Impact LU-B.2: Noise generated by I-5 and highly traveled	Mitigation Measures NOI-B.1-1 and NOI-C.1.1, as described below.	Prior to Building Permit (Design)	Developer	CCDC/City

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT(S)	MITIGATION MEASURE(S)	IMPLEMENTATION TIME FRAME	IMPLEMENTATION RESPONSIBILITY	VERIFICATION RESPONSIBILITY
24 to exceed 45 dB(A). (Direct)		Occupancy (Implementation)		
Impact LU-B.3: Noise levels in downtown areas within the 65 CNEL contour of SDIA could exceed 45 dB(A) for noise sensitive uses not covered by Title 24. (Direct)	Mitigation Measures NOI-B.1-1, as described below.	Prior to Building Permit (Design) Prior to Certificate of Occupancy (Implementation)	Developer	City/City
Impact LU-B.4: Noise generated by train horns, engines and wheels as well as bells at crossing gates would significantly disrupt sleep of residents along the railroad tracks. (Direct)	Mitigation Measure LU-B.4-1: Prior to approval of a Building Permit which would expose habitable rooms to disruptive railroad noise, an acoustical analysis shall be performed. The analysis shall determine the expected exterior and interior noise levels related to railroad activity. As feasible, noise attenuation measures shall be identified which would reduce noise levels to 45 dB(A) CNEL or less in habitable rooms. Recommended measures shall be incorporated into building plans before approval of a Building Permit.	Permit (Design) Prior to Certificate of Occupancy	Developer	City
Impact LU-B.5: Ballpark lighting would interrupt sleep in residences and hotels within two blocks of the ballpark. (Direct)	Mitigation Measure LU-B.5.1: Prior to approval of a Building Permit which would result in a light sensitive use within a two-block radius of Petco Park, the applicant shall provide a lighting study that demonstrates to the satisfaction of CCDC that habitable rooms would be equipped with light attenuation measures which would allow occupants to reduce night-time light levels to 2.0 footcandles or less.	Permit (Design) Prior to Certificate of	Developer	CCDC/City

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT(S)	MITIGATION MEASURE(S)	IMPLEMENTATION TIME FRAME	IMPLEMENTATION RESPONSIBILITY	VERIFICATION RESPONSIBILITY
Noise (NOI)				
Impact NOI-B.1: Noise generated by I-5 and highly traveled grid streets could cause interior noise levels in noise-sensitive uses (exclusive of residential and hotel uses) to exceed 45 dB(A). (Direct)	Mitigation Measure NOI-B.1-1: Prior to approval of a Building Permit for any residential, hospital, or hotel within 475 feet of the centerline of Interstate 5 or adjacent to a roadway carrying more than 7,000 ADT, an acoustical analysis shall be performed to confirm that architectural or other design features are included which would assure that noise levels within habitable rooms would not exceed 45 dB(A) CNEL.	Prior to Building Permit (Design) Prior to Certificate of Occupancy (Implementation)	Developer	CCDC/City
	Mitigation Measure NOI-B.2-1: Prior to approval of a Building Permit for any noise-sensitive land uses within four blocks of Petco Park, an acoustical analysis shall be performed. The analysis shall confirm that architectural or other design features are included in the design which would assure that noise levels within habitable rooms would not exceed 45 dB(A) CNEL.	Permit (Design)	Developer	City
Impact NOI-C.1: Exterior required outdoor open space in residential could experience traffic noise levels in excess 65 dB(A) CNEL. (Direct)	Mitigation Measure NOI-C.1-1: Prior to approval of a Development Permit for any residential development within 475 feet of the centerline of Interstate 5 or adjacent to a roadway carrying more than 7,000 ADT, an acoustical analysis shall be performed to determine if any required outdoor open space areas would be exposed to noise levels in excess of 65 dB(A) CNEL. Provided noise attenuation would not interfere with the primary purpose or design intent of the exterior use, measures shall be included in building plan, to the extent feasible.	Permit (Design) Prior to Certificate of Occupancy	Developer	City

