Office of The City Attorney City of San Diego

MEMORANDUM MS 59

DATE:

September 18, 2014

TO:

Public Safety and Livable Neighborhoods Committee

FROM:

City Attorney

SUBJECT:

City Attorney's Report on Status of Enforcement of Marijuana

Dispensaries

Our report to the Committee is in the form of Questions and Answers that are attached, along with the attachments thereto.

 $\overline{\mathrm{Bv}}$

JAN I GOLD MITH, CITY ATTORNEY

JIG:MSG:jdf Attachments

cc: Honorable Mayor and City Councilmembers

	DISPENSARY	STATUS
1.	Undisclosed Location	Investigation
2.	4417 Ranier Avenue "Living Green Cooperative"	Investigation
3.	841 Turquoise Street, Suite E-1 "Dank on Turquoise"	Investigation
4.	3632-3636 University Ave "Golden Gate"	Investigation
5.	6559 El Cajon Blvd. – "The Bakery"	Investigation
6.	4255 Market Street – "Market Greens"	Investigation.
7.	6957 El Cajon Blvd "Green Vine Collective"	Investigation.
8.	3140 El Cajon Blvd "Green Urban Association"	Investigation.
9.	5544 La Jolla Blvd "Nature's Alternative Care"	Investigation
10.	6575 El Cajon Blvd "MJ Wellness"	Investigation
11.	2056 1st Avenue "Green Cellar"	Civil Complaint filed on 7/30/14 - (City v. GREEN CELLAR, INC., a California corporation; ANTONIO ARTURO JOSEPH FERRER III, an individual; HARBOR WEST PROPERTIES, LLC, a California limited liability company; AMAD ATTISHA, an individual. Case No. 37-2014-00025380-CU-MC-CTL)
12.	4535 30 th Street – "High Grade Collective"	Investigation - Dispensary initially closed but reopened.

13.	2107 3rd Avenue	Civil Complaint filed on 5/22/14 - (City v. THE GREEN BANNER, INC., a California corporation; LANCE PAUL
13.	"Crown Patient Group"	KACHI, an individual; WILLIAM CLIFFORD FERGUSON also known as WILLIAM CLIFFORD PROVANCHA, an individual and Trustee of THE FERGUSON TRUST 10-4-95. Case No. 37-2014-00016627-CU-MC-CTL) Owner settled on 5/27/14. Dispensary closed on 6/1/14 but reopened. Temporary Restraining Order (TRO) granted on 8/28/14 ordering dispensary to cease operating within 24 hours.
14.	936 Garnet Avenue – "Planet Greens"	As a result of litigation with owner, owner filed unlawful detainer action (ULD) against Planet Greens on 4/15/14. ULD in litigation. Investigation ongoing as to dispensary.
15.	3455 Camino del Rio South – "Kindest Meds"	Civil Complaint filed on 6/25/14 - (City v. KINDEST MEDS, INC., a California nonprofit mutual benefit corporation; CHRISTOPHER BOUDREAU, as an individual; LEROY DAN BAIN, as an individual, and as trustee of BAIN FAMILY TRUST dated August 25, 2005; THELMA JEAN HARMON BAIN, as an individual, and as trustee of BAIN FAMILY TRUST dated August 25, 2005. Case No. 37-2014-0020893-CU-MC-CTL)
16.	743 9th Avenue – "Downtown Patients Group, Inc."	Civil Complaint filed on 6/5/14 - (<i>City v. Downtown Patients Group, Inc., a California corporation; Belsen Consultations, Inc., a California corporation; Lorena Figueroa, an individual; E.L.D. Investments, Inc., a Nevada Corp; Eldon Gloor, an individual.</i> Case No. 37-2014-00018091-CU-MC-CTL). Stipulated Permanent Injunction entered with property owner on 6/20/14. Litigating with dispensary operator.
17.	5560 La Jolla Blvd – "Ocean View Organics"	Investigation. As a result of litigation with owner, unlawful detainer action (ULD) filed against dispensary - ULD in litigation.
18.	2603 University Avenue – "Organic Roots Delivery"	Civil Complaint filed on 9/4/14 - (City v. ORGANIC ROOTS DELIVERY, INC.; a California corporation; JANKO GRMUSA, an individual; JOHN NOBEL, an individual and as co-trustee of THE NOBEL FAMILY TRUST created February 18, 1998; MAHIN NOBEL, an individual and as co-trustee of THE NOBEL FAMILY TRUST created February 18, 1998. Case No. 37-2014-000-CU-MC-CTL). As a result of litigation with owner, unlawful detainer action (ULD) filed against dispensary - ULD in litigation.
19.	2015 Garnet Avenue – "Patient Med Aid San Diego"	Investigation. As a result of litigation with owner, unlawful detainer action (ULD) filed against dispensary - ULD in litigation.
20.	4284 Market Street – "United Wellness"	Complaint filed 4/03/14 against property owner. (City v. STONECREST PLAZA, LLC, a Limited Liability Company; SALAM RAZUKI, an individual. Case No. 37-2014-00009664-CU-MC-CTL) 5/9/14 Amended Complaint to add dispensary operator and dispensary. TRO granted on 6/24/14. Operator has appealed Court order – hearing on 7/9/14. As a result of litigation with owner, unlawful detainer action (ULD) filed against dispensary - ULD in litigation.
21.	2621 El Cajon Blvd – "Nature's Solution"	Previous action against property owners for dispensary – they are seeking to set aside judgment. New dispensary opened. Filed new complaint on 7/30/14. (<i>City v. NATURE'S SOLUTION, INC., a California corporation; MALCOLM FAMILY PROPERTIES, LLC; a limited liability company; DARLENE RAE MALCOLM, an individual; LINDA MALCOLM, an individual;</i> Case No. 37-2014-00025384-CU-MC-CTL) TRO granted on 8/27/14 ordering operator to cease operating within 24 hours.

