

001589

Letters in
Support

**INDEPENDENT TASK FORCE
FOR THE UPTOWN INTERIM HEIGHT ORDINANCE**

Memorandum

To: City of San Diego Planning Commission

From: Barry E. Hager, Chairman of the Independent Task Force

Memo Date: March 27, 2008

Project Name: AMENDMENT TO THE MID-CITY COMMUNITIES PLANNED
DISTRICT ORDINANCE FOR AN INTERIM HEIGHT LIMITATION IN
THE UPTOWN COMMUNITY

Hearing Date: April 3, 2008

Please accept the following comments on behalf of the Independent Task Force, in addition to the comments set forth in my memorandum dated February 22, 2008, regarding the proposed Uptown Interim Height Ordinance (IHO).

Introduction

At the first hearing before this commission on March 6, 2008, Chairman Barry Schultz posed a number of questions to the City Planning & Community Investment Department (CPCI) and continued this item until April 3, 2008. Since that time, the IHO was considered by the Committee on Land Use and Housing of the San Diego City Council on March 12, 2008. At that time Councilmember Toni Atkins brought a motion, which was unanimously approved, to move the IHO to the full City Council with a recommendation that it be adopted. The motion included the following points: (1) that Upas Street should be the boundary between the firm height limit and the discretionary area (the firm height limit would be north of the centerline of Upas Street, and the discretionary limit south of the centerline of Upas Street) and (2) that the limit be expressed in feet, not stories, and (3) any exceptions be limited to mechanicals such as elevator overrides and stairwells, and sustainability features.

While CPIC will be submitting a detailed report in response to the questions posed by Mr. Schultz, the task force wishes to present the following additional comments to some of the issues raised at the first Planning Commission hearing and to address the issue of limited exceptions.

Additional Comments

Some developers and architects have objected that the 50 and 65 foot limits are "arbitrary" in terms of number of feet. However, it should be noted that the areas adjacent to the proposed

Conclusions

We urge the Planning Commission to recommend passage of the IHO with the recommendations proposed above.

Sincerely



Barry E. Hager
Chairman, Independent Task Force

Cc: William Anderson, Deputy Chief Operating Officer, CPCI

001593

**INDEPENDENT TASK FORCE
FOR THE UPTOWN INTERIM HEIGHT ORDINANCE**

Memorandum

To: Committee on Land Use and Housing of the San Diego City Council

From: Barry E. Hager, Chairman of the Independent Task Force

Memo Date: March 10, 2008

**Project Name: AMENDMENT TO THE MID-CITY COMMUNITIES PLANNED
DISTRICT ORDINANCE FOR AN INTERIM HEIGHT LIMITATION IN
THE UPTOWN COMMUNITY**

Hearing Date: March 12, 2008 (Item-4)

This Independent Task Force is an *ad hoc* committee formed in 2006 to promote the proposed Interim Height Ordinance for Uptown (IHO). Please accept the following comments on behalf of the Independent Task Force.

Background

The current Uptown Community Plan was adopted in 1988 and the related zoning ordinance a year later. The zoning contains provisions for building heights up to 150 and 200 feet tall along portions of Washington Street, University Avenue and 4th, 5th and 6th Avenue.

Much has changed in the 20 years since the current community plan and zoning were adopted. There has been a growing awareness of the historical nature of Uptown's neighborhoods and commercial districts, and a shared community desire to protect the historical nature of the area and assure that any new development projects compliment and blend with the existing community character. In recent years numerous projects have come forward for taller buildings, which many residents and business owners in the community feel overwhelm the character and scale of the existing community. There has also been an alarming trend toward projects involving luxury condominiums with as few as one unit per floor, pushing projects taller than anticipated while not providing affordable housing.

There is little disagreement that an update is needed to the Uptown community plan and attendant zoning so the entire community can re-evaluate the guidelines for future development in Uptown. However the plan update will be a multi-year process. The proposed IHO would temporarily limit height on new projects in specified areas of Uptown until our community plan and related zoning are updated so that new projects will not conflict with the contemplated community plan update.

Since that time, the Hillcrest Town Council voted to recommend that the firm height limit be extended south to the centerline of Upas Street, which is the boundary between Bankers Hill and Hillcrest. The Independent Task Force now concurs with the Hillcrest Town Council and recommends that the firm height limit be extended south to the centerline of **Upas Street**. On March 4, 2008, Uptown Planners voted to recommend that the firm height limit extend to Upas Street.

We also request that Items 1 and 2 above be incorporated into the IHO. We are also concerned that the approval process has taken much longer than the community desires.

Response to Objections Raised by the Development Industry

Some developers and architects have objected that the 50 and 65 foot limits are "arbitrary" in terms of number of feet. However, it should be noted that the areas adjacent to the proposed limit in Mission Hills are mostly zoned for 40 or 50 feet in height, and the areas adjacent to the proposed limit in the other neighborhoods are mostly zoned for 50 or 60 feet in height. Thus, the proposed limits are consistent with the allowable heights in adjacent areas, with an extra margin to allow for slightly taller buildings in the Hillcrest and Bankers Hill area. Rather than being arbitrary, the proposed height limits will promote *consistency* with adjoining blocks in these neighborhoods.

The task force is also aware that some developers and architects have proposed exceptions to the height limits for architectural appurtenances, mechanic equipment and "rooftop amenities." No such exceptions are present in the existing height limits in the areas proposed for this ordinance or in the adjoining areas, and none should be included in the IHO. Allowing for these types of exceptions would simply result in taller buildings, frustrating the intention of this interim ordinance.

At least one architect has proposed that the limit should be expressed in number of stories (e.g. five or six stories) rather than height. However, since the intent of this ordinance is to alleviate the impact of height on community character, it is the overall height that should be regulated, *not the number of stories*. Also, there is no precedent for a limitation on number of stories in Uptown. Again, the height limits in all of the areas proposed for this ordinance and in the adjoining areas are expressed in number of feet, not stories. (If the limit were expressed in number of stories, this would certainly promote buildings with very tall ceilings.) This ordinance is not the place to experiment with limitations on the number of stories.

Some developers and architects have objected that the proposed height limits will result in "boxy" buildings of uniform height. However, the Uptown neighborhoods are replete with historic buildings of one-three stories, that form the community character we wish to preserve. Additionally, if the City enforces existing regulations for set-backs, step-backs, yard space and other requirements, new buildings will not be "boxy."

////

////

Attachment

WHEREAS, the Uptown Community Plan was adopted on February 2, 1988 to provide land use policy guidance for the Uptown Community; and

WHEREAS, the Mid-City Communities Planned District Ordinance was adopted on January 21, 1986, and subsequently amended on May 30, 1989, to provide development regulations to implement the Uptown Community Plan; and

WHEREAS, multiple-story buildings have recently been constructed and are proposed in the Uptown Community which are significantly higher than previously - constructed buildings; and

WHEREAS, the Mayor's Office will commence an update of the Uptown Community Plan and the Mid-City Communities Planned District in 2008 to address land use policies, transportation and land use connections, and regulations including urban design objectives; and

WHEREAS, long-term design of the Uptown Community will benefit from a design review process of new structures to determine their compatibility with the existing community character during the update of the Uptown Community Plan and the Mid-City Communities Planned District to ensure they do not adversely affect the urban design objectives of the community; and

WHEREAS, there is a recognition of the role that the residential density that is in the adopted Uptown Community Plan, as provided at the mid-range assumptions set forth in Appendix J thereof, plays in meeting the City's housing goals; and

WHEREAS, there is a general agreement that structures less than 50 to 65 feet in height in Areas 'A' and 'B,' respectively, as shown on Figure 1512.02, as depicted on Map C-928, are more likely to be compatible in bulk and scale with existing development than structures that exceed such height;

**INDEPENDENT TASK FORCE
FOR THE UPTOWN INTERIM HEIGHT ORDINANCE**

Memorandum

To: City of San Diego Planning Commission

From: Barry E. Hager, Chairman of the Independent Task Force

Memo Date: February 22, 2008

Project Name: AMENDMENT TO THE MID-CITY COMMUNITIES PLANNED
DISTRICT ORDINANCE FOR AN INTERIM HEIGHT LIMITATION IN
THE UPTOWN COMMUNITY

Hearing Date: March 6, 2008

This Independent Task Force is an *ad hoc* committee formed in 2006 to promote the proposed Interim Height Ordinance for Uptown (IHO). Please accept the following comments on behalf of the Independent Task Force.

Background

The current Uptown Community Plan was adopted in 1988 and the related zoning ordinance a year later. The zoning contains provisions for building heights up to 150 and 200 feet tall along portions of Washington Street, University Avenue and 4th, 5th and 6th Avenue.

Much has changed in the 20 years since the current community plan and zoning were adopted. There has been a growing awareness of the historical nature of Uptown's neighborhoods and commercial districts, and a shared community desire to protect the historical nature of the area and assure that any new development projects compliment and blend with the existing community character. In recent years numerous projects have come forward for taller buildings, which many residents and business owners in the community feel overwhelm the character and scale of the existing community. There has also been an alarming trend toward projects involving luxury condominiums with as few as one unit per floor, pushing projects taller than anticipated while not providing affordable housing.

There is little disagreement that an update is needed to the Uptown community plan and attendant zoning so the entire community can re-evaluate the guidelines for future development in Uptown. However the plan update will be a multi-year process. The proposed IHO would temporarily limit height on new projects in specified areas of Uptown until our community plan and related zoning are updated so that new projects will not conflict with the contemplated community plan update.

The IHO also fits within the City of Villages goals of (1) *recognizing the unique character of communities* and (2) *involving the public in planning*. The IHO is also consistent with previous interim ordinances, including the Uptown Height Limitation Zone (Ordinance No. O-16464), which was effective from 1985 to 1992.

Community Support for the IHO

In response to an outcry of concern in the community, Uptown Planners voted 12-2 in June 2006 to recommend that the City of San Diego adopt the IHO. This concept involved a firm limit of 65 feet along 4th, 5th and 6th Avenues north of Laurel Street, most of Washington Street and University Avenue, and 50 feet on Washington Street from Dove to Ibis Street in Mission Hills. The concept of the IHO has very strong support in the Uptown community. In 2006 and early 2007, over 1,200 people signed a petition supporting the IHO. Copies of the petition signatures have been lodged with the offices of Councilmembers Toni Atkins and Kevin Faulconer.