٠,	O
C	いいころ
(\supset
	J
C	<u>.</u>
- (_

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT(S)	MITIGATION MEASURE(S)	IMPLEMENTATION TIME FRAME	IMPLEMENTATION RESPONSIBILITY	VERIFICATION RESPONSIBILITY
Impact NOI-D.1: Recreation areas within public parks and plazas may experience traffic noise levels in excess 65 dB(A) CNEL. (Direct)	Mitigation Measure NOI-D.1-1: Prior to approval of a Development Permit for any public park or plaza within 475 feet of the centerline of Interstate 5 or adjacent to a roadway carrying more than 7,000 ADT, an acoustical analysis shall be performed to determine if any recreation areas would be exposed to noise levels in excess of 65 dB(A) CNEL. Provided noise attenuation would not interfere with the intended recreational use or park design intent, measures shall be included, to the extent feasible.	Permit (Design) Prior to Certificate of Occupancy	CCDC/Developer	City
PALEONTOLOGICAL RESOURCES	(PAL)			
Impact PAL-A.1: Excavation in geologic formations with a moderate to high potential for paleontological resources could have an significant impact on these resources, if present. (Direct)	Mitigation Measure PAL-A.1-1: In the event the Secondary Study indicates the potential for significant paleontological resources, the following measures shall be implemented as determined appropriate by CCDC. I. Prior to Permit Issuance A. Construction Plan Check 1. Prior to Notice to Proceed (NTP) for any construction permits, including but not limited to, the first Grading Permit, Demolition Permits and Building Permits, but prior to the first preconstruction meeting, whichever is applicable, Centre City Development Corporation (CCDC) shall verify that the requirements for Paleontological Monitoring have been noted on the appropriate construction documents. B. Letters of Qualification have been submitted to CCDC 1. The applicant shall submit a letter of verification to CCDC identifying the Principal Investigator (PI) for the project and the names of all persons involved in the paleontological monitoring program, as defined in the City of San Diego Paleontology Guidelines. 2. CCDC will provide a letter to the applicant confirming the qualifications of the PI and all persons involved in the paleontological monitoring of the project. 3. Prior to the start of work, the applicant shall obtain approval from CCDC for any personnel changes associated with the monitoring program.			
	II. Prior to Start of Construction A. Verification of Records Search			

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT(S)	MITIGATION MEASURE(S)	IMPLEMENTATION TIME FRAME	IMPLEMENTATION RESPONSIBILITY	VERIFICATION RESPONSIBILITY
	 The PI shall provide verification to CCDC that a site-specific records search has been completed. Verification includes, but is not limited to a copy of a confirmation letter from San Diego Natural History Museum, other institution or, if the search was in-house, a letter of verification from the PI stating that the search was completed. The letter shall introduce any pertinent information concerning expectations and probabilities of discovery during trenching and/or grading activities. 			
	 B. PI Shall Attend Precon Meetings 1. Prior to beginning any work that requires monitoring, the Applicant shall arrange a Precon Meeting that shall include the PI, Construction Manager (CM) and/or Grading Contractor, Resident Engineer (RE), Building Inspector (BI), if appropriate, and CCDC. The qualified paleontologist shall attend any grading/excavation related Precon Meetings to make comments and/or suggestions concerning the Paleontological Monitoring program with the Construction Manager and/or Grading Contractor. 			
	 a. If the PI is unable to attend the Precon Meeting, the Applicant shall schedule a focused Precon Meeting with CCDC, the PI, RE, CM or BI, if appropriate, prior to the start of any work that requires monitoring. 2. Identify Areas to be Monitored a. Prior to the start of any work that requires monitoring, the PI shall submit a Paleontological Monitoring Exhibit (PME) based on the appropriate construction documents (reduced to 11x17) to CCDC identifying the areas to be monitored 			
	including the delineation of grading/excavation limits. The PME shall be based on the results of a site specific records search as well as information regarding existing known soil conditions (native or formation). 3. When Monitoring Will Occur a. Prior to the start of any work, the PI shall also submit a construction schedule to CCDC through the RE indicating when and where monitoring will occur.			
	b. The PI may submit a detailed letter to CCDC prior to the start of work or during construction requesting a			

