

RESOLUTION NUMBER R- 314469

DATE OF FINAL PASSAGE NOV 15 2022

A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF  
SAN DIEGO ADOPTING ADDENDUM NO. 690358 TO  
PROGRAM ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT NO.  
30330/304032 / SCH NO. 2004651076 AND THE MITIGATION,  
MONITORING, AND REPORTING PROGRAM.

WHEREAS, the City of San Diego Planning Department conducted a comprehensive plan update to the Otay Mesa Community Plan that included a General Plan Amendment, rescission of the Otay Mesa Development District, adoption of a rezone ordinance to replace the Otay Mesa Development District with citywide zoning and the creation of two new Community Plan implementation Overlay Zones, amendments to the City's Land Development Code, and an update of the Otay Mesa Community Plan Public Facilities Financing Plan (Project); and

WHEREAS, on March 11, 2014, the San Diego City Council (City Council) adopted San Diego Resolution R-308810, certifying the Program Environmental Impact Report No. 30330/304032, a copy of which is on file in the Office of the City Clerk in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970 (CEQA) (Public Resources Code section 21000 et seq.), as amended, and the State CEQA Guidelines thereto (California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Chapter 3, section 15000 et seq.); and

WHEREAS, on April 21, 2021, Tri Pointe Homes, Inc. submitted an application to the Development Services Department for approval of a General Plan and Community Plan Amendment, Rezone, Vesting Tentative Map, Master Planned Development Permit, Site Development Permit, and Neighborhood Development Permit for the California Terraces PA-61 Lot 1 project as well as minor technical changes or additions to the Project; and

WHEREAS, State CEQA Guidelines section 15164(a) allows a lead agency to prepare an Addendum to a final Program Environmental Impact Report if such Addendum meets the requirements of CEQA; and

WHEREAS, the Office of the City Attorney has drafted this resolution based on the information provided by City staff, including information provided by affected third parties and verified by City staff,

WHEREAS, under San Diego Charter section 280(a)(2), this resolution is not subject to veto by the Mayor because this matter requires the City Council to act as a quasi-judicial body and where a public hearing was required by law implicating due process rights of individuals affected by the decision and where the City Council was required by law to consider evidence at the hearing and to make legal findings based on the evidence presented; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of San Diego as follows:

1. That the information contained in the final Program Environmental Impact Report (PEIR) No. 30330/304032 / SCH No. 2004651076 along with the Addendum thereto, including any comments received during the public review process, has been reviewed and considered by this City Council prior to making a decision on the Project.

2. That there are no substantial changes proposed to the Project and no substantial changes with respect to the circumstances under which the Project is to be undertaken that would require major revisions in the PEIR No. 30330/304032/SCH No. 2004651076 for the Project.

3. That no new information of substantial importance has become available showing that the Project would have any significant effects not discussed previously in the Program PEIR No. 30330/304032/SCH No. 2004651076 or that any significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the PEIR No. 30330/304032/SCH No. 2004651076.

4. That no new information of substantial importance has become available showing that mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible are in fact feasible which would substantially reduce any significant effects, but that the Project proponents decline to adopt, or that there are any considerably different mitigation measures or alternatives not previously considered which would substantially reduce any significant effects, but that the Project proponents decline to adopt.

5. That pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines Section 15164, only minor technical changes or additions are necessary, and therefore, the City Council adopts Addendum to PEIR No. 30330/304032/SCH No. 2004651076 with respect to the project, a copy of which is on file in the office of the City Clerk.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that pursuant to CEQA section 21081.6, the City Council adopts the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program, or alterations to implement the changes to the project as required by this City Council in order to mitigate or avoid significant effects on the environment, which is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Addendum, PEIR, and other documents constituting the record of proceedings upon which the approval is based are available to the public at the office of the City Clerk, 202 C Street, San Diego, CA 92101.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the City Clerk is directed to file a Notice of Determination with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors for the County of San Diego regarding the Project.