22.	1150 Garnet Avenue – "So Cal Holistic Health"	As a result of litigation with owner, unlawful detainer action (ULD) filed against dispensary - ULD in litigation. Investigating new dispensary.
	Dispensaries Close	d Due to Court Action But Cases Actively Being Litigated
23.	1033 6 th Avenue – The Tree Club	Civil Complaint filed on 6/25/14 - (City v. The Tree Club Cooperative, Inc., a California Corp; JONAH McClanahan, an individual; John C. Ramistella, an individual; JL 6th Avenue Property, LLC, a California limited liability company; Lawrence E. Geraci, also known as Larry Geraci, an individual; Jeffrey Kacha, an individual. Case No. 37-2014-00020897-CU-MC-CTL). Settled with dispensary operator on 8/27/14.
24.	1150 Garnet Avenue "San Diego Organic Wellness"	CEU in litigation with property owner since 2011 regarding previous case filed against owner regarding former dispensary at property which is now closed. (Case No. 37-2011-00102929-CU-MC-CTL) Trial set for 1/30/15.
25.	2603 University Avenue – "Golden West Collective"	CEU in litigation with property owner since 2011 regarding previous case filed against owner regarding former dispensary at property. (Case No. 37-2011-00103254-CU-MC-CTL) Civil Court Trial set for 1/30/15.
26.	936 Garnet Avenue "Green Earth Management"	CEU in litigation with property owner since 2011 regarding previous case filed against owner and former dispensary at property (Case No. 37-2011-00099050-CU-MC-CTL). Motion for Summary Judgment (MSJ) granted in City's favor on 6/20/14. Hearing on civil penalties portion of MSJ on 8/29/14 – owner ordered to pay \$120,000; final injunction judgment entered.
27.	1251 Rosecrans Street "Rosecrans Wellness Center"	Civil Complaint filed on 7/1/14 - (City v. KARISA RAE KARLOVICH, an individual and Trustee of the KARLOVICH REVOCABLE DECLARATION OF TRUST DATED JULY 20, 2007; ROSECRANS WELLNESS CENTER, a California corporation; LUCKY PHOUNSY, an individual; Case No. 37-2014-00021906-CU-MC-CTL) TRO granted on 9/2/14 ordering operator to cease operating within 24 hours. Stipulated Permanent Injunction with property owner entered on 9/5/14. Litigating with operator.
28.	2425 Camino del Rio South #130 "Presidential Greens"	Civil Complaint filed on 7/9/14 - (City v. PRESIDENTIAL COLLECTIVE, a California corp; THOMAS NAEMI, an individual; MISSION VALLEY CORNERSTONE PROPERTY, LLC, a California Limited Liability Company; CHERYL HANLEY, an individual; CHRISTEN HANLEY, an individual. Case No. 37-2014-00022681 -CU-MC-CTL)
29.	325 W. Washington Street, Suite 5 "Mission Hills Greenery"	Civil complaint filed on 6/6/14 - (<i>City v. KRISTIE LYNN EDWARDS</i> ; Case No. 37-2014-00018278-CU-MC-CTL).