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT(S)	MITIGATION MEASURE(S)	IMPLEMENTATION TIME FRAME	IMPLEMENTATION RESPONSIBILITY	VERIFICATION RESPONSIBILITY
	modification to the monitoring program. This request shall be based on relevant information such as review of final construction documents which indicate conditions such as depth of excavation and/or site graded to bedrock, presence or absence of fossil resources, etc., which may reduce or increase the potential for resources to be present.			
	 A. Monitor Shall be Present During Grading/Excavation/Trenching 1. The monitor shall be present full-time during grading/excavation/trenching activities as identified on the PME that could result in impacts to formations with high and moderate resource sensitivity. The Construction Manager is responsible for notifying the RE, PI, and CCDC of changes to any construction activities. 2. The monitor shall document field activity via the Consultant Site Visit Record (CSVR). The CSVR's shall be faxed by the CM to the RE the first day of monitoring, the last day of monitoring, monthly (Notification of Monitoring Completion), and in the case of any discoveries. The RE shall forward copies to CCDC. 3. The PI may submit a detailed letter to CCDC during construction requesting a modification to the monitoring program when a field condition such as trenching activities that do not encounter formational soils as previously assumed, and/or when unique/unusual fossils are encountered, which may reduce or increase the potential for resources to be present. B. Discovery Notification Process 1. In the event of a discovery, the Paleontological Monitor shall direct the contractor to temporarily divert trenching activities in the area of discovery and immediately notify the RE or BI, as appropriate. 2. The Monitor shall immediately notify the PI (unless Monitor is the PI) of the discovery. 3. The PI shall immediately notify CCDC by phone of the discovery, and shall also submit written documentation to CCDC within 24 hours by fax or email with photos of the resource in context, if possible. C. Determination of Significance 1. The PI shall evaluate the significance of the resource. 			

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT(S)	MITIGATION MEASURE(S)	IMPLEMENTATION TIME FRAME	IMPLEMENTATION RESPONSIBILITY	VERIFICATION RESPONSIBILITY
	 a. The PI shall immediately notify CCDC by phone to discuss significance determination and shall also submit a letter to CCDC indicating whether additional mitigation is required. The determination of significance for fossil discoveries shall be at the discretion of the PI. b. If the resource is significant, the PI shall submit a Paleontological Recovery Program (PRP) and obtain written approval from CCDC. Impacts to significant resources must be mitigated before ground disturbing activities in the area of discovery will be allowed to resume. c. If resource is not significant (e.g., small pieces of broken common shell fragments or other scattered common fossils) the PI shall notify the RE, or BI as appropriate, that a non-significant discovery has been made. The Paleontologist shall continue to monitor the area without notification to CCDC unless a significant resource is encountered. d. The PI shall submit a letter to CCDC indicating that fossil resources will be collected, curated, and documented in the Final Monitoring Report. The letter shall also indicate that no further work is required. IV. Night Work 			
	 A. If night work is included in the contract When night work is included in the contract package, the extent and timing shall be presented and discussed at the precon meeting. The following procedures shall be followed. No Discoveries In the event that no discoveries were encountered during night work, The PI shall record the information on the CSVR and submit to CCDC via fax by 9am the following morning, if possible. 			
	 b. Discoveries (1) All discoveries shall be processed and documented using the existing procedures detailed in Sections III - During Construction. c. Potentially Significant Discoveries (1) If the PI determines that a potentially significant discovery has been made, the procedures detailed under 			

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT(S)	MITIGATION MEASURE(S)	IMPLEMENTATION TIME FRAME	IMPLEMENTATION RESPONSIBILITY	VERIFICATION RESPONSIBILITY
	Section III - During Construction shall be followed. d. The PI shall immediately contact CCDC, or by 8AM the following morning to report and discuss the findings as indicated in Section III-B, unless other specific arrangements have been made. B. If night work becomes necessary during the course of construction 1. The Construction Manager shall notify the RE, or BI, as appropriate, a minimum of 24 hours before the work is to begin. 2. The RE, or BI, as appropriate, shall notify CCDC immediately. C. All other procedures described above shall apply, as appropriate.			
	 VI. Post Construction A. Submittal of Draft Monitoring Report 1. The PI shall submit two copies of the Draft Monitoring Report (even if negative) which describes the results, analysis, and conclusions of all phases of the Paleontological Monitoring Program (with appropriate graphics) to CCDC for review and approval within 90 days following the completion of monitoring, a. For significant paleontological resources encountered during monitoring, the Paleontological Recovery Program shall be included in the Draft Monitoring Report. b. Recording Sites with the San Diego Natural History Museum			