In addition, the following civic groups and organizations have endorsed the IHO:

- Save Our Heritage Organisation (SOHO)
- Mission Hills Business Improvement District
- Mission Hills Heritage
- University Heights Community Development Corporation
- University Heights Historical Society
- Hillcrest Business Improvement Association
- Hillcrest Town Council
- Hillcrest History Guild

Requested Changes to the City's Version of the IHO

While the community and Uptown Planners has been requesting adoption of the IHO since mid-2006, it took over a year for the Mayor's office and Planning Department to announce support for the IHO in principle, and several months thereafter to release an initial draft of the proposed ordinance to the public. In November 2007, Uptown Planners reluctantly endorsed the Planning Department's then-latest version of the IHO, which includes a firm height limit north of Brookes Street, with a "discretionary" height limit between Laurel and Brookes Street. However, Uptown Planner's approval was subject to the following conditions:

1. The Planning Department accept the task force's proposed changes in the recital portions of the ordinance (see attachment);
2. The same height restrictions will apply to any proposed re-zone within Uptown during the same time period;
3. No exceptions to the mandatory height limitation north of Brookes Streets are set forth in the ordinance itself; and,
4. The Planning Department proceeds with processing the ordinance with all due speed;

Since that time, the Hillcrest Town Council voted to recommend that the firm height limit be extended south to the centerline of Upas Street, which is the boundary between Bankers Hill and Hillcrest. We understand that Uptown Planners will consider this issue again on March 4, 2008, two days before this hearing date. The Independent Task Force concurs with the Hillcrest Town Council and now recommends that the firm height limit be extended south to the centerline of **Upas Street**. We also request that Items 1 and 2 above be incorporated into the IHO. We are also concerned that the approval process has taken much longer than the community desires.


The task force is also aware that a few persons have proposed exceptions to the height limits for architectural appurtenances and mechanic equipment. No such exceptions are present in the existing zoning ordinances, and none should be included in the IHO.

Lastly, we note that the latest version of the IHO proposed by City Planning & Community Investment Department includes a restriction that any extension of the IHO beyond 30-months requires a *two-thirds vote of the elected members of the City Council*. We strongly object to the two-thirds vote requirement, which is more stringent than the vote required to pass this ordinance in the first place.

Conclusions

The Uptown Community needs a time-out on taller projects so that the community's desires can be taken into consideration during the community plan update process, while there is still something left to plan. We urge the Planning Commission to recommend passage of the IHO with the recommendations proposed above.

Sincerely



Barry E. Hager

Chairman, Independent Task Force

Attachment

Cc: William Anderson, Deputy Chief Operating Officer, CPIC
Councilmember Toni Atkins, Council District 3
Councilmember Kevin Faulconer, Council District 2

MEMORANDUM

To: William Anderson, Chief of Land Use and Economic Development Dept.

From: Barry E. Hager, Task Force for Interim Height Ordinance

Date: September 27, 2007

Re: Revisions to Draft of Uptown Interim Height Ordinance

There are a number of problems with the City Planning Department's draft of the Uptown Interim Height Ordinance, which are summarized below. A revised version of the IHO is provided herewith.

I. Recitals.

1) 5th Recital. The term "intended" community character is vague, undefined, and completely subjective, and should be deleted.

2) 6th Recital. Since the Uptown Community plan was based on assumptions that growth would occur at the mid-range levels, as specified in Addendum J thereto, this concept should be included in this recital.

3) 7th Recital. The recital should be revised to read that structures less than 50' or 65' are more likely to be compatible with existing construction than those that exceed such levels.

II. Sunset Provision.

The termination of the IHO should coincide with adoption of the updated community plan and any related zoning ordinance, since the two are integral. Earlier termination would leave an unreasonable gap in the updating process. Interim planning ordinances typically remain in effect until plan updates are fully effective.

III. Design Findings to Exceed Specified Heights

This is probably the most troubling aspect of the City's draft. The "special findings" at sub-section (d) provide that the permit will only issue if the decision maker finds that the proposed building height is appropriate because the location of the site, existing neighborhood characteristics and project design would "ensure the project's compatibility with the existing and intended character of Uptown" in addition to the general findings:

For the same reasons stated above, the term "intended" is extremely vague, subjective, and renders this restriction almost meaningless. There is no objective measure of "intent."

Moreover, the special findings are no more restrictive than existing requirements set forth elsewhere in the land use restrictions, which have proved to be fatally subjective and vague. Without a specific, objectively measurable limit, the compatibility finding will be whatever the decision-maker decides it to be. The only real effect of this ordinance would be to make all projects discretionary above the specified heights.

Examples of similar language are found in the following land use regulations:

- (1) Existing Uptown Community Plan. There are references to "compatibility" throughout the Urban Design Element, including the statement that the objective that the guidelines is to "maximize quality development that is compatible in both character and scale" with the community.
- (2) Mid-Cities PDO. Section 103.1501, sub-part (4)(B) requires "compatibility with surrounding development."
- (3) CEQA Significance Determination Thresholds. At Chapter P, Visual Effects and Neighborhood Characteristics, Section 2(a), a threshold for requiring an EIR is that "the Project exceeds the height and bulk of the existing pattern of development in the vicinity of the project."

Decision-makers within the City of San Diego in the past have regularly found projects to be "compatible" and not require full environmental analysis that were arguably grossly incompatible. One example is the recent "Third and University" project, for which approval was recently overturned in court.

The conclusion here is that the language set forth in the City's draft, which is no more restrictive than requirements found elsewhere in local land use regulations, will not effectively restrict heights. Only a strict limit will accomplish this goal. It should be noted that even a strict limit could still be exceeded by granting variances, but such a process is more difficult and provides greater safeguards.

IV. Community Benefit. There is a feeling that a project that is allowed to exceed the specified heights should be required to provide some additional benefit to the community. Please see a sample list in the attachment.

V. Application to Entire Projects and Re-Zones. To be effective, the ordinance should apply to any project which contain land within the overlay zone, and the restriction should apply to any project that requires a rezoning, where the rezoning would result in heights exceeding the IHO limits.

ORDINANCE NUMBER O-XXXXX (NEW SERIES)

AN ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO AMENDING CHAPTER 15, ARTICLE 12, DIVISION 2, AND CHAPTER 12, ARTICLE 6, DIVISION 5 BY AMENDING SECTIONS 1512.0203, 126.0502 AND 126.0504, OF THE SAN DIEGO MUNICIPAL CODE, RELATING TO THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE.

WHEREAS, the Uptown Community Plan was adopted on February 2, 1988 to provide land use policy guidance for the Uptown Community; and

WHEREAS, the Mid-City Communities Planned District Ordinance was adopted on January 21, 1986, and subsequently amended on May 30, 1989, to provide development regulations to implement the Uptown Community Plan; and

WHEREAS, multiple-story buildings have recently been constructed and are proposed in the Uptown Community which are significantly higher than previously-constructed buildings; and

WHEREAS, the Mayor's Office will commence an update of the Uptown Community Plan and the Mid-City Communities Planned District in 2008 to address land use policies, transportation and land use connections; and regulations including urban design objectives; and

WHEREAS, long-term design of the Uptown Community will benefit from a design review process of new structures to determine their compatibility with the existing community character during the update of the Uptown Community Plan and the Mid-City Communities Planned District to ensure they do not adversely affect the urban design objectives of the community; and

WHEREAS, there is a recognition of the role that the residential density that is in the adopted Uptown Community Plan, as provided at the mid-range assumptions set forth in Appendix J thereof, plays in meeting the City's housing goals; and

WHEREAS, there is a general agreement that structures less than 50 to 65 feet in height in Areas 'A' and 'B,' respectively, as shown on Figure 1512.02, as depicted on Map C-928, are more likely to be compatible in bulk and scale with existing development than structures that exceed such height;

NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT ORDAINED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows: Section 1. That Chapter 15, Article 12, Division 2, of the San Diego Municipal Code is amended by amending section 1512.02, as follows:

§1512.0203 Mid-City Communities Development Permit and

Interim Height Restriction

(a) [No change.]

(b) (1) through (7) [No change.]

(8) Any structure which exceeds 65 feet in Area 'B', as shown on Figure 1512.02, as depicted on Map C-928, and south of the centerline of Upas Street in such Area 'B', requires a Mid-City Communities Development Permit in accordance with Process 4 until adoption of the Uptown Community Plan Update and implementation of any related zoning ordinance.

Table 1512.02A [No change.]

Figure 1512.02A

001607

(c) An application for a Mid-City Communities Development Permit in accordance with 1512.0203(b)(1-7) above, maybe approved, conditionally approved or denied by a Hearing Officer in accordance with Process Three. The Hearing Officer's decision may be appealed to the Planning Commission in accordance with the Land Development Code Section 112.0506.

(d) A Mid-City Communities Development Permit required in accordance with 1512.0203(b)(8) above, may be approved or conditionally approved only if all of the following occurs:

(1) The decision maker finds that the proposed building height is appropriate because the location of the site, existing neighborhood characteristics and project design including massing, stepbacks, facade composition and modulation, material and fenestration patterns when considered together, would ensure the project's compatibility with the existing character of Uptown, in addition to the general findings required for Site Development Permits in 126.0504(a).

(2) There are no deviations or variations to setbacks and lot coverage requirements.

(3) The following public benefits are provided in the proposed development:

- i) Any existing potentially historic buildings on site, whether or not historically designated or eligible for designation, are preserved on-site;
- ii) Any existing landmark trees on site are retained on-site;
- iii) Any existing open space on site is preserved and made available to the public;
- iv) Existing public views and solar access for adjacent parcels is preserved;
- v) Street and sidewalk improvements for improved walkability;

001608

vi) A diverse streetwall setting.

(d) (e) The Hearing Officer or Planning Commission may approve or conditionally approve a Mid-City Communities Development Permit, if the Hearing Officer or Planning Commission determines that the application is complete and conforms with all City regulations, policies, guidelines, design standards and density, and it is found from the evidence presented that all of the following facts exist:

(1) through (6) [No change.]

(f) No structure north of the centerline of Upas Street which exceeds 50 feet in Area 'A', or 65 feet in Area 'B', as shown on Figure 1512:02, as depicted on Map C-928, shall be issued a building permit or constructed until adoption of the Uptown Community Plan Update and implementation of any related zoning ordinance.

APPROVED: MICHAEL J. AGUIRRE, City Attorney

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

I hereby certify that the following Ordinance was passed by the Council of the City of San Diego, at its meeting of _____

ELIZABETH S. MALAND
City Clerk

By _____
Deputy City Clerk

Approved: _____
JERRY SANDERS, Mayor

Vetoed: _____
JERRY SANDERS, Mayor

001609

Emails in
support

001611

From: "lee abrams" <cookylee@sbcglobal.net>
To: <AndersonW@sandiego.gov>
Date: 9/20/2007 5:09:23 PM

Dear Mr. Anderson:

Thank you for responding to our community's desire to implement an interim height ordinance pending update of the Uptown Community Plan.