30.	3812 Ray Street, Suite B – "A Greener Alternative Association, Inc."	Civil Complaint filed on 5/20/14. (City v. A GREENER ALTERNATIVE ASSOCIATION, INC., a California corporation; SEAN MICHAEL KAJJY; an individual; NORMAN GENE TROYAN, an individual and Trustee under Declaration of Trusts A and B dated April 28, 1976) Case No. 37-2014-00016127-CU-MC-CTL) Stipulated Permanent Injunction with property owner entered on 7/16/14. Litigating with operator.
31.	3045 Rosecrans Street, #207 – "Green Wellness Association, Inc."	Civil Complaint filed on 3/06/14. (City v. GREEN WELLNESS ASSOCIATION, INC., a California corporation; SON DINH, an individual; JOHNI. NOBEL, as an individual and Co-Trustee of the Nobel Family Trust; MAHIN NOBEL, as an individual and Co-Trustee of the Nobel Family Trust; PARVIZ HAKAKHA, an individual; JUDITH HAKAKHA, an individual; Case No. 37-2014-00005601-CU-MC-CTL) TRO granted on 3/25/14. Settled with dispensary operator but not property owner.
32.	3045 Rosecrans Street, #214 – "Greenworks"	Civil Complaint filed on 3/06/14. (City v. S.C.C.G., INC., a California corporation; STEVE E. MORA, an individual; JOHNI. NOBEL, as an individual and Co-Trustee of the Nobel Family Trust; MAHIN NOBEL, as an individual and Co-Trustee of the Nobel Family Trust; PARVIZ HAKAKHA, an individual; JUDITH HAKAKHA, an individual; Case No. 37-2014-00005582-CU-MC-CTL) TRO granted on 3/19/14. Settled with or defaulted dispensary operator – litigating with property owner.
33.	3045 Rosecrans Street #208 – "Fresh Alternative, Inc."	Complaint filed on 3/6/14. (City v. FRESH ALTERNATIVE CONSULTING, INC., a California corporation; CRAIG KNIGHT, an individual; JOHNI. NOBEL, as an individual and Co-Trustee of the Nobel Family Trust; MAHIN NOBEL, as an individual and Co-Trustee of the Nobel Family Trust; PARVIZ HAKAKHA, an individual; JUDITH HAKAKHA, an individual; Case no. 37-2014-00005595-CU-MC-CTL) TRO granted on 3/25/14. Settled with or defaulted dispensary operator – litigating with property owner.
34.	3045 Rosecrans Street #310 – "SB Health, Inc."	Complaint filed on 3/6/14. (City v. SB HEALTH, INC., a California Mutual Benefit corporation; BRIAN SCOTT ROTHENBERG, an individual; STEPHANIE THERESA MARQUIS, an individual; JOHN I. NOBEL, as an individual and Co-Trustee of the Nobel Family Trust; MAHIN NOBEL, as an individual and Co-Trustee of the Nobel Family Trust; PARVIZ HAKAKHA, an individual; JUDITH HAKAKHA, an individual; Case No. 37-2014-00005597-CU-MC-CTL) TRO granted on 3/25/14. Settled with or defaulted dispensary operators — litigating with property owner.
35.	4688 Cass Street Dispensary – "PB 45 Cap, Inc."	Civil Complaint filed on 3/27/14. (<i>City v. PB 45 CAP, INC., a California nonprofit mutual benefit corporation; SHANE CORY TIMMS, as an individual, as owner of PB 45 Cap, Inc., as president of PB 45 CAP, INC., and as incorporator of PB 45 Cap, Inc.; NOBEL FAMILY TRUST DATED FEBRUARY 18, 1998, a trust; JOHN I. NOBEL, as an individual, and as trustee of Nobel Family Trust Dated February 18, 1998; MAHIN NOBEL, as an individual, and as trustee of Nobel Family Trust Dated February 18, 1998; Case No. 37-2014-00008699-CU-MC-CTL) TRO granted against Property Owner, Dispensary and Operator on 6/5/14. As a result of litigation with owner, unlawful detainer action (ULD) filed against dispensary - ULD in litigation. Settlement with PB 45 Cap & Timms only on 7/18/14. Preliminary Injunction obtained on 7/18/14 – litigating with property owner.</i>

36.	2110 Hancock Street (two dispensaries) "Green Nectar Coop Delivery" – Suite 202;	Civil Complaint filed on 7/7/14 - (<i>City v. RM-USE, LLC, a California Limited Liability Company; RONALD LEE REYNOLDS, also known as RON REYNOLDS, an individual; CHARLES A. MILLER, an individual; GREEN NECTAR EJM COOPERATIVE, INC., a California corporation dba EJ MARKETING dba GREEN NECTAR CO-OP DELIVERY SERVICE; EBON JOHNSON, an individual.</i> Case No. 37-2014-00022324 -CU-MC-CTL). TRO granted on 8/4/14 ordering dispensary to cease operating within 24 hours. Settled with dispensary operator 8/27/14. Stipulated Permanent Injunction with property owner entered on 9/5/14.
37.	2110 Hancock Street (two dispensaries) "Skyline Holistics" – Suite 300	Civil Complaint filed on 7/7/14 - (<i>City v. RM-USE, LLC, a California Limited Liability Company; RONALD LEE REYNOLDS, also known as RON REYNOLDS, an individual; CHARLES A. MILLER, an individual; GREEN NECTAR EJM COOPERATIVE, INC., a California corporation dba EJ MARKETING dba GREEN NECTAR CO-OP DELIVERY SERVICE; EBON JOHNSON, an individual.</i> Case No. 37-2014-00022324 -CU-MC-CTL) TRO granted on 8/4/14 ordering dispensary to cease operating within 24 hours. Stipulated Permanent Injunction with property owner entered on 9/5/14.
38.	6780 Miramar Road, #203 "Miramar Holistic Center"	Civil Complaint filed on 7/10/14 - (City v. BROADWAY HOLISTIC CENTER, a California Corporation; Jery Mikhail Loussia, an individual; Miracrest Plaza Associates, a California Limited Liability Partnership; Patrick Bonaguidi, an individual; Case No. 37-2014-0022733-CU-MC-CTL) . Stipulated Permanent Injunction with property owner entered on 8/13/14.
39.	3677 University Avenue – "Natural Roots, Inc./5 Star Meds"	Civil Complaint filed on 5/27/14 - (City v. NATURAL ROOTS, INC., a California corporation; DAVID LEE WILSON; an individual; Case No. 37-2014-00016874-CU-MC-CTL). 8/5/14 Amended Complaint adding DOES 1-3, Luis Lopez-Yanez, Roberto Sanchez and Markus Haynes.
40.	2621 El Cajon Blvd – "Central Wellness"	Complaint filed 10/10/13 (City v. MALCOLM FAMILY PROPERTIES, LLC; a limited liability company; DARLENE RAE MALCOLM, an individual; LINDA MALCOLM, an individual; QUINTINN P. HOLI, an individual; THAOLAM HOANG, an individual, Case No. 37-2013-00068658-CU-MC-CTL) Dispensary closed due to TRO filed- Default judgment entered against owners and operator on 12/13/13 - \$77,500 judgment against owner; \$62,500 judgment against operator; Hearing to set Aside Default scheduled 10/10/14.