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT(S)	MITIGATION MEASURE(S)	IMPLEMENTATION TIME FRAME	IMPLEMENTATION RESPONSIBILITY	VERIFICATION RESPONSIBIL
	 B. Handling of Fossil Remains The PI shall be responsible for ensuring that all fossil remains collected are cleaned and catalogued. The PI shall be responsible for ensuring that all fossil remains are analyzed to identify function and chronology as they relate to the geologic history of the area; that faunal material is identified as to species; and that specialty studies are completed, as appropriate Curation of fossil remains: Deed of Gift and Acceptance Verification The PI shall be responsible for ensuring that all fossil remains associated with the monitoring for this project are permanently curated with an appropriate institution. The PI shall include the Acceptance Verification from the curation institution in the Final Monitoring Report submitted to the RE or BI and CCDC. Final Monitoring Report(s) The PI shall submit two copies of the Final Monitoring Report to CCDC (even if negative), within 90 days after notification from CCDC that the draft report has been approved. The RE shall, in no case, issue the Notice of Completion until receiving a copy of the approved Final Monitoring Report from CCDC which includes the Acceptance Verification from the curation institution. 			
TRAFFIC AND CIRCULATION (TR	UF)	grand day of		
Impact TRF-A.1.1: Increased traffic on grid streets from downtown development would result in unacceptable levels of service on specific roadway intersections and/or segments within downtown. (Direct)	Mitigation Measure TRF-A.1.1-1: At five-year intervals, commencing upon adoption of the proposed Community Plan, CCDC shall conduct a downtown-wide evaluation of the ability of the grid street system to accommodate traffic within downtown as well as the following roadway segment in the surrounding neighborhood: Imperial Avenue (between 25th Street and of 28th Street). In addition to identifying roadway intersections or segments which may need immediate attention, the evaluation shall identify roadways which may warrant interim observation prior to the next 5-year evaluation. The need for roadway improvements shall be based upon deterioration to Level of Service F and/or other standards established by CCDC, in cooperation with the City Engineer. In completing these studies, the potential improvements identified in Appendix C of the traffic study and	Every five years	CCDC/City	CCDC/City

>	0
C	S
C	\supset
C	Л
-	1
C	زد
(_

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT(S)	MITIGATION MEASURE(S)	IMPLEMENTATION TIME FRAME	IMPLEMENTATION RESPONSIBILITY	VERIFICATION RESPONSIBILITY
	Tables 5.2-20 and 21 of the EIR will be reviewed to determine whether these or other actions are required to improve traffic flow along affected roadway corridors. As necessary, potential improvements shall also be determined for the identified roadway segments within the surrounding neighborhoods. In selecting improvements, CCDC shall review the effect the improvement may have on pedestrian or bicycle activities whenever pedestrians must traverse any of the following roadway conditions:			
	 Five or more lanes at any intersection (excepting boulevards); Three or more travel lanes on residential streets, or crossing roadways with four or more lanes; Four or more travel lanes on multi-function streets, or crossing roadways with four or more travel lanes; or 			
	 Dual right-turn lanes. Following the completion of each five-year monitoring event, CCDC shall incorporate needed roadway improvements into its Capital Improvement Program (CIP) or identify another implementation strategy. In order to determine if the roadway improvements included in the current five-year CIP, or the equivalent, are sufficient to accommodate developments, a traffic study would be required for large projects. The threshold to be used for determining the need for a traffic study shall reflect the traffic volume threshold used in the Congestion Management Program (CMP). The CMP stipulates that any activity forecasted to generate 2,400 or more daily trips (200 or more equivalent peak hour trips). 			
	Mitigation Measure TRF-A.1.1-2: Prior to approval of any development which would generate a sufficient number of trips to qualify as a large project under the Congestion Management Program (i.e. more than 2,400 daily trips, or 200 trips during a peak hour period), a traffic study shall be completed as part of the Secondary Study process. The traffic study shall be prepared in accordance with City's Traffic Impact Study Manual. If the traffic study indicates that roadways substantially affected by the project would operate at LOS F with the addition of project traffic, the traffic study shall identify improvements to grid street segments and/or intersections which would be required within the next five years to achieve an acceptable LOS or reduce congestion, to the extent feasible. If the needed improvements are already included in CCDC's CIP, or the equivalent, no further action shall be required.		Developer	· CCDC/City