APPROVED: MARA W. ELLIOTT, City Attorney

By /s/ Corrine L. Neuffer  
Corrine L. Neuffer  
Chief Deputy City Attorney

CLN:cm  
October 25, 2022  
Or.Dept: Development Services  
Doc. No. 3124881

Attachment: Exhibit A ~ Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

## **EXHIBIT A**

### **MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM**

#### **COMMUNITY PLAN AMENDMENT, REZONE, VESTING TENTATIVE MAP, MASTER PLANNED DEVELOPMENT PERMIT, SITE DEVELOPMENT PERMIT, NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT PERMIT**

#### **PROJECT NO. 690358**

This Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program is designed to ensure compliance with Public Resources Code Section 21081.6 during implementation of mitigation measures. This program identifies at a minimum: the department responsible for the monitoring, what is to be monitored, how the monitoring shall be accomplished, the monitoring and reporting schedule, and completion requirements. A record of the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program will be maintained at the offices of the Entitlements Division, 1222 First Avenue, Fifth Floor, San Diego, CA, 92101. All mitigation measures contained in the Addendum No. 690358 to Program Environmental Impact Report No. 30330/304032 shall be made conditions of Community Plan Amendment, Rezone, Vesting Tentative Map, Master Planned Development Permit, Site Development Permit, and Neighborhood Development Permit as may be further described below.

#### **MITIGATION, MONITORING, AND REPORTING PROGRAM (MMRP) INCORPORATED INTO THE PROJECT**

The project shall be required to comply with the applicable mitigation measures outlined within the MMRP of the previously certified Program EIR (No. 30330/304032/SCH No. 2004651076) and those identified with the project-specific subsequent technical studies. The following MMRP identifies measures that specifically apply to this project.

##### **A. GENERAL REQUIREMENTS: PART I – Plan Check Phase (prior to permit issuance)**

1. Prior to the issuance of a Notice To Proceed (NTP) for a subdivision, or any construction permits, such as Demolition, Grading or Building, or beginning any construction related activity on-site, the Development Services Department (DSD) Director's Environmental Designee (ED) shall review and approve all Construction Documents (CD), (plans, specification, details, etc.) to ensure the MMRP requirements are incorporated into the design.
2. In addition, the ED shall verify that the MMRP Conditions/Notes that apply ONLY to the construction phases of this project are included VERBATIM, under the heading, **"ENVIRONMENTAL/MITIGATION REQUIREMENTS."**
3. These notes must be shown within the first three (3) sheets of the construction documents in the format specified for engineering construction document templates as shown on the City website: <http://www.sandiego.gov/development-services/industry/standtemp.shtml>
4. The **TITLE INDEX SHEET** must also show on which pages the "Environmental/Mitigation Requirements" notes are provided.

5. **SURETY AND COST RECOVERY** – The Development Services Director or City Manager may require appropriate surety instruments or bonds from private Permit Holders to ensure the long-term performance or implementation of required mitigation measures or programs. The City is authorized to recover its cost to offset the salary, overhead, and expenses for City personnel and programs to monitor qualifying projects.

**B. GENERAL REQUIREMENTS: PART II – Post Plan Check (After permit issuance/Prior to start of construction)**

1. **PRECONSTRUCTION MEETING IS REQUIRED TEN (10) WORKING DAYS PRIOR TO BEGINNING ANY WORK ON THIS PROJECT.** The PERMIT HOLDER/OWNER is responsible to arrange and perform this meeting by contacting the CITY RESIDENT ENGINEER (RE) of the Field Engineering Division and City staff from MITIGATION MONITORING COORDINATION (MMC). Attendees must also include the Permit holder's Representative(s), Job Site Superintendent and the following consultants: ***Qualified Acoustical Monitor***

**Note: Failure of all responsible Permit Holder's representatives and consultants to attend shall require an additional meeting with all parties present.**

**CONTACT INFORMATION:**

a) The PRIMARY POINT OF CONTACT is the RE at the **Field Engineering Division – 858-627-3200**

b) For Clarification of ENVIRONMENTAL REQUIREMENTS, it is also required to call **RE and MMC at 858-627-3360**

2. **MMRP COMPLIANCE:** This Project, Project Tracking System (PTS) No. 6690358 and/or Environmental Document No. 690358, shall conform to the mitigation requirements contained in the associated Environmental Document and implemented to the satisfaction of the DSD's Environmental Designee (MMC) and the City Engineer (RE). The requirements may not be reduced or changed but may be annotated (i.e. to explain when and how compliance is being met and location of verifying proof, etc.). Additional clarifying information may also be added to other relevant plan sheets and/or specifications as appropriate (i.e., specific locations, times of monitoring, methodology, etc.).