What is the City Attorney's position on shuttering illegal marijuana dispensaries?

The City Attorney has not personally stated his position. But, he has repeatedly addressed this issue on a professional level.

Under City Charter section 40, it is "the City Attorney's duty...to prosecute for all offenses against the ordinances of the City...." The City Attorney, however, does not set priorities in enforcement of zoning ordinances.

Since nearly all our zoning enforcement cases are referred to our office by Code Enforcement Division ("CED") [formerly Neighborhood Code Compliance], a unit under the Mayor's office, the Mayor has the unilateral authority to determine whether shuttering marijuana dispensaries is a priority.

Has your office been successful in shuttering illegal marijuana dispensaries in San Diego?

Yes. When our office has been asked to enforce the laws, we have done so. Attached is a list of 163 orders shuttering dispensaries which were the result of cases we filed. Our lawyers have achieved a nearly 100% success rate in cases referred to us for prosecution. The strategy we use was developed in 2011 and has since been replicated by other cities in California.

What has been your strategy and how has it been implemented?

We file civil lawsuits against property owners and dispensary operators for violation of the City zoning laws, which do not allow for marijuana dispensaries. After we obtain sufficient evidence, we petition the court for an order to shutter the dispensary, advocate our position at a due process hearing and obtain the order in nearly all cases. We use law enforcement to enforce the order. If the order is later violated, we file civil or criminal contempt actions.

When we first began with this strategy in 2011, we faced a team of dispensary lawyers who argued that it was illegal for the City to prohibit dispensaries by failing to allow them under zoning laws. Our office filed a group of twelve test cases and were successful in all of them. Following those test cases, we continued to successfully prosecute nearly all cases sent to us by CED.

The evidence we need to pursue a case is the identity of the owners and operators and evidence that the establishment is an on-going marijuana dispensary. The evidence needs to be in sufficient form to be admissible in court. Often, dispensaries will attempt to disguise, hide and engage in other types of gamesmanship. That may delay us a bit, but won't change the final outcome.

Our office will always consider alternative strategies for particular cases. For example, we recently obtained a permanent injunction against a dispensary in Pacific Beach and the court ordered the owners to pay the City over \$120,000 in civil penalties and costs.

Can't police just enter the illegal dispensaries and shut them down?

In some situations they can and have. For example, that is what occurred on July 28, 2014, on Cass Street in Pacific Beach. In that situation, police were assisting our office in enforcing a court order to shutter the dispensary.

However, we are enforcing zoning laws, not federal or state drug laws such as felony meth possession or sale. Drug cases typically involve the Drug Enforcement Agency and law enforcement and they can involve raids. Prosecution of federal drug laws involve the U.S. Attorney and state felony drug laws involve the District Attorney.

Generally, the City is not empowered to summarily raid and shut down use of a building on the basis of an alleged zoning violation without due process (i.e., a court or administrative hearing.) There are good reasons for that. There are limited exceptions that might apply to individual cases. We will use them when they occur.

We can enforce the law without taking shortcuts that would expose the City to federal civil rights lawsuits and million dollar verdicts. We just need the consistency of the Mayor and City Council, the resources and patience to ensure due process is followed.

What has been the biggest impediment to enforcement?

Inconsistency.

Federal enforcement of drug laws has been erratic. At one point, the U.S. Attorney's office began enforcing federal laws banning marijuana and worked closely with our office in shuttering dispensaries. Then, they were instructed to hold back. If you are not going to enforce federal laws, repeal them and allow the states/localities to deal with the issue. But, to have laws on the books that make marijuana possession illegal and not enforce those laws is the worst situation because the states and localities are unable to adopt solutions that are inconsistent with federal laws which, by the way, the federal government is not enforcing right now but might in the future.

On the local level, we have also experienced inconsistencies for a variety of reasons. Before August 2011, enforcement was minimal as the City Council was trying to work out a dispensary ordinance. The number of dispensaries grew. After the ordinance was rescinded, we were asked by then Mayor Sanders and Chief Lansdowne to "go shut them down", which our lawyers did. Our lawyers, in conjunction with the U.S. Attorney, shut down nearly all active dispensaries by late 2012. After Bob Filner became Mayor in December 2012, however, he instructed CED to stop sending cases to our office. Again, the number of dispensaries grew. Now, we're back to "go shut them down" mode. But, we had fallen behind due to the nearly year-long lax enforcement and have to catch up.

Since Mayor Filner's resignation, our lawyers began receiving new cases from CED and we are trying to, again, get control. Our lawyers continue to enjoy great success in the courtroom, but we are making up for a year and these cases take time given due process and

court calendars, as well as the typical avoidance gamesmanship played by the dispensaries. In addition, this time we do not have assistance from the U.S. Attorney.