However, I am very concerned that the Planning Department's version of the Interim Height Ordinance unveiled at the September Uptown Planner's meeting is not strong enough to effectively restrict heights.

I believe that the City should adopt a strict limit on building heights as originally proposed by Uptown Planners over a year ago. At 50 and 65 feet, this limit is already a compromise. The community has demonstrated its support for this ordinance by a petition sign by over 1200 people and it is endorsed by numerous civic groups.

Please listen to the voice of the community and process the ordinance as originally recommended by Uptown Planners.

Sincerely,

CC: <MPangilinan@sandiego.gov>, <kevinfaulconer@sandiego.gov>, <toniatkins@sandiego.gov>

001612

From: "John Miriani" <dandj@pacbell.net>
To: <AndersonW@sandiego.gov>
Date: 9/28/2007 3:58:07 PM

Dear Mr. Anderson:

Thank you for responding to Hillcrest's desire to implement an interim height ordinance pending update of the Uptown Community Plan.

I am very concerned however, that the Planning Department's version of the Interim Height Ordinance, unveiled at the September Uptown Planner's meeting, is not strong enough to effectively restrict heights.

The City should adopt a strict limit on building heights as originally proposed by Uptown Planners over a year ago. At 50 and 65 feet, this limit is already a compromise. The community has demonstrated its support for this ordinance by a petition sign by over 1200 people. It is also endorsed by numerous civic groups.

Please process the ordinance as originally recommended by Uptown Planners. Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Miriani
3438 Richmond St.
San Diego, 92103

CC: <toniatkins@sandiego.gov>, <kevinfaulconer@sandiego.gov>, <MPangilinan@sandiego.gov>

001613

From: "Marcy Van Stee" <mvanstee@Amsafety.com>
To: <AndersonW@sandiego.gov>
Date: 9/24/2007 6:59:32 PM
Subject: : Uptown Interim Height Ordinance

Subject: Uptown Interim Height Ordinance

Dear Mr. Anderson:

Thank you for responding to our community's desire to implement an interim height ordinance pending update of the Uptown Community Plan.

However, I am very concerned that the Planning Department's version of the Interim Height Ordinance unveiled at the September Uptown Planner's meeting is not strong enough to effectively restrict heights.

I believe that the City should adopt a strict limit on building heights as originally proposed by Uptown Planners over a year ago. At 50 and 65 feet, this limit is already a compromise. The community has demonstrated its support for this ordinance by a petition sign by over 1200 people and it is endorsed by numerous civic groups.

Please listen to the community and process the ordinance as originally recommended by Uptown Planners.

Sincerely,

Marcy Van Stee
1898 sunset blvd
SD CA 92103

NOTICE OF CONFIDENTIALITY AND DAMAGE DISCLAIMER:

The information in this e-mail and any attachments is confidential and may be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy this message, delete any copies held on your systems and notify the sender immediately. you should not retain, copy, nor disclose all or any part of its content to any other person. This e-mail and any attachment(s) are believed to be free from virus. However it is the responsibility of the recipient to ensure that they are virus free. We do not accept any liability for any loss or damage arising in any way from the receipt, opening or use of this e-mail and any attachment(s).

CC: <MPangilinan@sandiego.gov>, <kevinfaulconer@sandiego.gov>, <toniatkins@sandiego.gov>, <pvanstee@msn.com>

001614

From: "Loretta McNeely" <lmcneely6@yahoo.com>
To: <andersonw@sandiego.gov>
Date: 9/25/2007 3:00:02 PM
Subject: Adopt the Community Recommendation of the Proposed Uptown Planners Interim Height Ordinance

Dear Mr. Anderson,

I am in favor of containing growth that harms the great character of the Uptown area. What is on the table from the Uptown Planners at 50 and 65 feet is already a compromise and I would prefer it to be even more stringent.

I do want thank you for responding to our community's desire to implement an interim height ordinance pending update of the Uptown Community Plan.

However, I am very concerned that the Planning Department's version of the Interim Height Ordinance, unveiled at the September Uptown Planner's meeting, is not strong enough to effectively restrict heights.

I believe that the City should adopt a strict limit on building heights as originally proposed by Uptown Planners over a year ago. At 50 and 65 feet, this limit is already a compromise. The community has demonstrated its support for this ordinance by a petition signed by over 1,200 people and it is endorsed by numerous civic groups.

Please listen to the community and process the ordinance as originally recommended by Uptown Planners.

Sincerely,

Loretta McNeely
2511 Adams Avenue
San Diego, CA 92116

Fussy? Opinionated? Impossible to please? Perfect. Join Yahoo!'s user panel and lay it on us.

CC: <mpangilinan@sandiego.gov>, <kevinfaulconer@sandiego.gov>, <toniatkins@sandiego.gov>

001615

From: "Ernie Bonn" <uhcdc@netzero.net>
To: "Mañlon Pangilinan" <MPangilinan@sandiego.gov>
Date: 9/27/2007 1:53:39 PM
Subject: Fw: Adopt the Community Recommendation of the Proposed Uptown Planners Interim Height Ordinance

FYI -- Ernie Bonn

----- Original Message -----

From: Ernie Bonn
To: andersonw@sandiego.gov
Cc: ncalero@sandiego.gov ; Denise Price ;
Sent: Tuesday, September 25, 2007 5:32 PM
Subject: Adopt the Community Recommendation of the Proposed Uptown Planners Interim Height Ordinance

Dear Mr. Anderson:

Thank you for acknowledging that our Uptown Planning area is ready for an Interim Height Ordinance pending the update of the Uptown Community Plan.

While serving the past eight years on the Uptown Planners, I was involved in reviewing the gradual increase in high rise projects such as Park Laurel, 6th and Upas and 301 University, to name just a few. It was a distressing experience since it appeared that planning in the best interests of the community was losing ground in the City's pressure for big block developments, 12 to 14 story structures, and a push for Downtown to cross boundaries into Uptown. As a result, our neighborhoods are rapidly losing their identities.

I firmly believe that we need a strict limit on building heights as originally proposed by the Uptown Planners more than a year ago. The interim height ordinance as drafted is not strong enough, and any of our best and worse developers/architects can work their way around it. A petition with over 1200 signatures in support of the 50 and 65 height limit is testimony enough that the community groups, residents and business owners want a more stringent ordinance put in place as quickly as possible.

As a member of the Interim Height Limit Task Force, I urgently request that you accede to the community's direction in this effort.

Thanks,
Ernie Bonn
UHCDC
Tel: 619-297-3166

001616

From: "Christy Scannell" <celmed@cox.net>
To: <MPangilinan@sandiego.gov>, <kevinfaulconer@sandiego.gov>, <toniatkins@sandiego.gov>
Date: 9/28/2007 1:53:55 PM
Subject: Fwd: Uptown Interim Height Ordinance

Begin forwarded message:

> From: Christy Scannell <celmed@cox.net>
> Date: September 28, 2007 1:52:14 PM PDT
> To: AndersonW@sandiego.gov
> Subject: Uptown Interim Height Ordinance

> Dear Mr. Anderson:

> Thank you for responding to our community's desire to implement an
> interim height ordinance pending update of the Uptown Community Plan.

> However, I am very concerned that the Planning Department's version
> of the Interim Height Ordinance, unveiled at the September Uptown
> Planner's meeting, is not strong enough to effectively restrict
> heights.

> I believe that the City should adopt a strict limit on building
> heights as originally proposed by Uptown Planners over a year ago.
> At 50 and 65 feet, this limit is already a compromise. The
> community has demonstrated its support for this ordinance by a
> petition sign by over 1200 people and it is endorsed by numerous
> civic groups.

> Please listen to the community and process the ordinance as
> originally recommended by Uptown Planners.

> Sincerely,

> Christy Scannell
> 4785 Oregon St
> San Diego 92116

001617

From: "Peggy Goldstein" <casacypress@cox.net>
To: <toniatkins@sandiego.gov>
Date: 10/3/2007 7:03:50 AM
Subject: Height Limit for Uptown

I am writing to urge you to implement a strict height limit of 65 feet for most of the Uptown area. The whole "discretionary" review procedure favored by the Planning Dept. does not work. In return for allowing towering heights and bulk, the community receives no tangible benefits.

The whole "discretionary" process simply allows the developers to continue to play "Let's make a deal" at City Hall.

Implement an absolute height limit in Hillcrest!

Peggy Goldstein
casacypress@cox.net

CC: <AndersonW@sandiego.gov>, <MPangilinan@sandiego.gov>

001618

From: "lloyd snowden" <lloyd_snowden@yahoo.com>
To: <MPangilinan@sandiego.gov>
Date: 9/25/2007 5:22:37 PM
Subject: Interim Height Ordinance

Dear Marlon Pangilinan

Thank you for responding to our community's desire to implement an interim height ordinance pending update of the Uptown Community Plan.

However, I am very concerned that the Planning Department's version of the Interim Height Ordinance, unveiled at the September Uptown Planner's meeting, is not strong enough to effectively restrict heights.

I believe that the City should adopt a strict limit on building heights as originally proposed by Uptown Planners over a year ago. At 50 and 65 feet, this limit is already a compromise. The community has demonstrated its support for this ordinance by a petition sign by over 1200 people and it is endorsed by numerous civic groups.

Please listen to the community and process the ordinance as originally recommended by Uptown Planners.

Sincerely,
lloyd snowden
2300 6th avenue 207
san diego ca 92103

www.photos.yahoo.com/lloyd_snowden

Take the Internet to Go: Yahoo!Go puts the Internet in your pocket: mail, news, photos & more.

001619

From: "Mark" <avitti1@cox.net>
To: <AndersonW@sandiego.gov>
Date: 9/25/2007 8:45:17 PM
Subject: Interim Height Ordinance

Dear Mr. Anderson:

Thank you for responding to our community's desire to implement an interim height ordinance pending update of the Uptown Community Plan.

However, I am very concerned that the Planning Department's version of the Interim Height Ordinance, unveiled at the September Uptown Planner's meeting, is not strong enough to effectively restrict heights.

I believe that the City should adopt a strict limit on building heights as originally proposed by Uptown Planners over a year ago. At 50 and 65 feet, this limit is already a compromise. The community has demonstrated its support for this ordinance by a petition sign by over 1200 people and it is endorsed by numerous civic groups.

