

Item 150
Sub-item A
(R-2017-244) 11/14/16

RESOLUTION NUMBER R- 310762

DATE OF FINAL PASSAGE NOV 17 2016

A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO ADOPTING ADDENDUM NO. 516820 TO THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT NO. 233958 AND ADOPTING MITIGATION, MONITORING, AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR THE PLAZA DE PANAMA PROJECT – PROJECT NO. 516820.

WHEREAS, on March 15, 2011, the Plaza de Panama Committee and the City of San Diego submitted an application to the Development Services Department for an Amendment to the Balboa Park Master Plan, an Amendment to the Central Mesa Precise Plan, and a Site Development Permit for the proposed Balboa Park – Plaza de Panama Project (Project); and

WHEREAS, on July 12, 2012, the City Council of the City of San Diego adopted Resolution No. 307554, certifying Environmental Impact Report No. 233958, copies of which are on file in the Office of the City Clerk in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970 (CEQA)(Public Resources Code section 21000 et seq.), as amended, and the State CEQA Guidelines thereto (California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Chapter 3, section 15000 et seq.); and

WHEREAS, the matter was set for public hearing to be conducted by the City Council of the City of San Diego; and

WHEREAS, the issue was heard by the City Council on November 14, 2016; and

WHEREAS, State CEQA Guidelines section 15164(a) allows a lead agency to prepare an Addendum to a Final Environmental Impact Report, if such Addendum meets the requirements of CEQA; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

1. That the information contained in the final Environmental Impact Report No. 233958 along with the Addendum thereto, including any comments received during the

public review process, has been reviewed and considered by this City Council prior to making a decision on the Project.

2. That there are no substantial changes proposed to the Project and no substantial changes with respect to the circumstances under which the Project is to be undertaken that would require major revisions in the Environmental Impact Report for the Project due to significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified environmental effects.

3. That no new information of substantial importance has become available showing that the Project would have any significant effects not discussed previously in the Environmental Impact Report or that any significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the Environmental Impact Report.

4. That no new information of substantial importance has become available showing that mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible are in fact feasible which would substantially reduce any significant effects, but that the Project proponents decline to adopt, or that there are any considerably different mitigation measures or alternatives not previously considered which would substantially reduce any significant effects, but that the Project proponents decline to adopt.

5. That pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines section 15164, none of the conditions described in State CEQA Guidelines section 15162 have occurred or only minor technical changes or additions are necessary, and therefore, the City Council adopts Addendum No. 516820 to Environmental Impact Report No. 233958 with respect to the Project, a copy of which is on file in the office of the Development Services Department.

6. That pursuant to CEQA section 21081.6, the City Council adopts the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program, or alterations to implement the changes to the Project as

required by this City Council in order to mitigate or avoid significant effects on the environment, which is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

7. That the City Clerk is directed to file a Notice of Determination with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors for the County of San Diego regarding this Project.

APPROVED: JAN I. GOLDSMITH, City Attorney

By Christina L. Rae
Christina L. Rae
Deputy City Attorney

CLR:cw
11/01/16
Or.Dept: Public Works/Engineering
CC No.: N/A
Doc. No.: 1383565

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was passed by the Council of the City of San Diego, at this meeting of NOV 14 2016

ELIZABETH S. MALAND
City Clerk

By Elizabeth Beach
Deputy City Clerk

Approved: 11/17/16
(date)

Kevin L. Faulconer
KEVIN L. FAULCONER, Mayor

Vetoed: _____
(date)

KEVIN L. FAULCONER, Mayor

10.0 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

CEQA, Section 21081.6, requires that a mitigation monitoring and reporting program (MMRP) be adopted upon certification of an EIR to ensure that the mitigation measures are implemented. The MMRP specifies what the mitigation is, the entity responsible for monitoring the program, and when in the process it should be accomplished.

The proposed Balboa Park Plaza de Panama project is described in the EIR. The EIR, incorporated herein as referenced, focused on issues determined to be potentially significant by the City of San Diego. The issues addressed in the EIR include land use, historical resources, visual effects and neighborhood character, transportation/circulation and parking, air quality, biological resources, energy conservation, geologic conditions, greenhouse gas emissions, health and safety/hazardous materials, hydrology, noise, paleontological resources, public services and facilities, public utilities, and water quality.

Public Resources Code section 21081.6 requires monitoring of only those impacts identified as significant or potentially significant. After analysis, potentially significant impacts requiring mitigation were identified for land use, historical resources, visual effects and neighborhood character, transportation/circulation and parking, biological resources, noise, and paleontological resources. The environmental analysis concluded that all of the significant and potentially significant impacts, with the exception of those for land use, historical resources, and visual effects and neighborhood character, as related to the Centennial Bridge, and those caused by construction noise; could be avoided or reduced through implementation of recommended mitigation measures.

The MMRP for the project is under the jurisdiction of the City of San Diego and other agencies as specified in Table 10-1. The MMRP for the project addresses only the issue areas identified above as significant. The following is an overview of the mitigation monitoring and reporting program to be completed for the project.

Monitoring Activities

Monitoring activities would be accomplished by individuals identified in Table 10-1. While specific qualifications should be determined by the City of San Diego, the monitoring team should possess the following capabilities:

- Interpersonal, decision-making, and management skills with demonstrated experience in working under trying field circumstances;

10.0 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

- Knowledge of and appreciation for the general environmental attributes and special features found in the project area;
- Knowledge of the types of environmental impacts associated with construction of cost-effective mitigation options; and
- Excellent communication skills.

Program Procedures

Prior to any construction activities, a preconstruction meeting is required and will include all parties involved in the monitoring program to establish the responsibility and authority of the participants. Mitigation measures that need to be defined in greater detail will be addressed prior to any project plan approvals in follow-up meetings designed to discuss specific monitoring effects.

An effective reporting system must be established prior to any monitoring efforts. All parties involved must have a clear understanding of the mitigation measures as adopted and these mitigations must be distributed to the participants of the monitoring effort. Those that would have a complete list of all the mitigation measures adopted by the City of San Diego would include the City of San Diego and its Mitigation Monitoring Coordination (MMC). MMC would distribute to each Environmental Specialist (ES) and Environmental Monitor (EM) a specific list of mitigation measures that pertain to his or her monitoring tasks and the appropriate time frame that these mitigations are anticipated to be implemented.

In addition to the list of mitigation measures specified in Table 10-1, the monitors will have Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting (MMR) forms, with each mitigation measure written out on the top of the form. Below the stated mitigation measure, the form will have a series of questions addressing the effectiveness of the mitigation measure. The monitors shall complete the MMR and file it with the MMC following the monitoring activity. The MMC will then include the conclusions of the MMR into an interim and final comprehensive construction report to be submitted to the City of San Diego. This report will describe the major accomplishments of the monitoring program, summarize problems encountered in achieving the goals of the program, evaluate solutions developed to overcome problems, and provide a list of recommendations for future monitoring programs. In addition, and if appropriate, each Environmental Monitor or Environmental Specialist will be required to fill out and submit a daily log report to the MMC. The daily log report will be used to record and account for the monitoring activities of the monitor. Weekly and/or monthly status reports, as determined appropriate, will be generated from the daily logs and compliance reports and will include supplemental material (i.e., memoranda, telephone logs, and letters).

General MMRP Requirements

The following are general MMRP requirements that would apply to the proposed project.