We currently have 40 cases pending of which 17 have already resulted in shuttered illegal dispensaries (a list of Active Dispensary Cases is attached). When we receive more cases, we will file more and obtain more court orders shuttering illegal dispensaries.

We will do whatever the Mayor and City Council want. Enforcement of zoning laws, however, is not something that is easy to turn on and off. Consistency is very important.

What suggestions do you have to improve enforcement?

Going forward, in addition to consistency, if the Mayor and City Council want to be more aggressive in getting quicker results, the best way to do so in our opinion is to increase the Code Enforcement Division's resources. CED, which operates under the Mayor's administration, investigates cases and determines whether to send them to us for enforcement. CED works up the evidence we use to show that the storefront is a dispensary, often having to deal with disguised uses. CED opens investigations based upon complaints and has limited staff.

If a more aggressive approach is desired, the City could empanel a task force composed of the San Diego Police Department, CED, the City Attorney's Office, and drug enforcement agencies.

Even absent a strike force, with more resources, CED could be proactive in seeking out possible dispensaries rather than wait for complaints, use undercover investigators and handle more investigations in a timelier manner. In addition, through further investigations, CED might discover other criminal activities that could be reported to police and serve the basis for further action, including raids. CED staff does great work, but the volume of these cases, on top of their other zoning enforcement workload, is challenging and does not allow them to be as pro-active as they could be.

- 1. City v. Habtamu Faries Case #37-2011-00086573-CU-MC-CTL
- 2. *City v. Bakim M. Shah* Case #37-2011-00091841-CU-MC-CTL
- 3. *City v. Bakim M. Shah* Case #37-2011-00091842-CU-MC-CTL
- 4. City v. Steven Howard Greenwald, also known as Steven H. Greenwald Case #37-2011-00098310-CU-MC-CTL
- 5. City v. Kimber Investment, et al. Case #37-2011-00099868-CU-MC-CTL
- 6. City v. Trichomes, et al. (Omni Care Solutions, Medical Miracle Collective, Duane Bernard & Courtney Bernard)
 Case #37-2011-00098172-CU-MC-CTL
- 7. *City v. Trichomes, et al.* (as to Healing Arts Cooperative & Daniel Guerrero) Case #37-2011-00098172-CU-MC-CTL
- 8. City v. Absolute Collective, et al.)
 Case #37-2011-00098168-CU-MC-CTL
- 9. City v. Heffler Holdings, et al Case #37-2011-00098164-CU-MC-CTL
- 10. *City v. Wong Sung Cha, et al.* Case #37-2011-00098166-CU-MC-CTL
- 11. *City v. Michael D. Collins, et al*. Case #37-2011-00098170-CU-MC-CTL
- 12. *City v. Herbameds, et al.* (as to Saieb Abdulmeseh) Case #37-2011-00098163-CU-MC-CTL
- 13. City v. Herbameds, Inc., et al. (as to Herbameds, Inc, Jordan Abeyta & Aquilante) Case #37-2011-00098163-CU-MC-CTL
- 14. City v. Al Shao, et al. (as to Marcella Fodor & Gism Devleopment) Case #37-2011-00099053-CU-MC-CTL
- 15. City v. Al Shao, et al. (as to Al Shao Inc, Adel Yalda, Albert Yalda & Alan Yalda) Case #37-2011-00099053-CU-MC-CTL
- 16. City v. Geraldine Lee Goldman, et al. Case #37-2011-00099059-CU-MC-CTL
- 17. *City v. El Cajon Estates, et al.* Case #37-2011-00099058-CU-MC-CTL

- 18. *City v. El Cajon Estates, et al.* Case #37-2011-00099058-CU-MC-CTL
- 19. *City v. R. Bruce Kleege, et al.* Case #37-2011-00099169-CU-MC-CTL
- 20. *City v. Kakimon, et al.* (ZN 1473) Case #37-2011-00099365-CU-MC-CTL
- 21. *City v. Jerome A. Sanfilippo, et al.* Case #37-2011-00101644-CU-MC-CTL
- 22. *City v. San Diego Medical Collective* (as to Sean St. Peter) Case #37-2011-00099051-CU-MC-CTL
- 23. City v. San Diego Medical Collective (as to SD Medical Collective, Barnette, Chappel & McDonald)
 Case #37-2011-00099051-CU-MC-CTL
- 24. *City v. San Diego Medical Collective* Case #37-2011-00099051-CU-MC-CTL
- 25. *City v. Brandon T. Keith*, et al. Case #37-2011-00099057-CU-MC-CTL
- 26. *City v. Richard Sells, et al.* Case #37-2011-00098171-CU-MC-CTL
- 27. *City v. The Healing Cove Cooperative* Case #37-2011-00100129-CU-MC-CTL
- 28. City v. Tri City Holistic, et al. Case #37-2011-00099052-CU-MC-CTL
- 29. City v. Tri City Holistic, et al. Case #37-2011-00099052-CU-MC-CTL
- 30. *City v. Lawrence J. Bailey, et al*. Case #37-2011-00099054-CU-MC-CTL
- 31. City v. Green Heart Cooperative, et al. Case #37-2011-00099784-CU-MC-CTL
- 32. *City v. Karisa Rae Karlovich*, et al. Case #37-2011-00099779-CU-MC-CTL
- 33. *City v. Botanicure, Inc*, et al. Case #37-2011-00100128-CU-MC-CTL
- 34. *City v. Botanicure, Inc*, et al. as to Cohen Family Case #37-2011-00100128-CU-MC-CTL