Please listen to the community and process the ordinance as originally recommended by Uptown Planners.

Sincerely,

Anthony Mark Vitti

1250 Cleveland Ave, Suite D217

San Diego, CA 92103

CC: <MPangilinan@sandiego.gov>, <kevinfaulconer@sandiego.gov>, <toniatkins@sandiego.gov>

001620

From: "Nancy Moors" <Nancy@hillquest.com>
To: "Nancy Moors" <Nancy@HillQuest.com>
Date: 9/25/2007 10:50:35 AM
Subject: Message from HTC Development Committee

Many of us on the Hillcrest Town Council supported "Save Hillcrest" during the effort to stop the project at 301 University. A month ago, a judge ruled in favor of "Save Hillcrest" on 7 causes of action in the lawsuit against 301 University. From my view, the ruling is an incredible sign of our strength as a community. We worked together to preserve and protect vital elements of Hillcrest and we were successful.

Today, we must rally again to let our city officials know that projects like 301 University are not appropriate in the core of Hillcrest.

More than a year ago, our Uptown Community Planning Group, which encompasses the Hillcrest and Mission Hills areas, voted for an Interim Height Ordinance until our 20 year old, out-of-date, community plan could be brought current. In response to the proposed Interim Height Ordinance, the city acknowledged that decisions were being made about our community with an out-of-date plan. They agreed to fund a 2 - 3 year process to bring our Community Plan current and they drafted their version of an "Interim Height Ordinance".

The city's proposed Interim Height Ordinance does not offer our community the required protection from development that is necessary, until the Community Plan is brought current. The city's proposed Interim Height Ordinance would provide for discretionary review of all projects over 50 or 65 feet in height; which means that all projects over the height limit would have discretionary review by the community, planning com. and/or city council. The key words are "discretionary review."

301 University had a discretionary review but it was not stopped at City Council. We want our city officials to enact the Interim Height Ordinance approved by the Uptown Planners which limits buildings to 65 feet (approx. 5 stories) in the core of Hillcrest and 50 feet (approx. 4 stories) in the Mission Hills business district.

Please join the rally to protect our community by doing the following ASAP:

Send an email to Bill Anderson, Planning Director, requesting the City to enact the Interim Height Ordinance as originally proposed by Uptown Planners.

(Sample letter attached with email addresses). Send by Monday, October 1st.

2. Attend the next Uptown Planners meeting on Tuesday, October 2nd at 6:00 p.m. in the Joyce Beers Community Center, 1230 Cleveland Avenue, to show support for the Uptown Interim Height Ordinance, which includes a strict height limit as originally proposed. The Uptown Planners will be voting on which version to recommend to the City and needs to see strong community support for the original proposal. This is an extremely important meeting for our community!

3. Send this message to friends and neighbors and ask for their support by Friday, September 28th.

001621

From: "Bill Makinson" <benz4b@cox.net>
To: <AndersonW@sandiego.gov>
Date: 9/21/2007 4:25:52 PM
Subject: Sample Email to Bill Anderson

Email to Bill Anderson AndersonW@sandiego.gov

Copy to: Marlon Pangilinan MPangilinan@sandiego.gov
Kevin Faulconer kevinfaulconer@sandiego.gov
Toni Atkins toniatkins@sandiego.gov

Subject: Uptown Interim Height Ordinance

Dear Mr. Anderson:

Thank you for responding to our community's desire to implement an interim height ordinance pending update of the Uptown Community Plan.

However, I am very concerned that the Planning Department's version of the Interim Height Ordinance unveiled at the September Uptown Planner's meeting is not strong enough to effectively restrict heights.

I believe that the City should adopt a strict limit on building heights as originally proposed by Uptown Planners over a year ago. At 50 and 65 feet, this limit is already a compromise. The community has demonstrated its support for this ordinance by a petition sign by over 1200 people and it is endorsed by numerous civic groups.

Please listen to the community and process the ordinance as originally recommended by Uptown Planners.

Sincerely,
[Jane Ligon]
[4152 Ibis St.
San Diego 92103]

CC: <toniatkins@sandiego.gov>, <kevinfaulconer@sandiego.gov>,
<MPangilinan@sandiego.gov>

001622

From: "roxanne govari" <rgovari@cox.net>
To: <AndersonW@sandiego.gov>
Date: 9/30/2007 1:34:25 PM
Subject: Subject: Uptown Interim Height Ordinance

Subject: Uptown Interim Height Ordinance

Dear Mr. Anderson:

Thank you for responding to our community's desire to implement an interim height ordinance pending update of the Uptown Community Plan.

However, I am very concerned that the Planning Department's version of the Interim Height Ordinance, unveiled at the September Uptown Planner's meeting, is not strong enough to effectively restrict heights.

I believe that the City should adopt a strict limit on building heights as originally proposed by Uptown Planners over a year ago. At 50 and 65 feet, this limit is already a compromise. The community has demonstrated its support for this ordinance by a petition signed by over 1200 people and it is endorsed by numerous civic groups.

Please listen to the community and process the ordinance as originally recommended by Uptown Planners.

Sincerely,

Christian Berger & Roxanne Govari

4857 Kansas Street

San Diego, CA 92116

CC: <MPangilinan@sandiego.gov>, <kevinfaulconer@sandiego.gov>, <toniatkins@sandiego.gov>

001623

From: "John Taylor" <jtlimo@cox.net>
To: <AndersonW@sandiego.gov>
Date: 9/20/2007 12:14:09 PM
Subject: Uptown Interim Height Ordinance

Dear Mr. Anderson:

Thank you for responding to our community's desire to implement an interim height ordinance pending update of the Uptown Community Plan.

However, I am very concerned that the Planning Department's version of the Interim Height Ordinance unveiled at the September Uptown Planner's meeting is not strong enough to effectively restrict heights.

I believe that the City should adopt a strict limit on building heights as originally proposed by Uptown Planners over a year ago. At 50 and 65 feet, this limit is already a compromise. The community has demonstrated its support for this ordinance by a petition sign by over 1200 people and it is endorsed by numerous civic groups.

Please listen to the community and process the ordinance as originally recommended by Uptown Planners.

Sincerely,

John Taylor

3812 3rd Avenue

San Diego, CA 92103

CC: <MPangiliinan@sandiego.gov>, <kevinfaulconer@sandiego.gov>, <toniatkins@sandiego.gov>

001624

From: "George wedemeyer" <gaw324@yahoo.com>
To: <MPangilinan@sandiego.gov>
Date: 9/20/2007 2:42:47 PM
Subject: Uptown Interim Height Ordinance

Subject: Uptown Interim Height Ordinance

Dear Mr. Anderson:

Thank you for responding to our community's desire to implement an interim height ordinance pending update of the Uptown Community Plan.

However, I am very concerned that the Planning Department's version of the Interim Height Ordinance unveiled at the September Uptown Planner's meeting is not strong enough to effectively restrict heights.

I believe that the City should adopt a strict limit on building heights as originally proposed by Uptown Planners over a year ago. At 50 and 65 feet, this limit is already a compromise. The community has demonstrated its support for this ordinance by a petition sign by over 1200 people and it is endorsed by numerous civic groups.

Please listen to the community and process the ordinance as originally recommended by Uptown Planners.

Sincerely,

George Wedemeyer
4110 Front Street
San Diego, CA 92103

001625

From: "Michael Olivas" <Michael_Olivas@cox.net>
To: <AndersonW@sandiego.gov>
Date: 9/21/2007 10:47:11 AM
Subject: Uptown Interim Height Ordinance

Dear Mr. Anderson:

Thank you for responding to our community's desire to implement an interim height ordinance pending update of the Uptown Community Plan.

However, I am very concerned that the Planning Department's version of the Interim Height Ordinance unveiled at the September Uptown Planner's meeting is not strong enough to effectively restrict heights.

I believe that the City should adopt a strict limit on building heights as originally proposed by Uptown Planners over a year ago. At 50 and 65 feet, this limit is already a compromise. The community has demonstrated its support for this ordinance by a petition sign by over 1200 people and it is endorsed by numerous civic groups.

Please listen to the community and process the ordinance as originally recommended by Uptown Planners.

Sincerely,

Michael A. Olivas

3812 Third Ave.

San Diego, CA 92103

CC: <MPangilinan@sandiego.gov>; <kevinfaulconer@sandiego.gov>; <toniatkins@sandiego.gov>

001626

From: "Tim Ragland" <tim@sandiegoltr.com>
To: <andersonw@sandiego.gov>
Date: 9/22/2007 8:24:50 AM
Subject: Uptown Interim Height Ordinance

September 21, 2007

Dear Mr. Anderson:

Thank you for responding to our community's desire to implement an interim height ordinance pending update of the Uptown Community Plan.

However, I am very concerned that the Planning Department's version of the Interim Height Ordinance unveiled at the September Uptown Planner's meeting is not strong enough to effectively restrict heights.

I believe that the City should adopt a strict limit on building heights as originally proposed by Uptown Planners over a year ago. At 50 and 65 feet, this limit is already a compromise. The community has demonstrated its support for this ordinance by a petition sign by over 1200 people and it is endorsed by numerous civic groups.

Please listen to the community and process the ordinance as originally recommended by Uptown Planners.

Sincerely,

Tim Ragland
502 University Ave
San Diego, Ca 92103

001627

From: "Bob" <bmartynec@cox.net>
To: <AndersonW@sandiego.gov>
Date: 9/24/2007 12:41:03 PM
Subject: Uptown Interim Height Ordinance

Dear Mr. Anderson:

Thank you for responding to our community's desire to implement an interim height ordinance pending update of the Uptown Community Plan.

However, I am very concerned that the Planning Department's version of the Interim Height Ordinance unveiled at the September Uptown Planner's meeting is not strong enough to effectively restrict heights.

I believe that the City should adopt a strict limit on building heights as originally proposed by Uptown Planners over a year ago. At 50 and 65 feet, this limit is already a compromise. The community has demonstrated its support for this ordinance by a petition sign by over 1200 people and it is endorsed by numerous civic groups.

Please listen to the community and process the ordinance as originally recommended by Uptown Planners.

Sincerely,
Bob Martynec
3794 Herbert Street
San Diego 92103

CC: <MPangilinan@sandiego.gov>, <kevinfaulconer@sandiego.gov>, <toniatkins@sandiego.gov>

001628

From: "Ann" <adinkann@cox.net>
To: <AndersonW@sandiego.gov>
Date: 9/25/2007 10:55:13 AM
Subject: Uptown Interim Height Ordinance

Dear Mr. Anderson:

Thank you for responding to our community's desire to implement an interim height ordinance pending update of the Uptown Community Plan.

