| Hom 106 | 17 | 2016 | (R-2016-645) | Cor. Copy | RESOLUTION NUMBER R- 310422 | Section | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

DATE OF FINAL PASSAGE MAY 2 7 2016

A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO ADOPTING AN ADDENDUM TO ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT NO. 81-0401 FOR THE FAIRBANKS COUNTRY CLUB LEASE.

WHEREAS, on August 18, 2015, Bay Club Fairbanks Ranch, LLC submitted an application to Development Services Department for a Substantial Conformance Review of Conditional Use Permit No. 10-644-0 for the Fairbanks Country Club SCR project (Project); and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of San Diego previously adopted a resolution Certifying Environmental Impact Report No. 81-04-01, a copy of which is on file in the Development Services Department in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970 (CEQA) (Public Resources Code Section 21000 et seq.), as amended, and the State CEQA Guidelines thereto (California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Chapter 3, Section 15000 et seq.); and

WHEREAS, on August 18, 2015, Bay Club Fairbanks Ranch, LLC submitted an application to the Development Services Department for approval of minor technical changes or additions to the Project; and

WHEREAS, State CEQA Guidelines section 15164(a) allows a lead agency to prepare an Addendum to a final environmental impact report if such Addendum meets the requirements of CEQA; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of San Diego as follows:

That the information contained in the final Environmental Impact Report 1. No. 81-04-01 along with the Addendum thereto, including any comments received during the public review process, has been reviewed and considered by this City Council prior to making a decision on the Project.

- 2. That there are no substantial changes proposed to the Project and no substantial changes with respect to the circumstances under which the Project is to be undertaken that would require major revisions in the Environmental Impact Report for the Project.
- 3. That no new information of substantial importance has become available showing that the Project would have any significant effects not discussed previously in the Environmental Impact Report or that any significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the Environmental Impact Report.
- 4. That no new information of substantial importance has become available showing that mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible are in fact feasible which would substantially reduce any significant effects, but that the Project proponents decline to adopt, or that there are any considerably different mitigation measures or alternatives not previously considered which would substantially reduce any significant effects, but that the Project proponents decline to adopt.
- 5. That pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines Section 15164, only minor technical changes or additions are necessary, and therefore, the City Council adopts Addendum to Environmental Impact Report No. 81-04-01 with respect to the Project, a copy of which is on file in the office of the Development Services Department.
- 6. That City Clerk is directed to file a Notice of Determination with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors for the County of San Diego regarding the Project.

APPROVED: JAN I. GOLDSMITH, City Attorney

By

Debra J. Bevier

Deputy City Attorney

DJB:mcm 05/04/2016

05/12/2016 Cor. Copy

Or.Dept: Real Estate Assets Dept.

Doc. No.: 1279600

I certify that the meeting of	ne foregoing Resolution was MAY 1 7 2016	s passed by the Council of the City of San Diego, at this
		ELIZABETH S. MALAND City Clerk
		By Sta Mad Deput City Clerk
Approved:	5/27/16 (date)	KEVIN L. FAULCONER, Mayor
Vetoed:	(date)	KEVIN L. FAULCONER, Mayor

Resolution Number R-