

Report from the Consolidated Plan Advisory Board

FY 2013 CDBG Application Scoring Criteria

Presented to PS&NS
October 12, 2011

ConPlan Advisory Board

Name	Representing
Audie de Castro - Chair	Council District 4
Vicki Granowitz – Vice Chair	Council District 3
William Moore	Council District 1
Jennifer Litwak	Council District 2
Vacant	Council District 5
Robert McNamara	Council District 6
Mathew Kostrinsky	Council District 7
Aaron Friberg	Council District 8
Vacant	Mayor's Office

ConPlan Advisory Board

- Provide advice and recommendations on all policy issues relating to the ConPlan
- Recommend processes, policies, and procedures for the fair distribution of CDBG funds to eligible organizations
- Openly and impartially evaluate applications for CDBG funds deemed eligible

FY 2013 CDBG Scoring Criteria

- Board set threshold conditions first
 - Wanted to be informed if application packet required additional staff time to make it complete – “secondary review” condition
- Does Activity/Project meet a ConPlan goal?
- Are CDBG funds appropriate for the project?
- Do applications comply with Council Policy 700-02?
- Does applicant provide evidence of sustainability?

6 Scoring Criteria Categories

1. Relationship to Consolidated Plan Goals – 15 points
2. Project Benefit to Low/Mod Income Residents – 15
3. Project Outcomes and Effectiveness - 20
4. Project Activities and Timeliness – 25
 - Factors for CIP Projects
 - Factors for Direct Services Projects
5. Organizational Capacity/Capability/Track Record - 15
6. Budget Justification and Leverage of Funds - 10



Questions