However, I am very concerned that the Planning Department's version of the Interim Height Ordinance, unveiled at the September Uptown Planner's meeting, is not strong enough to effectively restrict heights.

I believe that the City should adopt a strict limit on building heights as originally proposed by Uptown Planners over a year ago. At 50 and 65 feet, this limit is already a compromise. The community has demonstrated its support for this ordinance by a petition sign by over 1200 people and it is endorsed by numerous civic groups.

Please listen to the community and process the ordinance as originally recommended by Uptown Planners.

Sincerely,
Ann Garwood

3742-b Seventh Avenue
San Diego, CA 92103-4348

CC: "Marlon Pangilinan" <MPangilinan@sandiego.gov>, "Toni Atkins" <toni@sandiego.gov>, <KevinFaulconer@sandiego.gov>

001629

From: "Garry Sullins" <garry@mysdlife.com>
To: <AndersonW@sandiego.gov>
Date: 9/25/2007 11:55:32 AM
Subject: Uptown Interim Height Ordinance

Dear Mr. Anderson:

Thank you for responding to our community's desire to implement an interim height ordinance pending update of the Uptown Community Plan.

However, I am very concerned that the Planning Department's version of the Interim Height Ordinance, unveiled at the September Uptown Planner's meeting, is not strong enough to effectively restrict heights.

I believe that the City should adopt a strict limit on building heights as originally proposed by Uptown Planners over a year ago. At 50 and 65 feet, this limit is already a compromise. The community has demonstrated its support for this ordinance by a petition sign by over 1200 people and it is endorsed by numerous civic groups.

Please listen to the community and process the ordinance as originally recommended by Uptown Planners.

Sincerely,
Garry Sullins
3535 First Avenue
Hillcrest

CC: <toniatkins@sandiego.gov>, <kevinfaulconer@sandiego.gov>, <MPangilinan@sandiego.gov>

001630

From: "Joanne Nivison & Joel Mur" <joelmur@mac.com>
To: <andersonw@sandiego.gov>
Date: 9/25/2007 5:41:02 PM
Subject: Uptown Interim Height Ordinance

> Subject: Uptown Interim Height Ordinance

>

> Dear Mr. Anderson:

>

> Thank you for responding to our community's desire to implement an
> interim height ordinance pending update of the Uptown Community Plan.

>

> However, I am very concerned that the Planning Department's version
> of the Interim Height Ordinance, unveiled at the September Uptown
> Planner's meeting, is not strong enough to effectively restrict
> heights.

> I believe that the City should adopt a strict limit on building
> heights as originally proposed by Uptown Planners over a year ago.
> At 50 and 65 feet, this limit is already a compromise. The
> community has demonstrated its support for this ordinance by a
> petition sign by over 1200 people and it is endorsed by numerous
> civic groups.

>

> Please listen to the community and process the ordinance as
> originally recommended by Uptown Planners.

>

> Sincerely,

Joanne L. Nivison
4511 Pomona Ave.
La Mesa, CA 91941

CC: <mpangilinan@sandiego.gov>, <kevinfaulconer@sandiego.gov>, <toniatkins@sandiego.gov>

001631

From: "Jay Coffman" <jaycoffman@cox.net>
To: <AndersonW@sandiego.gov>
Date: 9/26/2007 4:09:41 PM
Subject: Uptown Interim Height Ordinance

Dear Mr. Anderson:

Thank you for responding to our community's desire to implement an interim height ordinance pending update of the Uptown Community Plan.

However, I am very concerned that the Planning Department's version of the Interim-Height Ordinance, unveiled at the September Uptown Planner's meeting, is not strong enough to effectively restrict heights.

I believe that the City should adopt a strict limit on building heights as originally proposed by Uptown Planners over a year ago. At 50 and 65 feet, this limit is already a compromise. The community has demonstrated its support for this ordinance by a petition sign by over 1200 people and it is endorsed by numerous civic groups.

Please listen to the community and process the ordinance as originally recommended by Uptown Planners.

PLEASE NOTE: While this is a form email we feel very strongly about our neighborhood and will work actively to protect it from unreasonable development and we will watch elected officials for their commitment to intelligent growth as opposed to unlimited developer growth.

Sincerely,

Jay Coffman
Diana L. Blanton
1601 Myrtle Avenue
San Diego, CA 92103

CC: <MPangilinan@sandiego.gov>, <kevinfaulconer@sandiego.gov>, <toniatkins@sandiego.gov>

001632

From: "Joy Wright-MacDade" <jwrightmacdade@epsilonsystems.com>
To: <AndersonW@sandiego.gov>
Date: 9/27/2007 1:31:40 PM
Subject: Uptown Interim Height Ordinance

Dear Mr. Anderson:

Thank you for responding to our community's desire to implement an interim height ordinance pending update of the Uptown Community Plan.

However, I am very concerned that the Planning Department's version of the Interim Height Ordinance, unveiled at the September Uptown Planner's meeting, is not strong enough to effectively restrict heights.

I believe that the City should adopt a strict limit on building heights as originally proposed by Uptown Planners over a year ago. At 50 and 65 feet, this limit is already a compromise. The community has demonstrated its support for this ordinance by a petition sign by over 1200 people and it is endorsed by numerous civic groups.

Please listen to the community and process the ordinance as originally recommended by Uptown Planners.

Sincerely,

Joy A. Wright-MacDade

Dennis K. MacDade

CC: <toniatkins@sandiego.gov>, <kevinfaulconer@sandiego.gov>, <MPangilinan@sandiego.gov>

001633

From: "Allan Churukian, MD, MS, FACEP" <allanc@flash.net>
To: <AndersonW@sandiego.gov>
Date: 9/29/2007 10:05:20 AM
Subject: Uptown Interim Height Ordinance

Dear Mr. Anderson:

Thank you for responding to our community's desire to implement an interim height ordinance pending update of the Uptown Community Plan.

However, I am very concerned that the Planning Department's version of the Interim Height Ordinance, unveiled at the September Uptown Planner's meeting, is not strong enough to effectively restrict heights.

I believe that the City should adopt a strict limit on building heights as originally proposed by Uptown Planners over a year ago. At 50 and 65 feet, this limit is already a compromise. The community has demonstrated its support for this ordinance by a petition sign by over 1200 people and it is endorsed by numerous civic groups.

Please listen to the community and process the ordinance as originally recommended by Uptown Planners.

Sincerely,

Allan & Sarah Churukian
Marston Hills/Hillcrest Residents
1420 Upas Street
San Diego, CA 92103

Allan C. Churukian, MD, MS, FACEP

Emergency Physician
Paradise Valley Hospital, JJ&R Emergency Medical Group, Inc.
Sharp Coronado Hospital
allanc@flash.net

Clinical Trials Principal Investigator
eStudySite
achurukian@estudysite.com

Cell: 858-883-7625

CC: <MPangilinan@sandiego.gov>, <kevinfaulconer@sandiego.gov>, <toniatkins@sandiego.gov>

001634

From: "Ellen" <ewhelan@cox.net>
To: <AndersonW@sandiego.gov>
Date: 9/29/2007 8:23:05 PM
Subject: Uptown Interim Height Ordinance

Subject: Uptown Interim Height Ordinance

Dear Mr. Anderson:

Thank you for responding to our community's desire to implement an interim height ordinance pending update of the Uptown Community Plan. I am concerned, however, that the Planning Department's version of the Interim Height Ordinance, presented at the September Uptown Planner's meeting, is not strong enough to effectively restrict heights. I believe that the City should adopt a strict limit on building heights as originally proposed by Uptown Planners over a year ago. At 50 and 65 feet, this limit is already a compromise. The community has demonstrated its support for this ordinance by a petition signed by over 1200 people and it is endorsed by numerous civic groups.

Sincerely,

Ellen Whelan

1076 Myrtle Way

San Diego, CA 92103

CC: <MPangilinan@sandiego.gov>, <kevinfaulconer@sandiego.gov>, <toniatkins@sandiego.gov>

001635

From: "Juli Peters Hyde" <jj.hyde@cox.net>
To: <AndersonW@sandiego.gov>
Date: 9/30/2007 4:24:54 PM
Subject: Uptown Interim Height Ordinance

Dear Mr. Anderson:

RE: Uptown Interim Height Ordinance.

Your response to our community's desire to implement an interim height ordinance, pending update of the Uptown Community Plan, is a step in the right direction, however, it is not enough.

I am very concerned that the Planning Department's version of the Interim Height Ordinance, unveiled at the September Uptown Planner's meeting, is not strong enough to effectively restrict heights. As we have seen, we cannot rely on "discretionary review" by the City to protect our Community.

I believe that the City must adopt a strict limit on building heights as originally proposed by Uptown Planners over a year ago: At 50 and 65 feet, this limit is already a compromise. The community has demonstrated its support for this ordinance by a petition sign by over 1200 people and it is endorsed by numerous civic groups.

Please listen to the community in which you live and process the ordinance as originally recommended by Uptown Planners.

Sincerely,

Julianne Peters Hyde

1035 Myrtle Way

San Diego, CA 92103

Cc: Marlon Pangilinan MPangilinan@sandiego.gov

Kevin Faulconer kevinfaulconer@sandiego.gov

Toni Atkins toniatkins@sandiego.gov

001636

From: "Jeffrey Itrich" <jeffreewyn@gmail.com>
To: <AndersonW@sandiego.gov>
Date: 10/2/2007 9:44:01 AM
Subject: Uptown Interim Height Ordinance

Subject: *Uptown Interim Height Ordinance*

Dear Mr. Anderson:

Thank you for responding to our community's desire to implement an interim height ordinance pending update of the Uptown Community Plan.

However, I am very concerned that the Planning Department's version of the Interim Height Ordinance that was unveiled at the September Uptown Planner's meeting, is not strong enough to effectively restrict heights.

The City should adopt a *strict limit* on building heights as originally proposed by Uptown Planners over a year ago. At 50 and 65 feet, this limit is already a compromise. The community has demonstrated its support for this ordinance by a petition signed by over 1,200 people and it is endorsed by numerous civic groups.

I urge you to please listen to the community and process the ordinance as originally recommended by Uptown Planners.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Itrich
3522 Herbert St., San Diego, CA 92103

--
Life is not measured by the number of breaths we take, but by the moments that take our breath away."