- 35. City v. Onofrio Francesco Pecoraro Case #37-2011-00102575-CU-MC-CTL
- 36. *City v. San Diego Discount Caregivers, et al.* (as to Iowa St & Sickels only) Case #37-2011-00099056-CU-MC-CTL
- 37. *City v. Coastal Green Collective, et al.* (as to Coastal Green Collec & Navasca) Case #37-2011-00100130-CU-MC-CTL
- 38. *City v. Coastal Green Collective, et al.* (as to Sun Duk Kim & Yun Chi Kim) Case #37-2011-00100130-CU-MC-CTL
- 39. *City v. Frosty Farms Coop., et al.* Case #37-2011-00100143-CU-MC-CTL
- 40. *City v. Richard J. Wira, et al.* (as to Wira family) Case #37-2011-00099055-CU-MC-CTL
- 41. *City v. Richard J. Wira, et al.* (as to SD Organic Collec & Banki) Case #37-2011-00099055-CU-MC-CTL
- 42. *City v. RM-USE, LLC, et al.* Case #37-2011-00100133-CU-MC-CTL
- 43. *City v. Lacy Lopez, et al.* (as to Lopez, O'Donnell &Coop) Case #37-2011-00100900-CU-MC-CTL
- 44. *City v. Lacy Lopez, et al.* (as to Oliveris) Case #37-2011-00100900-CU-MC-CTL
- 45. *City v. San Diego Sincere, et al.* Case #37-2011-00100393-CU-MC-CTL
- 46. *City v. Nancy's Naturals, et al.* Case #37-2011-00100869-CU-MC-CTL
- 47. *City v. The Kind Wellness Cooperative, et al.* Case #37-2011-00100132-CU-MC-CTL
- 48. *City v Organic Medical Collective, et al.* Case #37-2011-00100867-CU-MC-CTL
- 49. *City v. Joint Healing Inc., et al.* Case #37-2011-00100418-CU-MC-CTL
- 50. City v. B.C. Health Cooperative, et al. Case #37-2011-00100208-CU-MC-CTL
- 51. *City v. Medicinal Solutions Patient,* et al. Case #37-2011-00100387-CU-MC-CTL

- 52. City v. EC Medical Center, et al. Case #37-2011-00100507-CU-MC-CTL
- 53. *City v. Platinum Alternative Medical Cooperative*, et al. Case #37-2011-00100385-CU-MC-CTL
- 54. City v. Platinum Alternative Medical Cooperative, et al. Case #37-2011-00100385-CU-MC-CTL
- 55. *City v. B.C. Health Cooperative*, et al. (BC Hekath, Ho, Phan) Case #37-2011-00100208-CU-MC-CTL
- 56. *City v. Antoine A. Georges*, et al. Case #37-2011-00099363-CU-MC-CTL
- 57. *City v. Socal Healing Cooperative*, et al. Case #37-2011-00101906-CU-MC-CTL
- 58. *City v. Platinum Alternative Medical*, et al. (McCrady & Cooperative) Case #37-2011-00101190-CU-MC-CTL
- 59. *City v. Platinum Alternative Medical*, et al. (Robinson) Case #37-2011-00101190-CU-MC-CTL
- 60. City v. Global Syndication Case #37-2012-00090970-CU-MC-CTL
- 61. City v. AGPC, Inc., et al. Case #37-2011-00100392-CU-MC-CTL
- 62. City v. Thirty Health Center, et al. Case #37-2011-00100865-CU-MC-CTL
- 63. City v. Thirty Health Center, et al. Case #37-2011-00100865-CU-MC-CTL
- 64. *City v. San Diego Medical Collective, et al.* Case #37-2011-00099051-CU-MC-CTL
- 65. City v. The Green Spot Pharmacy, et al. Case #37-2011-00101331-CU-MC-CTL
- 66. *City v. The Fire Station Cooperative, et al.* Case #37-2011-00100868-CU-MC-CTL
- 67. *City v. Exeter Fiduciary Services, et al.* Case #37-2011-00101187-CU-MC-CTL
- 68. *City v. Enrique Fonseca, et al.* Case #37-2011-00099783-CU-MC-CTL