**Note: Permit Holder's Representatives must alert RE and MMC if there are any discrepancies in the plans or notes, or any changes due to field conditions. All conflicts must be approved by RE and MMC BEFORE the work is performed.**

3. **OTHER AGENCY REQUIREMENTS:** Evidence of compliance with all other agency requirements or permits shall be submitted to the RE and MMC for review and acceptance prior to the beginning of work or within one week of the Permit Holder obtaining documentation of those permits or requirements. Evidence shall include copies of permits, letters of resolution or other documentation issued by the responsible agency: ***Not Applicable***

4. **MONITORING EXHIBITS:** All consultants are required to submit, to RE and MMC, a monitoring exhibit on a 11x17 reduction of the appropriate construction plan, such as site plan, grading, landscape, etc., marked to clearly show the specific areas including the **LIMIT OF WORK**, scope of that discipline's work, and notes indicating when in the construction schedule that work will be performed. When necessary for clarification, a detailed methodology of how the work will be performed shall be included.

**Note: Surety and Cost Recovery - When deemed necessary by the Development Services Director or City Manager, additional surety instruments or bonds from the private Permit Holder may be required to ensure the long-term performance or implementation of required mitigation measures or programs. The City is authorized to recover its cost to offset the salary, overhead, and expenses for City personnel and programs to monitor qualifying projects.**

5. **OTHER SUBMITTALS AND INSPECTIONS:** The Permit Holder/Owner's representative shall submit all required documentation, verification letters, and requests for all associated inspections to the RE and MMC for approval per the following schedule:

**Document Submittal/Inspection Checklist**

<b>Issue Area</b>	<b>Document Submittal</b>	<b>Associated Inspection/Approvals/Notes</b>
General	Consultant Qualification Letters	Prior to Preconstruction Meeting
General	Consultant Construction Monitoring Exhibits	Prior to or at Preconstruction Meeting
Biology	Consultant Qualification Letters	Prior to Preconstruction Meeting
Biology	Biology Reports	Biology/Habitat Restoration Inspection
Noise	Acoustical Reports	Noise Mitigation Features Inspection
Traffic	Traffic Reports	Traffic Features Site Observation
Waste Management	Waste Management Reports	Waste Management Inspections
Bond Release	Request for Bond Release Letter	Final MMRP Inspections Prior to Bond Release Letter

**SPECIFIC MMRP ISSUE AREA CONDITIONS/REQUIREMENTS:**

**Biological Resources (Burrowing Owl)**

**PRECONSTRUCTION SURVEY ELEMENT - Prior to Permit or Notice to Proceed Issuance:**

1. As this project has been determined to be BUOW occupied or to have BUOW occupation potential, the Applicant Department or Permit Holder shall submit evidence to the ADD of Entitlements verifying that a Biologist possessing qualifications pursuant "Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation, State of California Natural Resources Agency Department of Fish and Game. March 7, 2012 (hereafter referred as CDFG 2012, Staff Report), has been retained to implement a burrowing owl construction impact avoidance program.

2. The qualified BUOW biologist (or their designated biological representative) shall attend the pre-construction meeting to inform construction personnel about the City's BUOW requirements and subsequent survey schedule.

**Prior to Start of Construction:**

1. The Applicant Department or Permit Holder and Qualified Biologist must ensure that initial pre-construction/take avoidance surveys of the project "site" are completed between 14 and 30 days before initial construction activities, including brushing, clearing, grubbing, or grading of the project site; regardless of the time of the year. "Site" means the project site and the area within a radius of 450 feet of the project site. The report shall be submitted and approved by the Wildlife Agencies and/or City MSCP staff prior to construction or BUOW eviction(s) and shall include maps of the project site and BUOW locations on aerial photos.
2. The pre-construction survey shall follow the methods described in CDFG 2012, Staff Report - Appendix D (*please note, in 2013, CDFG became California Department of Fish and Wildlife or CDFW*).
3. 24 hours prior to commencement of ground disturbing activities, the Qualified Biologist shall verify results of preconstruction/take avoidance surveys. Verification shall be provided to the City's Mitigation Monitoring and Coordination (MMC) Section. If results of the preconstruction surveys have changed and BUOW are present in areas not previously identified, immediate notification to the City and WA's shall be provided prior to ground disturbing activities.

