RESOLUTION NUMBER R- 311731

DATE OF FINAL PASSAGE MAY 01 2018

A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO DENYING THE DEFENSE REQUEST OF FRANK CARDENAS IN THE MATTER OF *JAZMIN GARCIA V. CITY SAN DIEGO*, *ET AL*; SAN DIEGO SUPERIOR COURT CASE NO. 37-2018-00003006-CU-OE-CTL

WHEREAS, on January 19, 2018, Jazmin Garcia (Plaintiff) filed suit against the City of San Diego (City) and former City employee Frank Cardenas, alleging, among other things, sexual harassment. Plaintiff brought suit in the San Diego Superior Court. The suit is entitled *Jazmin Garcia v. City of San Diego, et al.*, Case No 37-2018-00003006-CU-OE-CTL; and

WHEREAS, on March 23, 2018, Cardenas requested the City provide him with a defense to the above-entitled action; and

WHEREAS, except as otherwise provided in California Government Code (Government Code) sections 995.2 and 995.4, upon request of an employee, the City shall provide for the defense of any civil action or proceeding brought against the employee, in his official or individual capacity or both, on account of an act or omission in the scope of his employment as an employee of the City, under Government Code section 995; and

WHEREAS, the City may refuse to provide a defense under Government Code section 995.2 to an employee in a civil action or proceedings if the City determines any of the following:

- 1. The act or omission was not within the scope of employment;
- 2. The employee acted or failed to act because of actual fraud, corruption, or actual malice;

(R-2018-508 Cor. Copy)

3. The defense of the action or proceeding by the public entity would create a specific

conflict of interest between the public entity and the employee or former employee. For the

purposes of this section, "specific conflict of interest" means a conflict of interest or an adverse

or pecuniary interest, as specified by statute or by a rule or regulation of the public entity; NOW,

THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, that the City of San Diego

has denied Cardenas' defense request to Jazmin Garcia v. City of San Diego, et al., Case No 37-

2018-00003006-CU-OE-CTL, under Government Code section 995.2.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the City Council has determined, based on the City

Attorney report dated April 30, 2018, that Cardenas acted outside the scope of his employment

with the City.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the City Council has determined, based on the City

Attorney report dated April 30, 2018, that to provide Cardenas with a defense would create a

specific conflict of interest between the City and Cardenas.

APPROVED: MARA W. ELLIOTT, City Attorney

By

Michael J. McGowan

Deputy City Attorney

MJM:mc

April 30, 2018

Or.Dept: City Attorney

Doc. No.: 1729794

I certify that the foregoing Resolution meeting of April 30, 2018	was passed by the Council of the City of San Diego, at this
ľ	ELIZABETH S. MALAND City Clerk
	Deput City Clerk
Approved: (date)	KEVIN L. FAULCONER, Mayor
Vetoed: (date)	KEVIN I FALII CONER Mayor

Passed by the Council of The C	APR 3	APR 3 0 2018 , by the following vote:				
Councilmembers	Yeas	Nays	Not Present	Recused		
Barbara Bry	. 🛮					
Lorie Zapf	$\mathbf{Z}$					
Chris Ward	$\mathbf{Z}$					
Myrtle Cole	Ź					
Mark Kersey	Ø					
Chris Cate						
Scott Sherman	Ø					
David Alvarez			Ø			
Georgette Gomez	Z					
Date of final passageM	AY <b>01</b> 2018					
(Please note: When a resolut approved resolution was retu		the City Cler	·k.) KEVIN L. FA	LULCONER		
AUTHENTICATED BY:		Mayor of The City of San Diego, California.				
(Seal)		ELIZABETH S. MALAND City Clerk of The City of San Diego, California.				
-1.4		ву	4 (Muse)	8	_, Deputy	
			A.			
		Office of the	e City Clerk, San	Diego, California		
	Reso	lution Numbe	er R <b>31</b>	1731		