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT(S)	MITIGATION MEASURE(S)	IMPLEMENTATION TIME FRAME	IMPLEMENTATION RESPONSIBILITY	VERIFICATION RESPONSIBILITY
	If the any of the required improvements are not included in the CIP, or not expected within five years of project completion, CCDC shall amend the CIP, within one year of project approval, to include the required improvements and assure that they will be implemented within five years of project completion. At CCDC's discretion, the developer may be assessed a pro-rated share of the cost of improvements.			
	Mitigation Measure TRF-A.1.1-3: Upon adoption of the Community Plan, CCDC and the City shall update the Centre City Public Facilities Financing Plan (PFFP) to include a transportation element to be completed within six (6) months. The update to the Centre City PFFP required by this mitigation measure shall include the following:	Upon Plan Adoption	CCDC/City	CCDC/City
	a) The responsible entities [the Entities] included in this effort will include, but may not be limited to, the City of San Diego, CCDC, SANDAG, and the Metropolitan Transit System. Other entities may be included upon the concurrence of the foregoing Entities;			
	b) The PFFP update will specify transportation improvements as identified on Figure 7.2 of the Community Plan and further described on Table 5.2-21 and Figure 5.2-8 of this FEIR;			
	c) The PFFP update will specifically include capital improvements to the downtown transit network as identified on page 7-10 and Figure 7-4 of the Community Plan and further described in Table 5.2-22 of this FEIR;			
	d) For this mitigation measure, the PFFP update will not include freeway improvements, freeway ramps and will not now or in the future include transit operation or maintenance improvements as these are specifically prohibited in Government Code 66000, which are addressed in Mitigation Measure TRF-A.2.1-2 below;			
	e) The PFFP update will set forth a timeline and other agreed-upon relevant criteria for implementation of each improvement identified in items (b) and (c) above;			
	f) The PFFP update will identify the total estimated costs for each improvement in items (b) and (c) above as provided for by CCDC and reviewed and confirmed by the City's Transportation Planning and Facilities Financing Section of the Planning Department;			

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT(S)	MITIGATION MEASURE(S)	IMPLEMENTATION TIME FRAME	IMPLEMENTATION RESPONSIBILITY	VERIFI RESPON
	 g) The PFFP update will include the establishment of a fair-share contribution from downtown development for improvement in items b) and c) above, through a Developer Impact Fee or secure, local alternative funding sources, in a manner that will comply with applicable law; h) Prior to adoption by the City of San Diego Council, the PFFP will be sent to the Entities for their review and comment; i) CCDC and the Facilities Financing Section of the Planning Department shall seek adoption of the PFFP update at a public hearing before the San Diego City Council within six months after adoption of the Community Plan Update. As extension not to exceed three (3) months shall be granted upon mutual consent of the Entities. The failure or refusal of any Entity other than CCDC or the City, to cooperate in the implementation of this mitigation measure, shall not constitute a failure of CCDC or the City to implement this mitigation measure; however, the City and CCDC shall each use its best efforts to obtain the cooperation of all responsible Entities to fully participate, in order to achieve the goals of the mitigation 			
Impact TRF-A.1.2: Increased traffic from downtown development on certain streets surroundin downtown would result in an unacceptable level of service. (Direct and Cumulative)		Every five years	CCDC/City	CCDG
Impact TRF-A.2.1: Additional traffic on freeway segments and	twould reduce congestion on I-5 through downtown, as well as identify funding	Upon Plan Adoption	CCDC	CCDC
	a) The responsible entities [the Entities] included in this effort will include, but may not be limited to, the City of San Diego, CCDC, SANDAG, Caltrans, and the Metropolitan Transit System. Other entities may be			

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT(S)

VERIFICATION

IMPLEMENTATION

IMPLEMENTATION

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT(S)	MITIGATION MEASURE(S)	TIME FRAME	RESPONSIBILITY	RESPONSIBILITY
	included upon the concurrence of the foregoing Entities.			
	b) The Plan will specifically identify physical and operational improvements to I-5, other freeways, relevant arterial roads and transit facilities [the Improvements], that are focused on specific transportation impacts created by downtown development, and will also identify the specific responsibilities of each Entity for the construction, maintenance and financing for each Improvement. The Plan may also identify other improvements necessary to address regional transportation needs, but for purposes of this mitigation measure, the Improvements included in the Plan need only be designed to mitigate the impacts created by downtown development.			
	c) The Plan will set forth a timeline and other agreed-upon relevant criteria for implementation of each Improvement.			
	d) The Plan will identify the total estimated costs for each such Improvement including construction, maintenance and operational costs [the Tota Costs], and the responsibility of each Entity for both implementation and funding for such Total Costs.			
	e) The Plan will include the parameters for any fair-share or development impact fee programs (or the like) to be implemented, that would require private and/or public developers to contribute to the Total Costs, in a manner that will comply with applicable law.			
	f) In developing the Plan, the Entities shall also consider ways in which the Improvements can be coordinated with existing local and regional transportation and facilities financing plans and programs, in order to avoid duplication of effort and expenditure; however, the existence of such other plans and programs shall not relieve the Entities of their collective obligation to develop and implement the Plan as set forth in this mitigation measure. Nothing in the Plan shall be construed as relieving any Entity (or any other entity) from its independent responsibility (if any) for the planning, funding, construction, maintenance or operation of any transportation improvement.			