**During Construction:**

1. **Best Management Practices shall be employed as** BUOWs are known to use open pipes, culverts, excavated holes, and other burrow-like structures at construction sites. Legally permitted active construction projects which are BUOW occupied and have followed all protocol in this mitigation section, or sites within 450 feet of occupied BUOW areas, should undertake measures to discourage BUOWs from recolonizing previously occupied areas or colonizing new portions of the site. Such measures include, but are not limited to, ensuring that the ends of all pipes and culverts are covered when they are not being worked on, and covering rubble piles, dirt piles, ditches, and berms.
2. **Ongoing BUOW Detection** - If BUOWs or active burrows are not detected during the pre-construction surveys, Section "A" below shall be followed. If BUOWs or burrows are detected during the pre-construction surveys, Section "B" shall be followed. NEITHER THE MSCP SUBAREA PLAN NOR THIS MITIGATION SECTION ALLOWS FOR ANY BUOWs TO BE INJURED OR KILLED OUTSIDE **OR** WITHIN THE MHPA; in addition, IMPACTS TO BUOWs WITHIN THE MHPA MUST BE AVOIDED.
  - A. **Post Survey Follow Up if Burrowing Owls and/or Signs of Active Natural or Artificial Burrows Are Not Detected During the Initial Pre-Construction Survey** - Monitoring the site for new burrows is required using CDFW Staff Report 2012 Appendix D methods for the period following the initial pre-construction survey, until construction is



scheduled to be complete and is complete (*NOTE - Using a projected completion date (that is amended if needed) will allow development of a monitoring schedule*).

- 1) If no active burrows are found but BUOWs are observed to occasionally (1-3 sightings) use the site for roosting or foraging, they should be allowed to do so with no changes in the construction or construction schedule.
- 2) If no active burrows are found but BUOWs are observed during follow up monitoring to repeatedly (4 or more sightings) use the site for roosting or foraging, the City's Mitigation Monitoring and Coordination (MMC) Section shall be notified and any portion of the site where owls have been sites and that has not been graded or otherwise disturbed shall be avoided until further notice.
- 3) If a BUOW begins using a burrow on the site at any time after the initial pre-construction survey, procedures described in Section B must be followed.
- 4) Any actions other than these require the approval of the City and the Wildlife Agencies.

**B. Post Survey Follow Up if Burrowing Owls and/or Active Natural or Artificial Burrows are detected during the Initial Pre-Construction Survey** - Monitoring the site for new burrows is required using Appendix D CDFG 2012, Staff Report for the period following the **initial** pre-construction survey, until construction is scheduled to be complete and is complete (*NOTE - Using a projected completion date (that is amended if needed) will allow development of a monitoring schedule which adheres to the required number of surveys in the detection protocol*).

- 1) This section (B) applies only to sites (including biologically defined territory) wholly outside of the MHPA – **all direct and indirect impacts to BUOWs within the MHPA SHALL be avoided.**
- 2) If one or more BUOWs are using any burrows (including pipes, culverts, debris piles etc.) on or within 300 feet of the proposed construction area, the City's MMC Section shall be contacted. The City's MMC Section shall contact the Wildlife Agencies regarding eviction/collapsing burrows and enlist appropriate City biologist for on-going coordination with the Wildlife Agencies and the qualified consulting BUOW biologist. No construction shall occur within 300 feet of an active burrow without written concurrence from the Wildlife Agencies. This distance may increase or decrease, depending on the burrow's location in relation to the site's topography, and other physical and biological characteristics.
  - a) **Outside the Breeding Season** - If the BUOW is using a burrow on site outside the breeding season (i.e. September 1 – January 31), the BUOW may be evicted after the qualified BUOW biologist has determined via fiber optic camera or other appropriate device, that no eggs, young, or adults are in the burrow and written concurrence from the Wildlife Agencies for eviction is obtained prior to implementation.