A. GENERAL REQUIREMENTS – PART I Plan Check Phase (prior to permit issuance)

1. Prior to the issuance of a Notice To Proceed (NTP) for a subdivision, or any construction permits, such as Demolition, Grading or Building, or beginning any construction related activity on-site, the Development Services Department (DSD) Director's Environmental Designee (ED) shall review and approve all Construction Documents (CD), (plans, specification, details, etc.) to ensure the MMRP requirements are incorporated into the design.
2. In addition, the ED shall verify that the MMRP Conditions/Notes that apply ONLY to the construction phases of this project are included VERBATIM, under the heading, "**ENVIRONMENTAL/MITIGATION REQUIREMENTS.**"
3. These notes must be shown within the first three (3) sheets of the construction documents in the format specified for engineering construction document templates as shown on the City website:

<http://www.sandiego.gov/development-services/industry/standtemp.shtml>

4. The **TITLE INDEX SHEET** must also show on which pages the "Environmental/Mitigation Requirements" notes are provided.
5. **SURETY AND COST RECOVERY** – The Development Services Director or City Manager may require appropriate surety instruments or bonds from private Permit Holders to ensure the long term performance or implementation of required mitigation measures or programs. The City is authorized to recover its cost to offset the salary, overhead, and expenses for City personnel and programs to monitor qualifying projects.

B. GENERAL REQUIREMENTS – PART II Post Plan Check (After permit issuance/Prior to start of construction)

1. **PRE-CONSTRUCTION MEETING IS REQUIRED TEN (10) WORKING DAYS PRIOR TO BEGINNING ANY WORK ON THIS PROJECT.** The PERMIT HOLDER/OWNER is responsible to arrange and perform this meeting by contacting the CITY RESIDENT ENGINEER (RE) of the Field Engineering Division and City staff from MITIGATION MONITORING COORDINATION (MMC). Attendees must also include the Permit holder's Representative(s), Job Site Superintendent and the following consultants: **qualified archaeological monitor and a Native American monitor, qualified biologist, and qualified paleontologist.**

NOTE: Failure of all responsible Permit Holder's representatives and consultants to attend shall require an additional meeting with all parties present.

10.0 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

CONTACT INFORMATION:

- a) The PRIMARY POINT OF CONTACT is the RE at the **Field Engineering Division – 858-627-3200**
- b) For Clarification of ENVIRONMENTAL REQUIREMENTS, applicant is also required to call **RE and MMC at 858-627-3360**

2. **MMRP COMPLIANCE:** This Project, Project Tracking System (PTS) Number 233958 and/or Environmental Document Number 233958, shall conform to the mitigation requirements contained in the associated Environmental Document and implemented to the satisfaction of the DSD's Environmental Designee (MMC) and the City Engineer (RE). The requirements may not be reduced or changed but may be annotated (i.e. to explain when and how compliance is being met and location of verifying proof, etc.). Additional clarifying information may also be added to other relevant plan sheets and/or specifications as appropriate (i.e., specific locations, times of monitoring, methodology, etc.

NOTE: Permit Holder's Representatives must alert RE and MMC if there are any discrepancies in the plans or notes, or any changes due to field conditions. All conflicts must be approved by RE and MMC BEFORE the work is performed.

3. **OTHER AGENCY REQUIREMENTS:** Evidence of compliance with all other agency requirements or permits shall be submitted to the RE and MMC for review and acceptance prior to the beginning of work or within one week of the Permit Holder obtaining documentation of those permits or requirements. Evidence shall include copies of permits, letters of resolution or other documentation issued by the responsible agency: **Not Applicable**

4. **MONITORING EXHIBITS**

All consultants are required to submit , to RE and MMC, a monitoring exhibit on a 11x17 reduction of the appropriate construction plan, such as site plan, grading, landscape, etc., marked to clearly show the specific areas including the **LIMIT OF WORK**, scope of that discipline's work, and notes indicating when in the construction schedule that work will be performed. When necessary for clarification, a detailed methodology of how the work will be performed shall be included.

NOTE: Surety and Cost Recovery – When deemed necessary by the Development Services Director or City Manager, additional surety instruments or bonds from the private Permit Holder may be required to ensure the long term performance or implementation of required mitigation measures or programs. The City is authorized to recover its cost to offset the salary, overhead, and expenses for City personnel and programs to monitor qualifying projects.

5. OTHER SUBMITTALS AND INSPECTIONS:

The Permit Holder/Owner's representative shall submit all required documentation, verification letters, and requests for all associated inspections to the RE and MMC for approval per the following schedule:

| DOCUMENT SUBMITTAL/INSPECTION CHECKLIST | | |
|--|---|---|
| Issue Area | Document Submittal | Associated Inspection/Approvals/Notes |
| General | Consultant Qualification Letters | Prior to Preconstruction Meeting |
| General | Consultant Construction Monitoring Exhibits | Prior to or at Preconstruction Meeting |
| Biology | Biologist Limit of Work Verification | Limit of Work Inspection |
| Biology/Land Use | Biology Reports | Biology Site Observation and Preconstruction Survey Reports |
| Noise | Acoustical Reports | Noise Mitigation Features Inspection |
| Paleontology | Paleontology Reports | Paleontology Site Observation |
| Archaeology | Archaeology Reports | Archaeology/Historic Site Observation |
| Traffic | Traffic Reports | 2025 Traffic Site Observation (Presidents Way at Centennial Road) |
| Bond Release | Request for Bond Release Letter | Final MMRP Inspections Prior to Bond Release Letter |

Summary of Project Impacts and Mitigation Measures

Table 10-1 summarizes the potentially significant project impacts and lists the associated mitigation measures and the monitoring efforts necessary to ensure that the measures are properly implemented. All the mitigation measures identified in the EIR are stated herein.

**TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM**

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|---|--|--|---|
| Land Use | | | |
| <p><i>LDC Development Regulations Standards</i></p> <p>The required deviation from the Historic Resources Regulations for the Centennial Bridge would result in direct impacts related to the historic spatial characteristics and views and the circulation patterns of the NHLD, and therefore, would be significant.</p> | <p>Centennial Bridge</p> <p>No feasible mitigation for the Centennial Bridge's impacts to the NHLD is available. Impacts would be significant and unmitigable for this project component.</p> | <p>Centennial Bridge</p> <p>Unmitigable</p> | <p>City of San Diego</p> |
| <p><i>Plan Consistency</i></p> <p>Centennial Bridge</p> <p>The Centennial Bridge would be inconsistent with goals and policies found in the Historic Preservation, Urban Design, Recreation Elements of the General Plan, and BPMP. These inconsistencies would result in significant, unmitigable impacts to the NHLD.</p> | <p>Centennial Bridge</p> <p>No feasible mitigation for the impacts related to the NHLD as a result of land use policy consistency is available. Impacts would be significant and unmitigable.</p> | <p>Centennial Bridge</p> <p>Unmitigable</p> | <p>City of San Diego</p> |

**TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
(continued)**

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|---|---|---|---|
| <p>Arizona Street Landfill</p> <p>The off-site soil export and grading operations at the Arizona Street Landfill disposal site could result in significant but mitigable, indirect impacts to the adjacent MHPA.</p> | <p>Arizona Street Landfill</p> <p>LU-1:</p> <p>I. Prior to Permit Issuance</p> <p>A. Prior to issuance of any construction permit, the DSD Environmental Designee (ED) shall verify the Applicant has accurately represented the project's design in the Construction Documents (CDs) that are in conformance with the associated discretionary permit conditions and Exhibit "A," and also the City's MSCP Land Use Adjacency Guidelines for the MHPA, including identifying adjacency as the potential for direct/indirect impacts where applicable. In addition, all CDs where applicable shall show the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Land Development/Grading/Boundaries – MHPA boundaries on-site and adjacent properties shall be delineated on the CDs. The ED shall ensure that all grading is included within the development footprint, specifically manufactured slopes, disturbance, and development within or adjacent to the MHPA. 2. Drainage/Toxins – All new and proposed parking lots and developed area in and adjacent to the MHPA shall be designed so they do not drain directly into the MHPA. All developed and paved areas must prevent the release of toxins, chemicals, petroleum products, exotic plant materials prior to release by incorporating the use of filtration devices, planted swales and/or planted detention/desiltation basins, or other approved permanent methods that are designed to minimize negative impacts, such as excessive water and toxins into the ecosystems of the MHPA. | <p>Arizona Street Landfill</p> <p>Prior to issuance of any construction permit and final biological monitoring report within 30 days of the completion of construction</p> | |

**TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
(continued)**

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|
| | <p>3. Staging/Storage, Equipment Maintenance, and Trash – All areas for staging, storage of equipment and materials, trash, equipment maintenance, and other construction related activities are within the development footprint. Provide a note on the plans that states: "All construction related activity that may have potential for leakage or intrusion shall be monitored by the Qualified Biologist/Owners Representative to ensure there is no impact to the MHPA."</p> <p>4. Barriers – All new development within or adjacent to the MHPA shall provide fencing or other City approved barriers along the MHPA boundaries to direct public access to appropriate locations, to reduce domestic animal predation, and to direct wildlife to appropriate corridor crossing. Permanent barriers may include, but are not limited to, fencing (6-foot black vinyl coated chain link or equivalent), walls, rocks/boulders, vegetated buffers, and signage for access, litter, and educational purposes.</p> <p>5. Lighting – All building, site, and landscape lighting adjacent to the MHPA shall be directed away from the preserve using proper placement and adequate shielding to protect sensitive habitat. Where necessary, light from traffic or other incompatible uses, shall be shielded from the MHPA through the utilization of including, but not limited to, earth berms, fences, and/or plant material.</p> | | |

**TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
(continued)**

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|
| | <p>6. Invasive Plants – Plant species within 100 feet of the MHPA shall comply with the Landscape Regulations (LDC142.0400 and per table 142-04F, Revegetation and Irrigation Requirements) and be non-invasive. Landscape plans shall include a note that states: "The ongoing maintenance requirements of the property owner shall prohibit the use of any planting that are invasive, per City Regulations, Standards, guidelines, etc., within 100 feet of the MHPA."</p> <p>7. Brush Management – All new development adjacent to the MHPA is set back from the MHPA to provide the required Brush Management Zone (BMZ) 1 area (LDC Sec. 142.0412) within the development area and outside of the MHPA. BMZ 2 may be located within the MHPA and the BMZ 2 management shall be the responsibility of the City.</p> <p>8. Noise - Due to the site's location adjacent to or within the MHPA, construction noise that exceeds the maximum levels allowed shall be avoided, during the breeding seasons for protected avian species such as the California gnatcatcher (3/1-8/15); Least Bell's vireo (3/15-9/15); and Southwestern Willow Flycatcher (5/1-8/30). If construction is proposed during the breeding season for the species, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service protocol surveys shall be required in order to determine species presence/absence. When applicable, adequate noise reduction measures shall be incorporated.</p> | | |

**TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
(continued)**

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|
| | <p><u>COASTAL CALIFORNIA GNATCATCHER (Federally Threatened)</u></p> <p>1. <u>Prior to the issuance of any grading permit the City Manager (or appointed designee) shall verify that the Multi-Habitat Planning Area (MHPA) boundaries and the following project requirements regarding the coastal California gnatcatcher are shown on the construction plans:</u></p> <p><u>No clearing, grubbing, grading, or other construction activities shall occur between March 1 and August 15, the breeding season of the coastal California gnatcatcher, until the following requirements have been met to the satisfaction of the City Manager:</u></p> <p>A. <u>A Qualified Biologist (possessing a valid Endangered Species Act Section 10(a)(1)(a) Recovery Permit) shall survey those habitat areas within the MHPA that would be subject to construction noise levels exceeding 60 decibels [dB(A)] hourly average for the presence of the coastal California gnatcatcher. Surveys for the coastal California gnatcatcher shall be conducted pursuant to the protocol survey guidelines established by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service within the breeding season prior to the commencement of any construction. If coastal California gnatcatchers are present, then the following conditions must be met:</u></p> | | |

**TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
(continued)**

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|
| | <p>I. <u>Between March 1 and August 15, no clearing, grubbing, or grading of occupied coastal California gnatcatcher habitat shall be permitted. Areas restricted from such activities shall be staked or fenced under the supervision of a Qualified Biologist; and</u></p> <p>II. <u>Between March 1 and August 15, no construction activities shall occur within any portion of the site where construction activities would result in noise levels exceeding 60 dB(A) hourly average at the edge of occupied gnatcatcher habitat. An analysis showing that noise generated by construction activities would not exceed 60 dB(A) hourly average at the edge of occupied habitat must be completed by a Qualified Acoustician (possessing current noise engineer license or registration with monitoring noise level experience with listed animal species) and approved by the City Manager at least two weeks prior to the commencement of construction activities. Prior to the commencement of construction activities during the breeding season, areas restricted from such activities shall be staked or fenced under the supervision of a Qualified Biologist; or</u></p> | | |

**TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
(continued)**

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|------------------------------|--|-------------------------|---|
| | <p><u>III. At least two weeks prior to the commencement of construction activities, under the direction of a qualified acoustician, noise attenuation measures (e.g., berms, walls) shall be implemented to ensure that noise levels resulting from construction activities will not exceed 60 dB(A) hourly average at the edge of habitat occupied by the coastal California gnatcatcher. Concurrent with the commencement of construction activities and the construction of necessary noise attenuation facilities, noise monitoring* shall be conducted at the edge of the occupied habitat area to ensure that noise levels do not exceed 60 dB(A) hourly average. If the noise attenuation techniques implemented are determined to be inadequate by the Qualified Acoustician or biologist, then the associated construction activities shall cease until such time that adequate noise attenuation is achieved or until the end of the breeding season (August 16).</u></p> <p><u>*Construction noise monitoring shall continue to be monitored at least twice weekly on varying days, or more frequently depending on the construction activity, to verify that noise levels at the edge of occupied habitat are maintained below 60 dB(A) hourly average or to the ambient noise level if it already exceeds 60 dB(A) hourly average. If not, other measures shall be implemented in consultation with the biologist and the City Manager, as necessary, to reduce noise levels to below 60 dB(A) hourly average or to the ambient noise level if it already exceeds 60 dB(A) hourly average. Such measures may include, but are not limited to, limitations on the placement of construction equipment and the simultaneous use of equipment.</u></p> | | |

**TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
(continued)**

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|------------------------------|--|-------------------------|---|
| | <p><u>B. If coastal California gnatcatchers are not detected during the protocol survey, the Qualified Biologist shall submit substantial evidence to the City Manager and applicable resource agencies which demonstrates whether or not mitigation measures such as noise walls are necessary between March 1 and August 15 as follows:</u></p> <p><u>I. If this evidence indicates the potential is high for coastal California gnatcatcher to be present based on historical records or site conditions, then condition A.III shall be adhered to as specified above.</u></p> <p><u>II. If this evidence concludes that no impacts to this species are anticipated, no mitigation measures would be necessary.</u></p> | | |

**TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
(continued)**

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|
| | <p>II. Prior to Start of Construction</p> <p>A. Preconstruction Meeting</p> <p>The Qualified Biologist/Owners Representative shall incorporate all MHPA construction related requirements, into the project's Biological Monitoring Exhibit (BME).</p> <p>The Qualified Biologist/Owners Representative is responsible to arrange and perform a focused pre-con with all contractors, subcontractors, and all workers involved in grading or other construction activities that discuss the sensitive nature of the adjacent sensitive biological resources.</p> <p>III. During Construction</p> <p>B. The Qualified Biologist/Owners Representative, shall verify that all construction-related activities taking place within or adjacent to the MHPA are consistent with the CDs, the MSCP Land Use Adjacency Guidelines. The Qualified Biologist/Owners Representative shall monitor and ensure that:</p> <p>1. Land Development/Grading Boundaries - The MHPA boundary and the limits of grading shall be clearly delineated by a survey crew prior to brushing, clearing, or grading. Limits shall be defined with orange construction fence and a siltation fence (can be combined) under the supervision of the Qualified Biologist/Owners Representative who shall provide a letter of verification to RE/MMC that all limits were marked as required. Within or adjacent to the MHPA, all manufactured slopes associated with site development shall be included within the development footprint.</p> | | |

**TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
(continued)**

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|
| | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="697 472 1342 748">2. Drainage/Toxics - No direct drainage into the MHPA shall occur during or after construction and that filtration devices, swales and/or detention/desiltation basins that drain into the MHPA are functioning properly during construction, and that permanent maintenance after construction is addressed. These systems should be maintained approximately once a year, or as often as needed, to ensure proper functioning. Maintenance should include dredging out sediments if needed, removing exotic plant materials, and adding chemical-neutralizing compounds (e.g., clay compounds) when necessary and appropriate. <li data-bbox="697 776 1342 927">3. Staging/storage, equipment maintenance, and trash - Identify all areas for staging, storage of equipment and materials, trash, equipment maintenance, and other construction-related activities on the monitoring exhibits and verify that they are within the development footprint. Comply with the applicable notes on the plans. <li data-bbox="697 954 1342 1008">4. Barriers - New development adjacent to the MHPA provides City-approved barriers along the MHPA boundaries <li data-bbox="697 1036 1342 1133">5. Lighting - Periodic night inspections are performed to verify that all lighting adjacent to the MHPA is directed away from preserve areas and appropriate placement and shielding is used. | | |

TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
(continued)

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|------------------------------|--|-------------------------|---|
| | <p>6. Invasives - No invasive plant species are used in or adjacent (within 100 feet) to the MHPA and that within the MHPA, all plant species must be native.</p> <p>7. Brush Management – BMZ 1 is within the development footprint and outside of the MHPA, and that maintenance responsibility for the BMZ 2 located within the MHPA is identified as the responsibility of a homeowners association or other private entity.</p> <p>8. Noise – For any area of the site that is adjacent to or within the MHPA, construction noise that exceeds the maximum levels allowed shall be avoided, during the breeding seasons, for protected avian species such as the California Gnatcatcher (3/1-8/15); Least Bell's vireo (3/15-9/15); and Southwestern Willow Flycatcher (5/1-8/30). If construction is proposed during the breeding season for the species, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service protocol surveys will be required in order to determine species presence/absence. When applicable, adequate noise reduction measures shall be incorporated.</p> <p><u>COASTAL CALIFORNIA GNATCATCHER (Federally Threatened)</u></p> <p>1. <u>Prior to the issuance of any grading permit the City Manager (or appointed designee) shall verify that the Multi-Habitat Planning Area (MHPA) boundaries and the following project requirements regarding the coastal California gnatcatcher are shown on the construction plans:</u></p> | | |

**TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
(continued)**

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|
| | <p><u>No clearing, grubbing, grading, or other construction activities shall occur between March 1 and August 15, the breeding season of the coastal California gnatcatcher, until the following requirements have been met to the satisfaction of the City Manager:</u></p> <p>A. <u>A Qualified Biologist (possessing a valid Endangered Species Act Section 10(a)(1)(a) Recovery Permit) shall survey those habitat areas within the MHPA that would be subject to construction noise levels exceeding 60 decibels [dB(A)] hourly average for the presence of the coastal California gnatcatcher. Surveys for the coastal California gnatcatcher shall be conducted pursuant to the protocol survey guidelines established by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service within the breeding season prior to the commencement of any construction. If coastal California gnatcatchers are present, then the following conditions must be met:</u></p> <p>I. <u>Between March 1 and August 15, no clearing, grubbing, or grading of occupied coastal California gnatcatcher habitat shall be permitted. Areas restricted from such activities shall be staked or fenced under the supervision of a Qualified Biologist; and</u></p> | | |

**TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
(continued)**

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|
| | <p><u>II. Between March 1 and August 15, no construction activities shall occur within any portion of the site where construction activities would result in noise levels exceeding 60 dB(A) hourly average at the edge of occupied gnatcatcher habitat. An analysis showing that noise generated by construction activities would not exceed 60 dB(A) hourly average at the edge of occupied habitat must be completed by a Qualified Acoustician (possessing current noise engineer license or registration with monitoring noise level experience with listed animal species) and approved by the City Manager at least two weeks prior to the commencement of construction activities. Prior to the commencement of construction activities during the breeding season, areas restricted from such activities shall be staked or fenced under the supervision of a Qualified Biologist; or</u></p> <p><u>III. At least two weeks prior to the commencement of construction activities, under the direction of a qualified acoustician, noise attenuation measures (e.g., berms, walls) shall be implemented to ensure that noise levels resulting from construction activities will not exceed 60 dB(A) hourly average at the edge of habitat occupied by the coastal California gnatcatcher. Concurrent with the commencement of construction activities and the construction of necessary noise attenuation facilities, noise monitoring*</u></p> | | |

**TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
(continued)**

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|
| | <p><u>shall be conducted at the edge of the occupied habitat area to ensure that noise levels do not exceed 60 dB(A) hourly average. If the noise attenuation techniques implemented are determined to be inadequate by the Qualified Acoustician or biologist, then the associated construction activities shall cease until such time that adequate noise attenuation is achieved or until the end of the breeding season (August 16).</u></p> <p><u>*Construction noise monitoring shall continue to be monitored at least twice weekly on varying days, or more frequently depending on the construction activity, to verify that noise levels at the edge of occupied habitat are maintained below 60 dB(A) hourly average or to the ambient noise level if it already exceeds 60 dB(A) hourly average. If not, other measures shall be implemented in consultation with the biologist and the City Manager, as necessary, to reduce noise levels to below 60 dB(A) hourly average or to the ambient noise level if it already exceeds 60 dB(A) hourly average. Such measures may include, but are not limited to, limitations on the placement of construction equipment and the simultaneous use of equipment.</u></p> | | |

**TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
(continued)**

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|---|--|--|---|
| | <p><u>B. If coastal California gnatcatchers are not detected during the protocol survey, the Qualified Biologist shall submit substantial evidence to the City Manager and applicable resource agencies which demonstrates whether or not mitigation measures such as noise walls are necessary between March 1 and August 15 as follows:</u></p> <p><u>I. If this evidence indicates the potential is high for coastal California gnatcatcher to be present based on historical records or site conditions, then condition A.III shall be adhered to as specified above.</u></p> <p><u>II. If this evidence concludes that no impacts to this species are anticipated, no mitigation measures would be necessary.</u></p> | | |
| Historical Resources | | | |
| <p><i>Historic Resources (Built Environment)</i></p> <p>The Centennial Bridge would be inconsistent with SOI Rehabilitation Standards 2 and 9, thereby contributing to a substantial adverse change to a historic resource, and therefore, would result in a significant adverse impact.</p> | <p>Centennial Bridge</p> <p>No feasible mitigation is available for historic impacts associated with the Centennial Bridge. Therefore, impacts would remain significant.</p> | <p>Centennial Bridge</p> <p>Unmitigable</p> | <p>City of San Diego</p> |

**TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
(continued)**

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|---|--|---|---|
| <p><i>Unknown Archaeological Resources</i></p> <p>Since there is the possibility of subsurface prehistoric or historic deposits to be present that could be uncovered during construction activities, a potentially significant impact could result from the development of the project.</p> | <p>All Project Components</p> <p>HR-1: Due to the potential for buried cultural resources to be encountered on-site, a qualified archaeological monitor and a Native American monitor shall be present during project-related grading activities. This shall include removal of existing pavement and concrete hardscaping such as walkways. The following measures shall be implemented:</p> <p>I. Prior to Permit Issuance</p> <p>A. Entitlements Plan Check</p> <p>1. Prior to issuance of any construction permits, including but not limited to, the first Grading Permit, Demolition Plans/Permits and Building Plans/Permits or a Notice to Proceed for Subdivisions, but prior to the first preconstruction meeting, whichever is applicable, the Assistant Deputy Director (ADD) Environmental designee shall verify that the requirements for archaeological monitoring and Native American monitoring have been noted on the applicable construction documents through the plan check process.</p> | <p>All Project Components</p> <p>Prior to the issuance of any grading permits and/or the first pre-construction meeting.</p> | <p>City of San Diego</p> |

**TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
(continued)**

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|------------------------------|--|-------------------------|---|
| | <p>B. Letters of Qualification have been submitted to ADD</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The applicant shall submit a letter of verification to the Mitigation Monitoring Coordinator (MMC) identifying the Principal Investigator (PI) for the project and the names of all persons involved in the archaeological monitoring program, as defined in the City of San Diego Historical Resources Guidelines (HRG). If applicable, individuals involved in the archaeological monitoring program must have completed the 40-hour HAZWOPER training with certification documentation. 2. MMC will provide a letter to the applicant confirming the qualifications of the PI and all persons involved in the archaeological monitoring of the project meet the qualifications established in the HRG. 3. Prior to the start of work, the applicant must obtain written approval from MMC for any personnel changes associated with the monitoring program. <p>II. Prior to Start of Construction</p> <p>A. Verification of Records Search</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The PI shall provide verification to MMC that a site-specific records search (¼-mile radius) has been completed. Verification includes, but is not limited to, a copy of a confirmation letter from South Coastal Information Center, or, if the search was in-house, a letter of verification from the PI stating that the search was completed. 2. The letter shall introduce any pertinent information concerning expectations and probabilities of discovery during trenching and/or grading activities. 3. The PI may submit a detailed letter to MMC requesting a reduction to the ¼-mile radius. | | |

**TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
(continued)**

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|
| | <p>B. PI Shall Attend Precon Meetings</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Prior to beginning any work that requires monitoring; the Applicant shall arrange a Precon Meeting that shall include the PI, Native American consultant/monitor (where Native American resources may be impacted), Construction Manager (CM) and/or Grading Contractor, Resident Engineer (RE), Building Inspector (BI), if appropriate, and MMC. The qualified Archaeologist and Native American Monitor shall attend any grading/excavation related Precon Meetings to make comments and/or suggestions concerning the Archaeological Monitoring program with the Construction Manager and/or Grading Contractor. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. If the PI is unable to attend the Precon Meeting, the Applicant shall schedule a focused Precon Meeting with MMC, the PI, RE, CM or BI, if appropriate, prior to the start of any work that requires monitoring. 2. Identify Areas to be Monitored <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Prior to the start of any work that requires monitoring, the PI shall submit an Archaeological Monitoring Exhibit (AME) (with verification that the AME has been reviewed and approved by the Native American consultant/monitor when Native American resources may be impacted) based on the appropriate construction documents (reduced to 11x17) to MMC identifying the areas to be monitored including the delineation of grading/excavation limits. b. The AME shall be based on the results of a site-specific records search as well as information regarding existing known soil conditions (native or formation). | | |

**TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
(continued)**

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|------------------------------|--|-------------------------|---|
| | <p>3. When Monitoring Will Occur</p> <p>a. Prior to the start of any work, the PI shall also submit a construction schedule to MMC through the RE indicating when and where monitoring will occur.</p> <p>b. The PI may submit a detailed letter to MMC prior to the start of work or during construction requesting a modification to the monitoring program. This request shall be based on relevant information such as review of final construction documents which indicate site conditions such as depth of excavation and/or site graded to bedrock, etc., which may reduce or increase the potential for resources to be present.</p> <p>III. During Construction</p> <p>A. Monitor(s) Shall be Present During Grading/Excavation/Trenching</p> <p>1. The Archaeological Monitor shall be present full-time during all soil disturbing and grading/excavation/trenching activities which could result in impacts to archaeological resources as identified on the AME. The CM is responsible for notifying the RE, PI, and MMC of changes to any construction activities such as in the case of a potential safety concern within the area being monitored. In certain circumstances Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) safety requirements may necessitate modification of the AME.</p> | | |

**TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
(continued)**

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|------------------------------|--|-------------------------|---|
| | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. The Native American consultant/monitor shall determine the extent of their presence during soil disturbing and grading/excavation/trenching activities based on the AME and provide that information to the PI and MMC. If prehistoric resources are encountered during the Native American consultant/monitor's absence, work shall stop and the Discovery Notification Process detailed in Section III.B-C and IV.A-D shall commence. 3. The PI may submit a detailed letter to MMC during construction requesting a modification to the monitoring program when a field condition such as modern disturbance post-dating the previous grading/trenching activities, presence of fossil formations, or when native soils are encountered that may reduce or increase the potential for resources to be present. 4. The archaeological and Native American consultant/monitor shall document field activity via the Consultant Site Visit Record (CSVR). The CSVRS shall be faxed by the CM to the RE the first day of monitoring, the last day of monitoring, monthly (Notification of Monitoring Completion), and in the case of ANY discoveries. The RE shall forward copies to MMC. | | |

**TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
(continued)**

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|
| | <p>B. Discovery Notification Process</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the event of a discovery, the Archaeological Monitor shall direct the contractor to temporarily divert all soil disturbing activities, including but not limited to digging, trenching, excavating or grading activities in the area of discovery and in the area reasonably suspected to overlay adjacent resources and immediately notify the RE or BI, as appropriate. 2. The Monitor shall immediately notify the PI (unless Monitor is the PI) of the discovery. 3. The PI shall immediately notify MMC by phone of the discovery, and shall also submit written documentation to MMC within 24 hours by fax or email with photos of the resource in context, if possible. 4. No soil shall be exported off-site until a determination can be made regarding the significance of the resource specifically if Native American resources are encountered. | | |

**TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
(continued)**

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|
| | <p>C. Determination of Significance</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The PI and Native American consultant/monitor, where Native American resources are discovered shall evaluate the significance of the resource. If Human Remains are involved, follow protocol in Section IV below. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. The PI shall immediately notify MMC by phone to discuss significance determination and shall also submit a letter to MMC indicating whether additional mitigation is required. b. If the resource is significant, the PI shall submit an Archaeological Data Recovery Program (ADRP) which has been reviewed by the Native American consultant/monitor, and obtain written approval from MMC. Impacts to significant resources must be mitigated before ground-disturbing activities in the area of discovery will be allowed to resume. Note: If a unique archaeological site is also an historical resource as defined in CEQA, then the limits on the amount(s) that a project applicant may be required to pay to cover mitigation costs as indicated in CEQA Section 21083.2 shall not apply. c. If the resource is not significant, the PI shall submit a letter to MMC indicating that artifacts will be collected, curated, and documented in the Final Monitoring Report. The letter shall also indicate that that no further work is required. | | |

**TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
(continued)**

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|------------------------------|--|-------------------------|---|
| | <p>IV. Discovery of Human Remains</p> <p>If human remains are discovered, work shall halt in that area and no soil shall be exported off-site until a determination can be made regarding the provenance of the human remains; and the following procedures as set forth in CEQA Section 15064.5(e), the California Public Resources Code (Sec. 5097.98) and State Health and Safety Code (Sec. 7050.5) shall be undertaken:</p> <p>A. Notification</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Archaeological Monitor shall notify the RE or BI as appropriate, MMC, and the PI, if the Monitor is not qualified as a PI. MMC will notify the appropriate Senior Planner in the EAS of the Development Services Department to assist with the discovery notification process. 2. The PI shall notify the Medical Examiner after consultation with the RE, either in person or via telephone. <p>B. Isolate Discovery Site</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Work shall be directed away from the location of the discovery and any nearby area reasonably suspected to overlay adjacent human remains until a determination can be made by the Medical Examiner in consultation with the PI concerning the provenance of the remains. 2. The Medical Examiner, in consultation with the PI, will determine the need for a field examination to determine the provenance. 3. If a field examination is not warranted, the Medical Examiner will determine with input from the PI, if the remains are or are most likely to be of Native American origin. | | |

**TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
(continued)**

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|
| | <p>C. If Human Remains ARE determined to be Native American</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Medical Examiner will notify the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) within 24 hours. By law, ONLY the Medical Examiner can make this call. 2. NAHC will immediately identify the person or persons determined to be the Most Likely Descendent (MLD) and provide contact information. 3. The MLD will contact the PI within 24 hours or sooner after the Medical Examiner has completed coordination, to begin the consultation process in accordance with CEQA Section 15064.5(e), the California Public Resources and Health & Safety Codes. 4. The MLD will have 48 hours to make recommendations to the property owner or representative, for the treatment or disposition with proper dignity, of the human remains and associated grave goods. 5. Disposition of Native American Human Remains will be determined between the MLD and the PI, and, if: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. The NAHC is unable to identify the MLD, OR the MLD failed to make a recommendation within 48 hours after being notified by the Commission; OR; b. The landowner or authorized representative rejects the recommendation of the MLD and mediation in accordance with PRC 5097.94 (k) by the NAHC fails to provide measures acceptable to the landowner, THEN, | | |

**TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
(continued)**

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|
| | <p>c. In order to protect these sites, the Landowner shall do one or more of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (1) Record the site with the NAHC; (2) Record an open space or conservation easement on the site; (3) Record a document with the County. <p>d. Upon the discovery of multiple Native American human remains during a ground disturbing land development activity, the landowner may agree that additional conferral with descendants is necessary to consider culturally appropriate treatment of multiple Native American human remains. Culturally appropriate treatment of such a discovery may be ascertained from review of the site utilizing cultural and archaeological standards. Where the parties are unable to agree on the appropriate treatment measures the human remains and buried with Native American human remains shall be reinterred with appropriate dignity, pursuant to Section 5.c., above.</p> <p>D. If Human Remains are NOT Native American</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The PI shall contact the Medical Examiner and notify them of the historic era context of the burial. 2. The Medical Examiner will determine the appropriate course of action with the PI and City staff (PRC 5097.98). 3. If the remains are of historic origin, they shall be appropriately removed and conveyed to the San Diego Museum of Man for analysis. The decision for internment of the human remains shall be made in consultation with MMC, EAS, the applicant/landowner, any known descendant group, and the San Diego Museum of Man. | | |

**TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
(continued)**

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|
| | <p>V. Night and/or Weekend Work</p> <p>A. If night and/or weekend work is included in the contract</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. When night and/or weekend work is included in the contract package, the extent and timing shall be presented and discussed at the precon meeting. 2. The following procedures shall be followed. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. No Discoveries In the event that no discoveries were encountered during night and/or weekend work, the PI shall record the information on the CSVr and submit to MMC via fax by 8 AM of the next business day. b. Discoveries All discoveries shall be processed and documented using the existing procedures detailed in Sections III - During Construction, and IV – Discovery of Human Remains. Discovery of human remains shall always be treated as a significant discovery. c. Potentially Significant Discoveries If the PI determines that a potentially significant discovery has been made, the procedures detailed under Section III - During Construction and IV-Discovery of Human Remains shall be followed. d. The PI shall immediately contact MMC, or by 8:00 A.M. of the next business day to report and discuss the findings as indicated in Section III-B, unless other specific arrangements have been made. | | |

**TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
(continued)**

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|------------------------------|--|-------------------------|---|
| | <p>B. If night and/or weekend work becomes necessary during the course of construction</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Construction Manager shall notify the RE, or BI, as appropriate, a minimum of 24 hours before the work is to begin. 2. The RE, or BI, as appropriate, shall notify MMC immediately. <p>C. All other procedures described above shall apply, as appropriate.</p> <p>VI. Post Construction</p> <p>A. Preparation and Submittal of Draft Monitoring Report</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The PI shall submit two copies of the Draft Monitoring Report (even if negative), prepared in accordance with the Historical Resources Guidelines (Appendix B/C) which describes the results, analysis, and conclusions of all phases of the Archaeological Monitoring Program (with appropriate graphics) to MMC for review and approval within 90 days following the completion of monitoring. It should be noted that if the PI is unable to submit the Draft Monitoring Report within the allotted 90-day timeframe resulting from delays with analysis, special study results or other complex issues, a schedule shall be submitted to MMC establishing agreed due dates and the provision for submittal of monthly status reports until this measure can be met. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. For significant archaeological resources encountered during monitoring, the Archaeological Data Recovery Program shall be included in the Draft Monitoring Report. | | |

**TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
(continued)**

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|
| | <p>b. Recording Sites with State of California Department of Parks and Recreation</p> <p>The PI shall be responsible for recording (on the appropriate State of California Department of Park and Recreation forms-DPR 523 A/B) any significant or potentially significant resources encountered during the Archaeological Monitoring Program in accordance with the City's Historical Resources Guidelines, and submittal of such forms to the South Coastal Information Center with the Final Monitoring Report.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. MMC shall return the Draft Monitoring Report to the PI for revision or, for preparation of the Final Report. 3. The PI shall submit revised Draft Monitoring Report to MMC for approval. 4. MMC shall provide written verification to the PI of the approved report. 5. MMC shall notify the RE or BI, as appropriate, of receipt of all Draft Monitoring Report submittals and approvals. <p>B. Handling of Artifacts</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The PI shall be responsible for ensuring that all cultural remains collected are cleaned and catalogued 2. The PI shall be responsible for ensuring that all artifacts are analyzed to identify function and chronology as they relate to the history of the area; that faunal material is identified as to species; and that specialty studies are completed, as appropriate. 3. The cost for curation is the responsibility of the property owner. | | |

**TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
(continued)**

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|
| | <p>C. Curation of artifacts: Accession Agreement and Acceptance Verification</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The PI shall be responsible for ensuring that all artifacts associated with the survey, testing and/or data recovery for this project are permanently curated with an appropriate institution. This shall be completed in consultation with MMC and the Native American representative, as applicable. 2. The PI shall include the Acceptance Verification from the curation institution in the Final Monitoring Report submitted to the RE or BI and MMC. 3. When applicable to the situation, the PI shall include written verification from the Native American consultant/monitor indicating that Native American resources were treated in accordance with state law and/or applicable agreements. If the resources were reinterred, verification shall be provided to show what protective measures were taken to ensure no further disturbance occurs in accordance with Section IV – Discovery of Human Remains, Subsection 5. <p>D. Final Monitoring Report(s)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The PI shall submit one copy of the approved Final Monitoring Report to the RE or BI as appropriate, and one copy to MMC (even if negative), within 90 days after notification from MMC that the draft report has been approved. 2. The RE shall, in no case, issue the Notice of Completion and/or release of the Performance Bond for grading until receiving a copy of the approved Final Monitoring Report from MMC which includes the Acceptance Verification from the curation institution. | | |

**TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
(continued)**

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|--|---|---|---|
| Visual Effects and Neighborhood Character | | | |
| <p>Architectural Style</p> <p>Impacts associated with architectural style would be significant for this project component because it would introduce elements of modern architecture.</p> | <p>Centennial Bridge</p> <p>No feasible mitigation is available for the significant impact associated with Centennial Bridge on architectural character because, per the SOI Rehabilitation Standards, replication of an historic design is impermissible. The impact would remain significant and unmitigated.</p> | <p>Centennial Bridge</p> <p>Unmitigable</p> | <p>City of San Diego</p> |
| Transportation/Circulation and Parking | | | |
| <p>Traffic Capacity</p> <p>In the 2030 condition, the internal intersection of President's Way and Centennial Road (the southbound left turn) would operate at unacceptable levels. This would be a significant impact.</p> | <p>All Project Components</p> <p>TR-1: Starting in 2026, the Presidents Way/Centennial Road intersection shall be monitored for intersection failure (i.e., LOS E or F) at two-year increments. If the monitoring efforts reveal that the Presidents Way/Centennial Road intersection fails, it shall be reconfigured to make the eastbound Presidents Way approach stop-controlled instead of the Centennial Road approach. The intersection monitoring shall continue until the Palisades area is converted to parkland per the Central Mesa Precise Plan, or the reconfiguration is completed.</p> | <p>All Project Components</p> <p>Beginning in 2026; and in two-year increments thereafter.</p> | <p>City of San Diego/Park and Recreation</p> |

**TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
(continued)**

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|--|---|--|---|
| Biological Resources | | | |
| <p>Wildlife Species</p> <p>The project has the potential to result in direct and indirect impacts to nesting raptors and species covered under the MBTA during construction activities. Also, the project's Arizona Street Landfill earthwork activities could impact the coastal California gnatcatcher. These impacts would be significant.</p> | <p>Arizona Street Landfill</p> <p>Implementation of LU-1 would reduce Arizona Street Landfill earthwork potential impacts to coastal California gnatcatcher to below a level of significance.</p> <p>All Project Components</p> <p>BR-1:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> I. Prior to the issuance of any grading permits and/or the first pre-construction meeting, the owner/permittee shall submit evidence to the ADD of the Entitlements Division verifying that a qualified biologist has been retained to implement the biological resources mitigation program as detailed below (see A through D): <ol style="list-style-type: none"> A. Prior to the first pre-construction meeting, the applicant shall provide a letter of verification to the ADD of LDR stating that a qualified Biologist, as defined in the City of San Diego Biological Resource Guidelines (BRG), has been retained to implement the biological resources mitigation program. B. At least 30 days prior to the pre-construction meeting, a second letter shall be submitted to the MMC section which includes the name and contact information of the Biologist and the names of all persons involved in the Biological Monitoring of the project. C. At least 30 days prior to the pre-construction meeting, the qualified Biologist shall verify that any special reports, maps, plans and time lines, such as but not limited to, revegetation plans, plant relocation requirements and timing, avian or other wildlife protocol surveys, impact avoidance areas or other such information has been completed and updated. D. The qualified biologist (project biologist) shall attend the first preconstruction meeting. | <p>Arizona Street Landfill</p> <p>Prior to the issuance of any grading permits and/or the first pre-construction meeting.</p> <p>All Project Components</p> <p>Prior to the issuance of any grading permits and/or the first pre-construction meeting.</p> | <p>City of San Diego</p> |

**TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
(continued)**

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|------------------------------|--|-------------------------|---|
| | <p>II. If project grading is proposed during the raptor breeding season (February 1–September 15), the project biologist shall conduct a pre-grading survey for active raptor nests within 300 feet of the development area and submit a letter report to MMC prior to the preconstruction meeting</p> <p>A. If active raptor nests are detected, the report shall include mitigation in conformance with the City's Biology Guidelines (i.e. appropriate buffers, monitoring schedules, etc.) to the satisfaction of the ADD of the Entitlements Division. Mitigation requirements determined by the project biologist and the ADD of Entitlements shall be incorporated into the project's Biological Construction Monitoring Exhibit (BCME) and monitoring results incorporated in to the final biological construction monitoring report.</p> <p>B. If no nesting raptors are detected during the pre-grading survey, no mitigation is required.</p> <p>III. Prior to the issuance of any grading permit, the project biologist shall verify that the following project requirements regarding the MBTA are shown on the construction plans:</p> <p>No direct impacts shall occur to nesting birds, their eggs, chicks, or nests during the breeding season. If construction activities are to occur during the bird breeding season, pre-construction surveys will be necessary to confirm the presence or absence of breeding birds. If nests or breeding activities are located on-site, an appropriate buffer area around the nesting site shall be maintained until the young have fledged.</p> | | |

**TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
(continued)**

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|--|---|---|---|
| Noise | | | |
| <p>Construction Equipment Noise</p> <p>The project construction activities would cause an increase in interior noise levels that could potentially impact uses associated with the following: The Old Globe, San Diego Museum of Man, House of Charm, San Diego Museum of Art, Timken Museum of Art, House of Hospitality, Hall of Nations, United Nations Building, and House of Pacific Relations/Cottages, San Diego Hall of Champions, Balboa Park Club, Marie Hitchcock Puppet Theater, and San Diego Automotive Museum.</p> | <p>All Project Components</p> <p>N-1: The following mitigation shall be implemented during all phases of construction. All noise-producing equipment and vehicles using internal combustion engines shall be equipped with mufflers, air-inlet silencers where appropriate, and any other shrouds, shields, or other noise-reducing features in good operating condition that meet or exceed original factory specification.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mobile or fixed "package" equipment (e.g., arc-welders, air compressors) shall be equipped with shrouds and noise control features that are readily available for that type of equipment. • Electrically powered equipment shall be used instead of pneumatic or internal combustion powered equipment, where feasible. • Material stockpiles and mobile equipment staging, parking, and maintenance areas shall be located as far as practicable from noise-sensitive receptors. • Construction site and access road speed limits shall be established and enforced during the construction period. • The use of noise-producing signals, including horns, whistles, alarms, and bells, shall be for safety warning purposes only. • No project-related public address or music system shall be audible at any adjacent receptor. • The construction contractor shall establish a noise disturbance coordinator. The disturbance coordinator shall be responsible for responding to any local complaints about construction noise. The disturbance coordinator shall determine the cause of the noise complaint (e.g., starting too early in the day, bad muffler, etc.) and shall be required to implement measures such that the complaint is resolved to the satisfaction of the City Engineering Department. Signs posted at the construction site shall list the telephone number for the disturbance coordinator. | <p>All Project Components</p> <p>Unmitigable</p> | <p>City of San Diego</p> |

**TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
(continued)**

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|--|--|--|---|
| Paleontological Resources | | | |
| <p>Because of the moderate and high sensitivity potential areas for paleontological resources, project grading could potentially destroy fossil remains, resulting in a significant impact to paleontological resources.</p> | <p>All Project Components</p> <p>Significant impacts to paleontological resources are most often mitigated by the implementation of a monitoring program. The monitoring program is carried out under the supervision of a qualified paleontologist and includes attendance at pre-construction meetings as well as on-site inspections of active excavations.</p> <p>PAL-1: The Applicant shall follow the procedures outlined below as a condition of approval.</p> <p>I. Prior to Permit Issuance</p> <p>A. Entitlements Plan Check</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Prior to issuance of any construction permits, including but not limited to, the first Grading Permit, Demolition Plans/Permits and Building Plans/Permits or a Notice to Proceed for Subdivisions, but prior to the first preconstruction meeting, whichever is applicable, the ADD Environmental designee shall verify that the requirements for Paleontological Monitoring have been noted on the appropriate construction documents. <p>B. Letters of Qualification have been submitted to ADD</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The applicant shall submit a letter of verification to MMC identifying the PI for the project and the names of all persons involved in the paleontological monitoring program, as defined in the City Paleontology Guidelines. 2. MMC will provide a letter to the applicant confirming the qualifications of the PI and all persons involved in the paleontological monitoring of the project. 3. Prior to the start of work, the applicant shall obtain approval from MMC for any personnel changes associated with the monitoring program. | <p>All Project Components</p> <p>Prior to the issuance of a grading permit.</p> | <p>City of San Diego</p> |

**TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
(continued)**

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|------------------------------|--|-------------------------|---|
| | <p>II. Prior to Start of Construction</p> <p>A. Verification of Records Search</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The PI shall provide verification to MMC that a <i>site-specific</i> records search has been completed. Verification includes, but is not limited to, a copy of a confirmation letter from San Diego Natural History Museum, other institution or, if the search was in-house, a letter of verification from the PI stating that the search was completed. 2. The letter shall introduce any <i>pertinent information</i> concerning expectations and probabilities of discovery during trenching and/or grading activities. <p>B. PI Shall Attend Precon Meetings</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Prior to beginning any work that requires monitoring, the Applicant shall arrange a Precon Meeting that shall include the PI, CM and/or Grading Contractor, RE, BI, if appropriate, and MMC. The qualified paleontologist shall attend any grading/excavation related Precon Meetings to make comments and/or suggestions concerning the Paleontological Monitoring program with the CM and/or Grading Contractor. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. If the PI is unable to attend the Precon Meeting, the Applicant shall schedule a focused Precon Meeting with MMC, the PI, RE, CM, or BI, if appropriate, prior to the start of any work that requires monitoring. | | |

**TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
(continued)**

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|
| | <p>2. Identify Areas to be Monitored</p> <p>Prior to the start of any work that requires monitoring, the PI shall submit a Paleontological Monitoring Exhibit (PME) based on the appropriate construction documents (reduced to 11x17) to MMC identifying the areas to be monitored, including the delineation of grading/excavation limits. The PME shall be based on the results of a site-specific records search as well as information regarding existing known soil conditions (native or formation).</p> <p>3. When Monitoring Will Occur</p> <p>a. Prior to the start of any work, the PI shall also submit a construction schedule to MMC through the RE indicating when and where monitoring will occur.</p> <p>b. The PI may submit a detailed letter to MMC prior to the start of work or during construction requesting a modification to the monitoring program. This request shall be based on relevant information such as review of final construction documents which indicate conditions such as depth of excavation and/or site graded to bedrock, presence or absence of fossil resources, etc., which may reduce or increase the potential for resources to be present.</p> | | |

**TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
(continued)**

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|
| | <p>III. During Construction</p> <p>A. Monitor Shall be Present During Grading/Excavation/ Trenching</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The monitor shall be present full time during grading/excavation/trenching activities as identified on the PME that could result in impacts to formations with high and moderate resource sensitivity. The Construction Manager is responsible for notifying the RE, PI, and MMC of changes to any construction activities such as in the case of a potential safety concern within the area being monitored. In certain circumstances, Occupational Safety and Health Administration safety requirements may necessitate modification of the PME. 2. The PI may submit a detailed letter to MMC during construction requesting a modification to the monitoring program when a field condition, such as trenching activities, does not encounter formational soils as previously assumed, and/or when unique/unusual fossils are encountered, which may reduce or increase the potential for resources to be present. 3. The monitor shall document field activity via the CSV. The CSV's shall be faxed by the CM to the RE the first day of monitoring, the last day of monitoring, monthly (Notification of Monitoring Completion), and in the case of ANY discoveries. The RE shall forward copies to MMC. <p>B. Discovery Notification Process</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the event of a discovery, the Paleontological Monitor shall direct the contractor to temporarily divert trenching activities in the area of discovery and immediately notify the RE or BI, as appropriate. | | |

TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
(continued)

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2. The Monitor shall immediately notify the PI (unless Monitor is the PI) of the discovery. 3. The PI shall immediately notify MMC by phone of the discovery, and shall also submit written documentation to MMC within 24 hours by fax or e-mail with photos of the resource in context, if possible. <p>C. Determination of Significance</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The PI shall evaluate the significance of the resource. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. The PI shall immediately notify MMC by phone to discuss significance determination and shall also submit a letter to MMC indicating whether additional mitigation is required. The determination of significance for fossil discoveries shall be at the discretion of the PI. b. If the resource is significant, the PI shall submit a Paleontological Recovery Program and obtain written approval from MMC. Impacts to significant resources must be mitigated before ground disturbing activities in the area of discovery will be allowed to resume. c. If the resource is not significant (e.g., small pieces of broken common shell fragments or other scattered common fossils), the PI shall notify the RE, or BI as appropriate, that a non-significant discovery has been made. The paleontologist shall continue to monitor the area without notification to MMC unless a significant resource is encountered. d. The PI shall submit a letter to MMC indicating that fossil resources will be collected, curated, and documented in the Final Monitoring Report. The letter shall also indicate that no further work is required. | | |

**TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
(continued)**

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|------------------------------|--|-------------------------|---|
| | <p>IV. Night and/or Weekend Work</p> <p>A. If night and/or weekend work is included in the contract:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. When night and/or weekend work is included in the contract package, the extent and timing shall be presented and discussed at the Preconstruction Meeting. 2. The following procedures shall be followed. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. No Discoveries In the event that no discoveries were encountered during night and/or weekend work, the PI shall record the information on the CSVr and submit to MMC via fax by 8 a.m. on the next business day. b. Discoveries All discoveries shall be processed and documented using the existing procedures detailed in Section III - During Construction. c. Potentially Significant Discoveries If the PI determines that a potentially significant discovery has been made, the procedures detailed under Section III - During Construction shall be followed. d. The PI shall immediately contact MMC, or by 8 a.m. on the next business day, to report and discuss the findings as indicated in Section III-B, unless other specific arrangements have been made. | | |

**TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
(continued)**

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|
| | <p>B. If night work becomes necessary during the course of construction:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The CM shall notify the RE, or BI as appropriate, a minimum of 24 hours before the work is to begin. 2. The RE or BI, as appropriate, shall notify MMC immediately. <p>C. All other procedures described above shall apply, as appropriate.</p> <p>V. Post Construction</p> <p>A. Preparation and Submittal of Draft Monitoring Report</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The PI shall submit two copies of the Draft Monitoring Report (even if negative), prepared in accordance with the Paleontological Guidelines which describes the results, analysis, and conclusions of all phases of the Paleontological Monitoring Program (with appropriate graphics) to MMC for review and approval within 90 days following the completion of monitoring. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. For significant paleontological resources encountered during monitoring, the Paleontological Recovery Program shall be included in the Draft Monitoring Report. b. Recording Sites with the San Diego Natural History Museum <p>The PI shall be responsible for recording (on the appropriate forms) any significant or potentially significant fossil resources encountered during the Paleontological Monitoring Program in accordance with the City's Paleontological Guidelines, and submittal of such forms to the San Diego Natural History Museum with the Final Monitoring Report.</p> | | |

**TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
(continued)**

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|
| | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. MMC shall return the Draft Monitoring Report to the PI for revision or, for preparation of the Final Report. 3. The PI shall submit revised Draft Monitoring Report to MMC for approval. 4. MMC shall provide written verification to the PI of the approved report. 5. MMC shall notify the RE or BI, as appropriate, of receipt of all Draft Monitoring Report submittals and approvals. <p>B. Handling of Fossil Remains</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The PI shall be responsible for ensuring that all fossil remains collected are cleaned and cataloged. 2. The PI shall be responsible for ensuring that all fossil remains are analyzed to identify function and chronology as they relate to the geologic history of the area, that faunal material is identified as to species, and that specialty studies are completed, as appropriate. <p>C. Curation of Fossil Remains: Deed of Gift and Acceptance Verification</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The PI shall be responsible for ensuring that all fossil remains associated with the monitoring for this project are permanently curated with an appropriate institution. 2. The PI shall include the Acceptance Verification from the curation institution in the Final Monitoring Report submitted to the RE or BI and MMC. | | |

**TABLE 10-1
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
(continued)**

| Potential Significant Impact | Mitigation Measures | Timeframe of Mitigation | Monitoring, Enforcement, and Reporting Responsibility |
|------------------------------|--|-------------------------|---|
| | <p>D. Final Monitoring Report(s)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The PI shall submit two copies of the Final Monitoring Report to MMC (even if negative) within 90 days after notification from MMC that the Draft Monitoring Report has been approved. 2. The RE shall, in no case, issue the Notice of Completion until receiving a copy of the approved <i>Final Monitoring Report</i> from MMC which includes the Acceptance Verification from the curation institution. | | |

THIS PAGE IS INTENTIONALLY BLANK.

Passed by the Council of The City of San Diego on NOV 14 2016, by the following vote:

| Councilmembers | Yeas | Nays | Not Present | Recused |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Sherri Lightner | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Lorie Zapf | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Todd Gloria | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Myrtle Cole | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Mark Kersey | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Chris Cate | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Scott Sherman | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| David Alvarez | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Marti Emerald | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Date of final passage NOV 17 2016.

(Please note: When a resolution is approved by the Mayor, the date of final passage is the date the approved resolution was returned to the Office of the City Clerk.)

AUTHENTICATED BY:

KEVIN L. FAULCONER
Mayor of The City of San Diego, California.

(Seal)

ELIZABETH S. MALAND
City Clerk of The City of San Diego, California.

By , Deputy

Office of the City Clerk, San Diego, California
Resolution Number R- 310762