MITIGATION MEASURE(S)

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT(S)

VERIFICATION

RESPONSIBILITY

IMPLEMENTATION

RESPONSIBILITY

IMPLEMENTATION

TIME FRAME

the request of the City Council.	
i) The Plan shall also expressly include each Entity's pledge that it will cooperate with CCDC in making the required reports to the Agency, including the presence and participation of a responsible representative of the Entity at all public hearings called for the purpose of reviewing the progress of development and implementation of the Plan.	
j) The PFFP shall be amended to include any projects in the Plan that CCDC and the City Council determine are appropriate for inclusion in the PFFP. The amendment to the PFFP to accommodate such appropriate improvements shall be processed for adoption at the time the Plan is submitted for adoption to the City Council.	
The failure or refusal of any Entity other than CCDC or the City to cooperate in the implementation of this mitigation measure shall not constitute a failure of CCDC or the City to implement this mitigation measure; however, the CCDC and City shall each use its best efforts to obtain the cooperation of all responsible Entities to fully participate, in order to achieve the goals of the mitigation measure.	
Further, if the City Council or Redevelopment Agency finds that (1) any of the Entities fails or has failed to cooperate in the development or implementation of this Plan, or (2) there is insufficient funding for implementation of the improvements in accord with the Plan, or (3) development downtown has significantly outpaced the development of infrastructure needed to support the development, the Council/Agency shall thereafter review the status of the Plan	

MITIGATION MEASURE(S)

structures.

Upon adoption of the Plan by the City Council, SANDAG, MTS and Caltrans will also seek endorsement of same through their government

CCDC shall seek adoption of the Plan at a public hearing before the City Council within one year of the initiation of the multi-jurisdictional effort to develop the Plan. CCDC shall report in writing, and at a public hearing before the City Council and SANDAG (if SANDAG agrees to place such a report on its agenda), regarding the progress made to develop the Plan, within six months of the first meeting of the entities. Thereafter, CCDC shall report to the City Council at least annually regarding the progress of the Plan, for a period of not less than five years, which may be extended at

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT(S)	MITIGATION MEASURE(S)	IMPLEMENTATION TIME FRAME	IMPLEMENTATION RESPONSIBILITY	VERIFICATION RESPONSIBILIT
	and its improvements, to determine whether substantial evidence shows that any of the conditions listed in Public Resources Code section 21166 and Guidelines section 15162 exist, so that additional environmental documentation would be required. In any event, the annual progress report delivered by CCDC pursuant to this mitigation measure shall include an evaluation of whether any of these conditions exist.			
Impact TRF-A.2.2: Elimination of Cedar St. off-ramp would mpact other freeway ramps by redirecting traffic to other offramps serving downtown. (Direct)	Mitigation Measure TRF A.2.2-1: Prior to elimination of the Cedar Street off-ramp from I-5, a traffic study shall be done by CCDC in consultation with the City of San Diego and Caltrans to determine the potential effects associated with elimination of the off-ramp and the conversion of Cedar Street from one-to two-way. The report shall also identify roadway modifications that would minimize potential impacts on local surface streets and I-5.	(Design/ Implementation)	CCDC/City	CCDC/City
Impact TRF-D.1: Parking demand would exceed the supply generated by proposed parking requirements which could increase parking demand in areas surrounding downtown. Direct and Cumulative)	Mitigation Measure TRF-D.1-1: At five-year intervals, commencing upon adoption of the proposed Community Plan, CCDC shall evaluate the parking supply and demand within the downtown area as well as assess the amount of parking generated by downtown development in residential areas within a quarter-mile radius of downtown. The evaluations will include an inventory of the number of public and private parking spaces available for public parking within downtown and the residential neighborhoods within a quarter-mile radius of downtown. The evaluation shall determine the current as well as anticipated parking supply and demand during the ensuing five-year period. Based on the evaluation, CCDC shall determine if the discrepancy between demand and supply warrant ameliorative actions which may include but not be limited to: (1) constructing new public parking, (2) implementing specific shared parking programs with private parking facilities, (3) implementing parking meter programs that respond to changes in the parking demand which occur during a 24-hour period and/or (4) implementing residential permit parking programs. Any actions identified during the parking evaluation shall be incorporated into CCDC's Capital Improvement Program, if appropriate, or carried out through some other form of enforcement such as amending Planned District Ordinances or other regulatory programs dealing with parking.		CCDC/City	CCDC/City