- 69. *City v. San Diego Holistic Healing, et al.* Case #37-2011-001012147-CU-MC-CTL
- 70. *City v. Middletown LLC*, et al. Case #37-2012-00091994-CU-MC-CTL
- 71. *City v. Andre Norman Lusti*, et al. Case #37-2011-00099785-CU-MC-CTL
- 72. *City v. Andre Norman Lusti*, et al. 37-2011-00099785-CU-MC-CTL
- 73. *City v. Sunset Coast S.D. Cooperative*, et al. 37-2011-00100386-CU-MC-CTL
- 74. *City v. Werner Dreifuss* 37-2012-00091993-CU-MC-CTL
- 75. *City v. I and I Rootz Collective*, et al. 37-2011-00101907-CU-MC-CTL
- 76. *City v. The Petting Zoo, LLC,* et al. 37-2011-00100870-CU-MC-CTL
- 77. *City v. Niecy, Inc.*, et al. 37-2011-00102574-CU-MC-CTL
- 78. *City v. Southern Lites Collective, Inc.*, et al. 37-2011-00101188-CU-MC-CTL
- 79. *City v. World Wellness Center, et al.* 37-2011-00101189-CU-MC-CTL
- 80. *City v. The Sanchez Family Trust, et al.* 37-2011-00099362-CU-MC-CTL
- 81. *City v. GSC Wellness, Inc., et al.* 37-2011-00100866-CU-MC-CTL
- 82. *City v. Martha L. Vitale, et al.* 37-2011-00099364-CU-MC-CTL

- 83. *City v. Purple Holistic Gardens, et al.* 37-2011-00102613-CU-MC-CTL (corporation)
- 84. *City v. Purple Holistic Gardens, et al.* 37-2011-00102613-CU-MC-CTL (owner)
- 85. *City v. The Petting Zoo, LLC*, et al. 37-2011-00100870-CU-MC-CTL
- 86. *City v. The Peoples Collective, et al.* 37-2011-00101424-CU-MC-CTL
- 87. *City v. The Peoples Collective, et al.* 37-2011-00101424-CU-MC-CTL (as to Marquez)
- 88. *City v. John David Bols, et al.* 37-2011-00099781-CU-MC-CTL
- 89. *City v. I and I Rootz Collective*, et al. (as to I Rootz) 37-2011-00101907-CU-MC-CTL
- 90. *City v. Kimoanh Thi Nguyen, et al.* 37-2011-00099782-CU-MC-CTL (as to Nguyen)
- 91. *City v. Bankim M. Shah, et al.* 37-2011-00098167-CU-MC-CTL (Shahs)
- 92. *City v. George T. Strong-Roberts, et al.* 37-2011-00100206-CU-MC-CTL (Roberts)
- 93. *City v. A Higher Level Care Coop, et al.* 37-2011-00100127-CU-MC-CTL
- 94. *City v. Niecy, Inc., et al.* 37-2011-00102574-CU-MC-CTL (as to Osuna)
- 95. *City v. Ocean Beach Wellness Center Coop, et al.* 37-2011-0098165-CU-MC-CTL (Panos)
- 96. *City v. Michael D. Collins, et al.* 37-2011-00098170-CU-MC-CTL

- 97. *City v. Newport Joint Venture Coop, et al*. 37-2011-00100506-CU-MC-CTL (owners)
- 98. *City v. Newport Joint Venture Coop, et al.* 37-2011-00100506-CU-MC-CTL (coop)
- 99. *City v. Sports Arena Farmacy, et al*. 37-2011-00102146-CU-MC-CTL (Smith only)
- 100. *City v. Constitutional Wellness Center, et al.* 37-2012-00091213-CU-MC-CTL (Nelson only)
- 101. *City v. Constitutional Wellness Center, et al.* 37-2012-00091213-CU-MC-CTL (Awads only)
- 102. *City v. Green Bloom Collective, et al.* 37-2012-00092101-CU-MC-CTL (Marmol only)
- 103. *City v. GJ San Diego, Inc, et al.* 37-2011-00100391-CU-MC-CTL (Convoy Holdings only)
- 104. City v. Green Bloom Collective, et al.37-2012-00092101-CU-MC-CTL (Green Bloom & West only)
- 105. *City v. San Diego Herbal Alternative, Inc, et al.* 37-2012-00092960-CU-MC-CTL (SD Herbal Alt & Schmachtenberger only)
- 106. *City v. Gourmet Green Room, LLC, et al.* 37-2012-00090843-CU-MC-CTL (La Jolla Business Center only)
- 107. *City v. Organic Growth Patients, et al*. 37-2011-00102571-CU-MC-CTL
- 108. *City v. Green Dove Cooperative, et al*. 37-2012-00092961-CU-MC-CTL
- 109. *City v. Brutus Collective, et al.* 37-2011-00099360-CU-MC-CTL

- 110. *City v. Waterfall Center, et al.* 37-2011-00099361-CU-MC-CTL
- 111. *City v. Balboa Park Medical Center, et al.* 37-2011-00100943-CU-MC-CTL
- 112. *City v. Rosecrans Herbal Care Inc., et al.* 37-2012-00094243-CU-MC-CTL
- 113. *City v. Enrique Fonseca, et al.* 37-2011-00099783-CU-MC-CTL
- 114. *City v. Next Generation Delivery, Inc., et al.* 37-2012-00095717-CU-MC-CTL
- 115. *City v. GSC Wellness, Inc., et al.* 37-2011-00100866-CU-MC-CTL
- 116. *City v. Geraldine Lee Goldman, et al*. 37-2011-00099059-CU-MC-CTL
- 117. *City v. LDG Midway Plaza, et al*. 37-2012-00100143-CU-MC-CTL
- 118. *City v. Simply Green Caregivers, et al*. 37-2011-00099780-CU-MC-CTL
- 119. *City v. John I. Nobel, et al.* 37-2011-00099050-CU-MC-CTL
- 120. *City v. Bankim M. Shah, et al.* 37-2011-00098167-CU-MC-CTL
- 121. *City v. George T. Strong-Roberts, et al.* 37-2011-00100206-CU-MC-CTL (Green & Gold/Enriquez only)
- 122. *City v. Bankim M. Shah, et al.* 37-2011-00098167-CU-MC-CTL (Oasis Herbal & Golyan only)
- 123. *City v. Medicinal Solutions Patient,* et al. 37-2011-00100387-CU-MC-CTL

