2/23 # 103A (R-2021-327) COR, COPY

RESOLUTION NUMBER R- 313444

DATE OF FINAL PASSAGE FEB 25 2021

A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO DETERMINING THAT THE TRANSFER AND EXPENDITURE OF \$600,000 OF FUNDS FOR THE EAST ELLIOTT LAND ACQUISITION IS CATEGORICALLY EXEMPT FROM THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT PURSUANT TO CEQA GUIDELINES SECTION 15313.

WHEREAS, the City of San Diego (City) is seeking to acquire Multi-Habitat Planning Area (MPHA)/Open Space lands within the East Elliott area (Project), as part of the continued implementation of the Multiple Species Conservation Program (MSCP). East Elliott is a core biological area, deemed essential for continued conservation of San Diego's unique biodiversity; and

WHEREAS, the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Public Resources Code section 21000, et seq.), section 21084, states that the CEQA Guidelines thereto (California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Chapter 3, section 15000, et seq.) shall list those classes of projects which have been determined not to have a significant effect on the environment and which shall be exempt from CEQA; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to that authority, CEQA Guidelines sections 15300-15333 list the categorical exemptions promulgated by the California Office of Planning and Research and adopted by the Secretary of the California Natural Resources Agency for those classes of Projects which have been determined not to have a significant effect on the environment; and

WHEREAS, the Development Services Department has established that the Project is categorically exempt from CEQA pursuant to CEQA Guidelines section 15313 and that no exception to the exemption, as set forth in CEQA Guidelines section 15300.2, applies to the Project; and

WHEREAS, the Council of the City of San Diego (City Council) has considered the potential environmental effects of the Project; and

WHEREAS, on February 23, 2021, the City Council held a duly noticed public meeting and considered the written record for the Project as well as public comment; and

WHEREAS, the City Council, using its independent judgment, has determined that the Project will not have a significant effect on the environment as the project is to allow for the acquisition of lands for fish and wildlife conservation purposes where the purpose of the acquisition is to preserve the land in its natural condition; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED, that the Council of the City of San Diego determines that the Project is categorically exempt from CEQA pursuant to CEQA Guidelines section 15313 and that an exception to the exemption does not apply.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Council of the City of San Diego has determined that an exception to the exemption as set forth in CEQA Guidelines section 15300.2 does not apply.

APPROVED: MARA W. ELLIOTT, City Attorney

By /s/ Noah J. Brazier
Noah J. Brazier

Deputy City Attorney

NJB:mb February 2, 2021 February 26, 2021 COR.COPY Or.Dep: Planning

Doc. No.: 2579539 2

meeting of	 •
	ELIZABETH S. MALAND City Clerk
	Deputy City Clerk
Approved:(date)	TODD GLORIA, Mayor
Approved:(date)	TODD GLORIA, Mayor

I certify that the foregoing Resolution was passed by the Council of the City of San Diego, at this

Office of The City Attorney City of San Diego

MEMORANDUM

DATE:

February 26, 2021

TO:

City Clerk

FROM:

Noah J. Brazier

SUBJECT:

Revision to City Attorney Resolution 2021-327

The following language in Resolution 2021-327, which the City Council passed as Item #103 on February 23, 2021 was included in error and is not necessary for this action:

"BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the City Clerk is directed to file a Notice of

Exemption regarding the Project with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors for the

County of San Diego."

This Revised Resolution deletes this language to correct the error.

NJB:njb

Doc. No.: 2599528

Attachment

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the City Clerk is directed to file a Notice of Exemption regarding the Project with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors for the County of San Diego. APPROVED: MARA W. ELLIOTT, City Attorney /s/ Noah J. Brazier By Noah J. Brazier **Deputy City Attorney** NJB:mb February 2, 2021 Or.Dep: Planning Doc. No.: 279539 I certify that the foregoing Resolution was passed by the Council of the City of San Diego, at this meeting of <u>02/23/2021</u> **ELIZABETH S. MALAND** City Clerk By ___/s/ Stacy D. Ready Deputy City Clerk

TODD GLORIA, Mayor

Approved:

(date)

Passed by the Council of The City of San Diego on			2 3 2021	, by the following vote:		
Councilmembers	Yeas	Nays	Not Present	Recused		
Joe LaCava	\mathbf{Z}					
Jennifer Campbell	Z					
Stephen Whitburn	Z.					
Monica Montgomery Steppe	\mathbb{Z}_{ℓ}					
Marni von Wilpert	Z					
Chris Cate	Z.					
Raul A. Campillo	' Z					
Vivian Moreno	\mathbf{Z}					
Sean Elo-Rivera						
(Please note: When a resolution is approved by the Mayor, the date of final passage is the date the approved resolution was returned to the Office of the City Clerk.)						
AUTHENTICATED BY:		<u>TODD GLORIA</u> Mayor of The City of San Diego, California.				
AOTHENHICATED BT.		Wayor	ELIZABETH S.	·		
(Seal)		City Clerk		an Diego, California.		
		вуУ/	& Read	, Deputy		
	Of	Office of the City Clerk, San Diego, California				
	Resolutio	on Number I	_{R-} 313	444		