- b) **During Breeding Season** - If a BUOW is using a burrow on-site during the breeding season (Feb 1-Aug 31), construction shall not occur within 300 feet of the burrow until the young have fledged and are no longer dependent on the burrow, at which time the BUOWs can be evicted. Eviction requires written concurrence from the Wildlife Agencies prior to implementation.
- 3) **Survey Reporting During Construction** - Details of construction surveys and evictions (if applicable) carried out shall be immediately (within 5 working days or sooner) reported to the City's MMC Section and the Wildlife Agencies and must be provided in writing (as by e-mail) and acknowledged to have been received by the required Agencies and DSD Staff member(s).

**Post Construction:**

- 1. Details of all the surveys and actions undertaken on-site with respect to BUOWs (i.e., occupation, eviction, locations etc.) shall be reported to the City's MMC Section and the Wildlife Agencies within 21 days post-construction and prior to the release of any grading bonds. This report must include summaries of all previous reports for the site; and maps of the project site and BUOW locations on aerial photos.

**Noise (Interior Noise Analysis)**

Mitigation measure NOI-2 of the 2013 Program EIR mitigation framework would be included herein, as follows:

Prior to the issuance of building permits, site specific interior noise analyses demonstrating compliance with the interior noise compatibility standards of the City's General Plan and other applicable regulations shall be prepared for noise sensitive land uses located in areas where the exterior noise levels exceed the noise compatibility standards of the City's General Plan. Noise control measures, including but not limited to increasing roof, wall, window, and door sound attenuation ratings, placing HVAC in noise reducing enclosures, or designing buildings so that no windows face freeways or major roadways may be used to achieve the noise compatibility standards.

**Transportation/Circulation (Level of Service)**

Mitigation measures TRF-2 through TRF-6 of the 2019 PA-61 addendum have been implemented and mitigation measure TRF-7 would be satisfied with implementation of mitigation measure TRF-1. To mitigate the project's direct and cumulative impacts at Caliente Ave/SR-905 westbound on-ramp (Impacts TRF-1, TRF-4, and TRF-8) associated with the 2019 PA-61 project, revised mitigation measure TRF-1 discussed herein would be implemented, as follows:

- TRF-1** Prior to issuance of the first building permit, Owner/Permittee shall widen and restripe the southbound approach of the intersection of SR-905 westbound on-ramp/Caliente Avenue to include a separate right turn lane satisfactory to the City Engineer and Caltrans. This improvement shall be complete and operational prior to first occupancy.

**Transportation/Circulation (Vehicle Miles Traveled)**

The project shall implement the following VMT Reduction Measures which would achieve 5.5 reduction points required by the Mobility Choices Ordinance. Implementation of these measures would minimize VMT impacts to the extent feasible.

1. Install pedestrian resting area/recreation node on-site, adjacent to public pedestrian walkway. An area of 250 square feet will be designated near the intersection of Otay Mesa Road and Calle Albatross (2.5 points for 250 square feet of resting area).
2. Provide one on-site bicycle repair station (1.5 points).
3. Provide six short-term bicycle parking spaces that are available to the public, and at least 10 percent bicycle parking beyond minimum requirements (1.5 points).

Passed by the Council of The City of San Diego on NOV 15 2022, by the following vote:

Councilmembers	Yeas	Nays	Not Present	Recused
Joe LaCava	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Jennifer Campbell	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Stephen Whitburn	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Monica Montgomery Steppe	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Marni von Wilpert	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Chris Cate	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Raul A. Campillo	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Vivian Moreno	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sean Elo-Rivera	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Date of final passage NOV 15 2022.

**(Please note: When a resolution is approved by the Mayor, the date of final passage is the date the approved resolution was returned to the Office of the City Clerk.)**

AUTHENTICATED BY:

TODD GLORIA  
Mayor of The City of San Diego, California.

(Seal)

ELIZABETH S. MALAND  
City Clerk of The City of San Diego, California.

By Connie Patterson, Deputy

Office of the City Clerk, San Diego, California

Resolution Number R- **314469**