- 124. *City v. One on One Patients Assn.*, et al. 37-2012-00098875-CU-MC-CTL
- 125. *City v. Balboa Park Medical Center, et al.* 37-2011-00100943-CU-MC-CTL
- 126. *City v. Waterfall Center, et al.* 37-2011-00099361-CU-MC-CTL
- 127. *City v. San Diego Discount Caregivers, et al.* (as to SDDCC & Caspino only) 37-2011-00099056-CU-MC-CTL
- 128. *City v. Socal Healing Cooperative*, et al. 37-2011-00101906-CU-MC-CTL
- 129. *City v. The Holistic Café, Inc. et al.* 37-2012-00087648-CU-MC-CTL
- 130. *City v. Building Repair, et al.* 37-2011-00102572-CU-MC-CTL
- 131. *City v. Next Generation Delivery, et al.* 37-2012-00095717-CU-MC-CTL
- 132. *City v. Brutus Collective, et al.* 37-2011-00099360-CU-MC-CTL (Brutus & Spaulding)
- 133. *City v. Rosecrans Herbal Care, et al* 37-2012-00094243-CU-MC-CTL
- 134. *City v. Martha L. Vitale, et al.* 37-2011-00099364-CU-MC-CTL (Melendez)
- 135. *City v. San Diego Organic Wellness, et al.* 37-2011-00102929-CU-MC-CTL (Melendez)
- 136. *City v. Golden West Collective, et al.* 37-2011-00103254-CU-MC-CTL (Melendez)
- 137. *City v. John David Bols, et al.* 37-2011-00099781-CU-MC-CTL

- 138. *City v. John David Bols, et al.*) 37-2011-00100484-CU-MC-CTL
- 139. *City v. Medicated*, et al. 37-2013-00072514-CU-MC-CTL
- 140. *City v. Mari-Medic Farmacy*, et al 37-2013-00072509-CU-MC-CTL
- 141. *City v. Hermelinda Gonzalez*, et al. 37-2013-00070020-CU-MC-CTL
- 142. *City v. PB Collective*, et al. 37-2014-00002117-CU-MC-CTL
- 143. *City v. PB Collective*, et al. 37-2014-0002117-CU-MC-CTL
- 144. *City v. Fresh Alternative Consulting,* et al. 37-2014-00005595-CU-MC-CTL
- 145. *City v. SB Health, Inc,* et al. 37-2014-00005597-CU-MC-CTL
- 146. *City v. The Green Banner, Inc.*, et al. 37-2014-00016627-CU-MC-CTL
- 147. *City v. M E Meds*, et al. (Stalnacker) 37-2014-00016254-CU-MC-CTL
- 148. *City v. Downtown Patients Group, Inc.*, et al. (Gloor & ELD) 37-2014-00018091-CU-MC-CTL
- 149. *City v. The Green Banner, Inc.*, et al. 37-2014-00016627-CU-MC-CTL (Troyan)
- 150. *City v. Jeffrey G. Flowers* 37-2014-00020570-CU-MC-CTL

- 151. *City v. Meds*, et al. (Young Chung) 37-2014-00016254-CU-MC-CTL
- 152. *City v. Gregg D. Sullivan*, et al. (Sullivan) 37-2014-00015873-CU-MC-CTL
- 153. *City v. Gregg D. Sullivan*, et al. (Mitchell) 37-2014-00015873-CU-MC-CTL
- 154. *City v. Dennis Stathoulis*, et al. 37-2014-00015839-CU-MC-CTL (Kozel)
- 155. *City v. PB 45 Cap*, et al. 37-2014-0008699-CU-MC-CTL (PB45Cap)
- 156. *City v. Socal Med Aid*, et al. 37-2014-00018603-CU-MC-CTL (Siavash & Ghamaty)
- 157. *City v. ME Meds*, et al. 37-2014-00016254-CU-MC-CTL (White)
- 158. *City v. Broadway Holistic Center*, et al. 37-2014-00022733-CU-MC-CTL (Miracrest&Bonaguidi)
- 159. *City v. Socal Meds*, et al. 37-2014-00018603-CU-MC-CTL (Otomo & Vikhong)
- 160. *City v. The Tree Club*, et al. 37-2014-00020897-CU-MC-CTL (TreeClub & McClanahan)
- 161. *City v. RM-USE*, et al. 37-2014-00022324-CU-MC-CTL (GreenNectar&Johnson)
- 162. *City v. RM-USE*, et al. 37-2014-00022324-CU-MC-CTL (Reynold)
- 163. *City v. Karisa Karlovich*, et al. 37-2014-00021906-CU-MC-CTL (Karlovich)