CC: <MPangilinan@sandiego.gov>, <kevinfaulconer@sandiego.gov>, <toniatkins@sandiego.gov>

001637

From: "Lee Schoenbart" <LSEEDITOR@cox.net>
To: <AndersonW@sandiego.gov>
Date: 9/21/2007 11:44:23 AM
Subject: Uptown Interim Height Ordinance

Dear Mr. Anderson:

Thank you for responding to our community's desire to implement an interim height ordinance pending update of the Uptown Community Plan.

However, I am very concerned that the Planning Department's version of the Interim Height Ordinance - unveiled at the September Uptown Planner's meeting - is not strong enough to effectively restrict the height of buildings.

I believe that the city should adopt a strict limit on building heights as originally proposed by Uptown Planners more than a year ago. At 50 and 65 feet, for approximately four- and five-story buildings, respectively, this limit is already a compromise.

The community has demonstrated its support for this ordinance with a petition sign by more than 1,200 people and endorsed by numerous civic groups.

Please listen to the community and process the ordinance as originally recommended by Uptown Planners.

Sincerely,

Lee A. Schoenbart

4055 Falcon St., Unit 103

San Diego, CA 92103

(619) 299-9274

LSEEDITOR@cox.net

www.LeeSchoenbart.com <<http://www.leeschoenbart.com/>>

001638

From: "Denise Bradshaw" <muktigal@sbcglobal.net>
To: <AndersonW@sandiego.gov>
Date: 9/22/2007 5:59:02 PM
Subject: UPTOWN Interim Height Ordinance

Dear Mr. Anderson:

I want the City to adopt a STRICT LIMIT on building heights.in UPTOWN> This is what was originally proposed by Uptown Planners more than a year ago. We are already compromising on the limit of 50 & 65 feet.

Our community has already deminstrated its support for this ordinance,with the above specified height limits --(you know of our petition signed by more than 1200 of us & endorsed by many civic groups.)

Please listen to our community and process the ordinance as originally recommended by Uptown Planners.,

and thank you for responding to our desire to implement this Height Ordinance.

Sincerely,

Denise Bradshaw
3720 Vermont St, SD 92103

CC: <MPangilinán@sandiego.gov>, <kevinfaulconer@sandiego.gov>,
<ToniAtkins@sandiego.gov>

001639

From: "Allen Hazard" <a.hazard@cox.net>
To: "William Anderson" <AndersonW@sandiego.gov>
Date: 9/21/2007 9:03:43 AM
Subject: Uptown Interim Height Ordinance

Dear Mr. Bill Anderson,

Thank you for responding to our community's strong desire to implement the Uptown Interim Height Ordinance pending update of the Uptown Community Plan.

I am very concerned that the Planning Department's version of the Interim Height Ordinance unveiled at the September Uptown Planner's meeting is not nearly strong enough to effectively restrict heights and in fact will only water down the community's proposal to rein in inappropriate development that the community does not want - now or in the future.

I believe that the City of San Diego should adopt a strict limit on building heights as originally proposed by Uptown Planners over a year ago. At 50 (Mission Hills) and 65 feet (parts of Hillcrest), this limit is already a compromise. To compromise the compromise is to render it meaningless. The community has demonstrated its support for this ordinance by a petition signed by over 1,200 people (voters) and it is endorsed by numerous civic groups, including Mission Hills Heritage and Save Our Heritage Organisation (SOHO).

Protective measures such as the proposed Uptown Interim Height Ordinance would really help to protect Hillcrest and Mission Hills from becoming walled in communities along Washington Street and other commercial streets - the present 150 foot height limit along Washington Street was given to us in 1988 (re: the outdated community plan - we heard from an architect who was there that the community leaders working on the 1988 plan were told by city staff members, backed by developers, real estate promoters, etc. to increase the height to the current limit of 150 ft) is much too tall for the Uptown area.

Public Policy should be driven by the citizens and not by special interests groups whose only motive is greed. I am very concerned by your stance to reverse the community concerns on this critical proposal and to make it meaningless.

Often times, development in the Uptown community has resulted in the loss of important historic buildings, the issues of safety, traffic, noise and pollution (all related to quality of life) are impacted with increased density, not to mention the continued critical shortage of green spaces, parks, etc.

The cumulative loss of historic buildings by development (such as the threatened loss of the 1912 Roland S. Hoyt bungalow on Falcon Street with the "Paso de Mission Hills" condo development) brings up several CEQA issues that are NOT being currently addressed by the City.

Please listen to the community and process the ordinance as originally recommended by Uptown Planners, the community and

001640

supported by preservation groups.

Thank you for your time and interest.

Sincerely,

Allen Hazard
Resident of the Uptown Community

1824 Sunset Blvd
San Diego 92103

CC: <toniatkins@sandiego.gov>, <MPangilinan@sandiego.gov>, "Kevin Faulconer"
<KevinFaulconer@sandiego.gov>, "Steve McNally" <SMcNally@sandiego.gov>, "James Lawson"
<jmlawson@sandiego.gov>, "Marianne Greene" <MarGreene@sandiego.gov>

001641




Petitions in
Support

**PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE
FOR HEIGHT LIMITATION IN PORTIONS OF THE
UPTOWN AREA OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO**

001643

To: Mayor and City Council
City of San Diego, California

1. We, the undersigned, agree that an update to the 1989 Uptown Community Plan and related zoning is needed, so the entire community can re-evaluate the guidelines for future development in Uptown.
2. We agree that an *Interim Ordinance* should be enacted to ensure that new construction projects will not conflict with contemplated changes to the community plan or zoning, pending completion of the update.
3. We petition you to enact an *Interim Ordinance* limiting heights for new construction in the following blocks of Uptown, where 150 feet (or approximately 15 stories) is currently allowed:
 - 65 feet (approx. 6 stories) along 4th, 5th and 6th Avenue, from Laurel St. to University Avenue.
 - 65 feet (approx. 6 stories) along University Avenue, from 3rd Avenue to Park Blvd.
 - 50 feet (approx. 5 stories) along Washington Street from Dove to Ibis Street in Mission Hills
4. The undersigned hereby certify under penalty of perjury that they own real property or a business, or reside in the Uptown community planning area, at the address identified below:

Signature	Printed Name	Address of Signor	Resident (R) Business (B)	Telephone or Email (optional)
	Amy Becker	2112 Pine St 92103	R	
	Jake Douglas	11 92103	R	
	ROBERT JONES	1566 PLUMOSA 92103	R	

**PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE
FOR HEIGHT LIMITATION IN PORTIONS OF THE
UPTOWN AREA OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO**

001644

To: Mayor and City Council
City of San Diego, California

1. We, the undersigned, agree that an update to the 1989 Uptown Community Plan and related zoning is needed, so the entire community can re-evaluate the guidelines for future development in Uptown.
2. We agree that an *Interim Ordinance* should be enacted to ensure that new construction projects will not conflict with contemplated changes to the community plan or zoning, pending completion of the update.
3. We petition you to enact an *Interim Ordinance* limiting heights for new construction in the following blocks of Uptown, where 150 feet (or approximately 15 stories) is currently allowed:
 - 65 feet (approx. 6 stories) along 4th, 5th and 6th Avenue, from Laurel St. to University Avenue.
 - 65 feet (approx. 6 stories) along University Avenue, from 3rd Avenue to Park Blvd.
 - 50 feet (approx. 5 stories) along Washington Street from Dove to Ibis Street in Mission Hills
4. The undersigned hereby certify under penalty of perjury that they own real property or a business, or reside in the Uptown community planning area, at the address identified below:




Signature	Printed Name	Address of Signor	Resident (R) Business (B)	Telephone or Email (optional)
<i>Jane Ligon</i>	Jane Ligon	4152 Ibis St ⁹²¹⁰³	R	benz4b@cox.net
<i>Dottie Surli</i>	DOTTIE SURLI	9215 PLANTINO WAY ⁹²¹⁰⁸	B	dottie.surli@sun.com
<i>K.T. Engelhorn</i>	K.T. Engelhorn	4232 Withaby St ⁹²¹⁰³	R	KTE12@SBCGLOBAL.NET
<i>Bette C. Farnsworth</i>	Bette C. Farnsworth	1884 Lyndon Rd ⁹²¹⁰³	R	bettefarns@aol.com
<i>LeAnn M Porter</i>	LeAnn M PORTER	3910 BANDWIST ⁹²¹⁰³	R	KILGAST@COX.NET
<i>Priscilla Murphy</i>	PRISCILLA MURPHY	4316 Hawk St ⁹²¹⁰³	R	pmurphy187@sbcglobal.net
<i>Charles G. Leiber</i>	CHARLES G. LEIBER	4139 PALMETTO WAY ⁹²¹⁰³	R	CGLEFPD@YAHOO.COM
<i>William Parks</i>	William Parks	4384 AREADIA ⁹²¹⁰³	R	William@SAGE.COM

**PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE
FOR HEIGHT LIMITATION IN PORTIONS OF THE
UPTOWN AREA OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO**

✓
001645

To: Mayor and City Council
City of San Diego, California

1. We, the undersigned, agree that an update to the 1989 Uptown Community Plan and related zoning is needed, so the entire community can re-evaluate the guidelines for future development in Uptown.
2. We agree that an *Interim Ordinance* should be enacted to ensure that new construction projects will not conflict with contemplated changes to the community plan or zoning, pending completion of the update.
3. We petition you to enact an *Interim Ordinance* limiting heights for new construction in the following blocks of Uptown, where 150 feet (or approximately 15 stories) is currently allowed:
 - 65 feet (approx. 6 stories) along 4th, 5th and 6th Avenue, from Laurel St. to University Avenue.
 - 65 feet (approx. 6 stories) along University Avenue, from 3rd Avenue to Park Blvd.
 - 50 feet (approx. 5 stories) along Washington Street from Dove to Ibis Street in Mission Hills
4. The undersigned hereby certify under penalty of perjury that they own real property or a business, or reside in the Uptown community planning area, at the address identified below:

Signature	Printed Name	Address of Signor	Resident (R) Business (B)	Telephone or Email (optional)
	Diane Smith	2212 Pine St ⁹²¹⁰³		dsmithhdo@cox.net
	WILLIAM R. GRAY	4364 Hawk St ⁹²¹⁰³	R	b.gray@6cubs.net
	BILL D'ALLAIRD	2315 Ft. Stockton ⁹²¹⁰³	R	
	PENNY D'ALAIRD	2315 Ft. Stockton Dr ⁹²¹⁰³	R	pennidal@cox.net
	ROBERT McLeod	4490 Hermosa Way ⁹²¹⁰³	R	rm@LSC-LAW.NET
	Bob Vinton	1101 Hunter ⁹²¹⁰³	R	
	PATRICIA MAHONEY	4263 HERMOSA WAY ⁹²¹⁰³	R	619-297-7893 - PLM324@aol.com

**PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE
FOR HEIGHT LIMITATION IN PORTIONS OF THE
UPTOWN AREA OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO**

**To: Mayor and City Council
City of San Diego, California**

1. We, the undersigned, agree that an update to the 1989 Uptown Community Plan and related zoning is needed, so the entire community can re-evaluate the guidelines for future development in Uptown.
2. We agree that an *Interim Ordinance* should be enacted to ensure that new construction projects will not conflict with contemplated changes to the community plan or zoning, pending completion of the update.
3. We petition you to enact an *Interim Ordinance* limiting heights for new construction in the following blocks of Uptown, where 150 feet (or approximately 15 stories) is currently allowed:
 - 65 feet (approx. 6 stories) along 4th, 5th and 6th Avenue, from Laurel St. to University Avenue.
 - 65 feet (approx. 6 stories) along University Avenue, from 3rd Avenue to Park Blvd.
 - 50 feet (approx. 5 stories) along Washington Street from Dove to Ibis Street in Mission Hills
4. The undersigned hereby certify under penalty of perjury that they own real property or a business, or reside in the Uptown community planning area, at the address identified below:

Signature	Printed Name	Address of Signor	Resident (R) Business (B)	Telephone or Email (optional)
<i>Kristin Harris</i>	Kristin Harris	<i>Kristin Harris</i>	R	619-297-1216
<i>Jennifer Hirsch</i>	Jennifer Hirsch	2111 Meade Ave, SD, CA 92116	R	619-295-7768
<i>Rick Wilson</i>	Rick Wilson	3833 Front St 92103	R	619-294-9651
<i>Margaret Colleigh</i>	Margaret Colleigh	800 Hayes Ave 92103	R	(heights are still too tall!)
<i>Sandra Roberts</i>	Sandra Roberts	820 Hayes Ave 92103	R	619-553-1235
<i>Beth Sworski</i>	Beth Sworski	1033 Lincoln Ave 92103	R	
<i>Therese L. Tzell</i>	Therese L. Tzell	3951 California St 92116	R	619-806-7945
<i>Kim Adams</i>	Kim Adams	2135 Pine St. 92103	R	







✓

001647

**PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE
FOR HEIGHT LIMITATION IN PORTIONS OF THE
UPTOWN AREA OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO**

**To: Mayor and City Council
City of San Diego, California**

1. We, the undersigned, agree that an update to the 1989 Uptown Community Plan and related zoning is needed, so the entire community can re-evaluate the guidelines for future development in Uptown.
2. We agree that an *Interim Ordinance* should be enacted to ensure that new construction projects will not conflict with contemplated changes to the community plan or zoning, pending completion of the update.
3. We petition you to enact an *Interim Ordinance* limiting heights for new construction in the following blocks of Uptown, where 150 feet (or approximately 15 stories) is currently allowed:
 - 65 feet (approx. 6 stories) along 4th, 5th and 6th Avenue, from Laurel St. to University Avenue.
 - 65 feet (approx. 6 stories) along University Avenue, from 3rd Avenue to Park Blvd.
 - 50 feet (approx. 5 stories) along Washington Street from Dove to Ibis Street in Mission Hills
4. The undersigned hereby certify under penalty of perjury that they own real property or a business, or reside in the Uptown community planning area, at the address identified below:

Signature	Printed Name	Address of Signor	Resident (R) Business (B)	Telephone or Email (optional)
	Mary Wendorf	1405 Meade Ave 92116	R	mwendorf@uptownplanners.org
	ROSS LOPEZ	1747 HAMLOCK ST ⁹²¹¹¹	B	ROSS@ATHLETICCENTER.INFO
	MARILEE KAPSA	2701 2 nd AV #302 ⁹²¹⁰³	R	619 531 0724
	John Malloch	1409 Meade Ave ⁹²¹¹⁶	R	
	Lori Marwan	1409 Meade Ave ⁹²¹¹⁶	R	
	Jennifer Neivert	3858 1st Ave. #3 ⁹²¹⁰³	R	jneivert@hotmail.com
	JAMES A BURKHARDT	3928 TIERED AVE ⁹²¹⁰³	B	858 571 1181
	Kalista Mountjoy	3815 3rd ave ⁹²¹⁰³	R	



✓

001648

**PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE
FOR HEIGHT LIMITATION IN PORTIONS OF THE
UPTOWN AREA OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO**

**To: Mayor and City Council
City of San Diego, California**

1. We, the undersigned, agree that an update to the 1989 Uptown Community Plan and related zoning is needed, so the entire community can re-evaluate the guidelines for future development in Uptown.
2. We agree that an *Interim Ordinance* should be enacted to ensure that new construction projects will not conflict with contemplated changes to the community plan or zoning, pending completion of the update.
3. We petition you to enact an *Interim Ordinance* limiting heights for new construction in the following blocks of Uptown, where 150 feet (or approximately 15 stories) is currently allowed:
 - 65 feet (approx. 6 stories) along 4th, 5th and 6th Avenue, from Laurel St. to University Avenue.
 - 65 feet (approx. 6 stories) along University Avenue, from 3rd Avenue to Park Blvd.
 - 50 feet (approx. 5 stories) along Washington Street from Dove to Ibis Street in Mission Hills
4. The undersigned hereby certify under penalty of perjury that they own real property or a business, or reside in the Uptown community planning area, at the address identified below:

Signature	Printed Name	Address of Signor	Resident (R) Business (B)	Telephone or Email (optional)
	BRIAN SCOTTRADER	1411 TORRANCE ⁹²¹⁰³	R	
	Leonard Kalhanjian	3609 Cierbero St ⁹²¹⁰³	R	Vols
	Denise Bruckshaw	3720 Vermont St ⁹²¹⁰³	R	619 298 7845
	Heather Wosough	3980 8th Ave. #112 ⁹²¹⁰³	R	619 795 8866
	LORETA MCNEELY	2215 Adams Ave SD ⁹²¹¹⁴	R	619 228-2648
	William Ellig	4641 Camino Ave #6 ⁹²¹¹⁴	R	
	R John Stool	4455 Arch St ⁹²¹¹⁴	R	840 1479
	Pat Johnston	4455 Arch st. ⁹²¹¹⁴	R	




✓

001649

**PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE
FOR HEIGHT LIMITATION IN PORTIONS OF THE
UPTOWN AREA OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO**

To: Mayor and City Council
City of San Diego, California

1. We, the undersigned, agree that an update to the 1989 Uptown Community Plan and related zoning is needed, so the entire community can re-evaluate the guidelines for future development in Uptown.
2. We agree that an *Interim Ordinance* should be enacted to ensure that new construction projects will not conflict with contemplated changes to the community plan or zoning, pending completion of the update.
3. We petition you to enact an *Interim Ordinance* limiting heights for new construction in the following blocks of Uptown, where 150 feet (or approximately 15 stories) is currently allowed:
 - 65 feet (approx. 6 stories) along 4th, 5th and 6th Avenue, from Laurel St. to University Avenue.
 - 65 feet (approx. 6 stories) along University Avenue, from 3rd Avenue to Park Blvd.
 - 50 feet (approx. 5 stories) along Washington Street from Dove to Ibis Street in Mission Hills
4. The undersigned hereby certify under penalty of perjury that they own real property or a business, or reside in the Uptown community planning area, at the address identified below:

Signature	Printed Name	Address of Signor	Resident (R) Business (B)	Telephone or Email (optional)
	Ann Garwood	3742-B Seventh Ave ⁹²¹⁰³	B	adiwk@ix.net
	MICHAEL KELLY	4178 VERMONT ST ⁹²¹⁰³	R	
	RALPH DILTS	2611 ADAMS AVE ⁹²¹¹⁴	B	rdilts@cr-sd.com

Reduplicate?

**PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE
FOR HEIGHT LIMITATION IN PORTIONS OF THE
UPTOWN AREA OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO**



MISSION HILLS HERITAGE

4019 Goldfinch Street #221
San Diego, CA 92103

001650

**To: Mayor and City Council
City of San Diego, California**

1. We, the undersigned, agree that an update to the 1989 Uptown Community Plan and related zoning is needed, so the entire community can re-evaluate the guidelines for future development in Uptown.
2. We agree that an *Interim Ordinance* should be enacted to ensure that new construction projects will not conflict with contemplated changes to the community plan or zoning, pending completion of the update.
3. We petition you to enact an *Interim Ordinance* limiting heights for new construction in the following blocks of Uptown, where 150 feet (or approximately 15 stories) is currently allowed:
 - 65 feet (approx. 6 stories) along 4th, 5th and 6th Avenue, from Laurel St. to University Avenue.
 - 65 feet (approx. 6 stories) along University Avenue, from 3rd Avenue to Park Blvd.
 - 50 feet (approx. 5 stories) along Washington Street from Dove to Ibis Street in Mission Hills
4. The undersigned hereby certify under penalty of perjury that they own real property or a business, or reside in the Uptown community planning area, at the address identified below:


Signature	Printed Name	Address of Signor	Resident (R) Business (B)	Telephone or Email (optional)
	SCOTT MADEN	4055 Stephens St ⁹²¹⁰³	R	619 297 1058
	Jeana Preston	2140 Sunset Blvd ⁹²¹⁰³	R	619-298-5291
	Dolores E. Ford	4382 AMPUDIA St ⁹²¹⁰³	R	619-291-2617

**PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE
FOR HEIGHT LIMITATION IN PORTIONS OF THE
UPTOWN AREA OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO**

001651

To: Mayor and City Council
City of San Diego, California

1. We, the undersigned, agree that an update to the 1989 Uptown Community Plan and related zoning is needed, so the entire community can re-evaluate the guidelines for future development in Uptown.
2. We agree that an *Interim Ordinance* should be enacted to ensure that new construction projects will not conflict with contemplated changes to the community plan or zoning, pending completion of the update.
3. We petition you to enact an *Interim Ordinance* limiting heights for new construction in the following blocks of Uptown, where 150 feet (or approximately 15 stories) is currently allowed:
 - 65 feet (approx. 6 stories) along 4th, 5th and 6th Avenue, from Laurel St. to University Avenue.
 - 65 feet (approx. 6 stories) along University Avenue, from 3rd Avenue to Park Blvd.
 - 50 feet (approx. 5 stories) along Washington Street from Dove to Ibis Street in Mission Hills
4. The undersigned hereby certify under penalty of perjury that they own real property or a business, or reside in the Uptown community planning area, at the address identified below:



Signature	Printed Name	Address of Signor	Resident (R) Business (B)	Telephone or Email (optional)
	Rob Johnson	4215 Stephens St 92103	R	
	nancygarner	4380 Valle Vista 92103	R	619-465-8006-

001652

**PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE
FOR HEIGHT LIMITATION IN PORTIONS OF THE
UPTOWN AREA OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO**

**To: Mayor and City Council
City of San Diego, California**

1. We, the undersigned, agree that an update to the 1989 Uptown Community Plan and related zoning is needed, so the entire community can re-evaluate the guidelines for future development in Uptown.
2. We agree that an *Interim Ordinance* should be enacted to ensure that new construction projects will not conflict with contemplated changes to the community plan or zoning, pending completion of the update.
3. We petition you to enact an *Interim Ordinance* limiting heights for new construction in the following blocks of Uptown, where 150 feet (or approximately 15 stories) is currently allowed:
 - 65 feet (approx. 6 stories) along 4th, 5th and 6th Avenue, from Laurel St. to University Avenue.
 - 65 feet (approx. 6 stories) along University Avenue, from 3rd Avenue to Park Blvd.
 - 50 feet (approx. 5 stories) along Washington Street from Dove to Ibis Street in Mission Hills
4. The undersigned hereby certify under penalty of perjury that they own real property or a business, or reside in the Uptown community planning area, at the address identified below:

Signature	Printed Name	Address of Signor	Resident (R) Business (B)	Telephone or Email (optional)
	Michael H. White	1530 Fort Stockton Dr. SD 92103 CARLSBAD 92011	R	619-962-7868
	MELANIE THOMSON	7319 LINDEN TERRACE 92103	R	920 920 9980
	DAVID KORDA	2170 PINE ST 92103	R	619 298-8273
	RONALD G. LEHMAN	4321 VALLE VISTA 92103	R	619-296-5745
	Susan R. Lehman	4321 Valle Vista 92103	R	(619) 296-5745







✓

001653

**PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE
FOR HEIGHT LIMITATION IN PORTIONS OF THE
UPTOWN AREA OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO**

To: Mayor and City Council
City of San Diego, California

1. We, the undersigned, agree that an update to the 1989 Uptown Community Plan and related zoning is needed, so the entire community can re-evaluate the guidelines for future development in Uptown.
2. We agree that an *Interim Ordinance* should be enacted to ensure that new construction projects will not conflict with contemplated changes to the community plan or zoning, pending completion of the update.
3. We petition you to enact an *Interim Ordinance* limiting heights for new construction in the following blocks of Uptown, where 150 feet (or approximately 15 stories) is currently allowed:
 - 65 feet (approx. 6 stories) along 4th, 5th and 6th Avenue, from Laurel St. to University Avenue.
 - 65 feet (approx. 6 stories) along University Avenue, from 3rd Avenue to Park Blvd.
 - 50 feet (approx. 5 stories) along Washington Street from Dove to Ibis Street in Mission Hills
4. The undersigned hereby certify under penalty of perjury that they own real property or a business, or reside in the Uptown community planning area, at the address identified below:

Signature	Printed Name	Address of Signor	Resident (R) Business (B)	Telephone or Email (optional)
	ROB REBUT	3056 GRANADA ⁹²¹⁰⁴	R	
	* NEIL S. WRIGHT	543 PARADISE DR ^X	R	
	Carol R. Kelly	6483 Cam Baltusra ⁹²⁰³⁷ La Jolla	R	
	PHILIP W. HARRY	876 GOLDEN PARK ⁹²¹⁰⁶ SAN DIEGO, CA	R	
	Kay M. Harry	876 Golden Park ⁹²¹⁰⁶ San Diego	R	
	WILLIAM BARRY	8608 Villa La Jolla ⁹²⁰³⁷ LA JOLLA	R	
	ELLEN KULH	8608 Villa La Jolla Dr La Jolla 92037	R	
	* Bill Nuff	ARISTON ST ^X	R	

PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE
FOR HEIGHT LIMITATION IN PORTIONS OF THE
UPTOWN AREA OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

To: Mayor and City Council
City of San Diego, California

1. We, the undersigned, agree that an update to the 1989 Uptown Community Plan and related zoning is needed, so the entire community can re-evaluate the guidelines for future development in Uptown.
2. We agree that an *Interim Ordinance* should be enacted to ensure that new construction projects will not conflict with contemplated changes to the community plan or zoning, pending completion of the update.
3. We petition you to enact an *Interim Ordinance* limiting heights for new construction in the following blocks of Uptown, where 150 feet (or approximately 15 stories) is currently allowed:
 - 65 feet (approx. 6 stories) along 4th, 5th and 6th Avenue, from Laurel St. to University Avenue.
 - 65 feet (approx. 6 stories) along University Avenue, from 3rd Avenue to Park Blvd.
 - 50 feet (approx. 5 stories) along Washington Street from Dove to Ibis Street in Mission Hills
4. The undersigned hereby certify under penalty of perjury that they own real property or a business, or reside in the Uptown community planning area, at the address identified below:

Signature	Printed Name	Address of Signor	Resident (R) Business (B)	Telephone or Email (optional)
<i>Cynthia L. Pellett</i>	Cynthia L. Pellett	4338 Mississippi St #6 ⁹²¹¹⁴	R/B	619-543-1208
<i>Stephen L. Pellett</i>	Stephen L. Pellett	4338 Mississippi St #6 ⁹²¹¹⁴	R/B	619-543-1208
<i>Ray Allen</i>	Raymond D. Mann	4145 Miller St ⁹²¹⁰³	R	
<i>Bob Lane</i>	Bob Lane	8685 Rio San Diego ⁹²¹⁰⁸	R	619-616
<i>Robert Comwell</i>	Robert Comwell	2161 Garnet ⁹²¹⁰⁹	R	
<i>Pamela Zwillinger</i>	Pamela Zwillinger	1646 29th St. ⁹²¹⁰²	R	
<i>Constance Neuman</i>	Constance Neuman	4823 Bellvedere Dr. ⁹²⁰³⁶	R	760-765-4712
<i>Rosalie Meyer</i>	Rosalie Meyer	2230 Hat. Death Rd ⁹²¹⁰⁶	R	619 5010323

**PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE
FOR HEIGHT LIMITATION IN PORTIONS OF THE
UPTOWN AREA OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO**

001655

To: Mayor and City Council
City of San Diego, California

1. We, the undersigned, agree that an update to the 1989 Uptown Community Plan and related zoning is needed, so the entire community can re-evaluate the guidelines for future development in Uptown.
2. We agree that an *Interim Ordinance* should be enacted to ensure that new construction projects will not conflict with contemplated changes to the community plan or zoning, pending completion of the update.
3. We petition you to enact an *Interim Ordinance* limiting heights for new construction in the following blocks of Uptown, where 150 feet (or approximately 15 stories) is currently allowed:
 - 65 feet (approx. 6 stories) along 4th, 5th and 6th Avenue, from Laurel St. to University Avenue.
 - 65 feet (approx. 6 stories) along University Avenue, from 3rd Avenue to Park Blvd.
 - 50 feet (approx. 5 stories) along Washington Street from Dove to Ibis Street in Mission Hills
4. The undersigned hereby certify under penalty of perjury that they own real property or a business, or reside in the Uptown community planning area, at the address identified below:

Signature	Printed Name	Address of Signor	Resident (R) Business (B)	Telephone or Email (optional)
	Leslie Assau-van Roon	4316 Hermosa Wy 92103	R	
	Paul Van Roon	4316 Hermosa Way 92103	R	
	Chris Carpenter	3839 Hawk St 92103	R	
	Ruth Christopherson	' '	R	
	[unclear]			
	Dennis Gray	3415 W. JUNIPER #2	R	
	Alex D. Bevil	4752 Mt. Lungs Drive SAN DIEGO, CA 92117	R	abevil@san.rr.com
	Antoinette Goalbody	3225 Granada Ave 92104	R	

Interim Height Ordinance Electronic Petitions

001656

<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: toomilk@yahoo.com ----- Please enter your name: Kevin Highland ----- Please enter your address: 3415 Lebon Drive #228 San Diego, CA 92122 ----- Please enter your phone: -----</p>	<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: hollywood17@cox.net ----- Please enter your name: Sara Solomon ----- Please enter your address: 3802 CEntre Street ----- Please enter your phone: 619-291-7292 -----</p>
<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: evcouto@nethere.com ----- Please enter your name: Everett Couto ----- Please enter your address: 4046 Mississippi Street #7 SanDiego, Ca 92104-2468 ----- Please enter your phone: 619-269-2197 -----</p>	<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: richard.s.cohen@gmail.com ----- Please enter your name: Richard Cohen ----- Please enter your address: 1320 Fort Stockton Drive San Diego, CA 92103 ----- Please enter your phone: -----</p>

Interim Height Ordinance Electronic Petitions

001657

<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: nmanos423@aol.com ----- Please enter your name: Nancy Manos ----- Please enter your address: 1230 Pennsylvania Avenue San Diego, California 92103 ----- Please enter your phone: -----</p>	<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: dguillen@hotellajolla.com ----- Please enter your name: Dora Guillen ----- Please enter your address: 4794 Arizona #306 San Diego, CA. 92116 ----- Please enter your phone: 619-316-4046 -----</p>
<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: dguillen@hotellajolla.com ----- Please enter your name: Dora Guillen ----- Please enter your address: 4794 Arizona #306 San Diego, CA. 92116 ----- Please enter your phone: 619-316-4046 -----</p>	<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: jimmy3691@hotmail.com ----- Please enter your name: Jim Smithson ----- Please enter your address: 1270 Cleveland Avenue F-147 San Diego CA 92103 ----- Please enter your phone: -----</p>

Interim Height Ordinance Electronic Petitions

001658

PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE

Please enter your e-mail address:
carrieschneider@cox.net

Please enter your name: Carrie Schneider

Please enter your address: 2621 32ND St
San Diego CA 92104

Please enter your phone: 619 282-3645

PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE

Please enter your e-mail address: jswink@adnc.com

Please enter your name: Judith A. Swink

Please enter your address: 2289 Caminito Pasada 106
San Diego CA 92107

Please enter your phone: 619-224-3275

PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE

Please enter your e-mail address: lrdoria@cox.net

Please enter your name: Larry Doria

Please enter your address: 4055 Falcon Street #202
San Diego, CA 92103

Please enter your phone: 619.295.0413

PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE

Please enter your e-mail address:
blkmanshakerhts@aol.com

Please enter your name: richard hughes

Please enter your address: 3077 vancouver ave, san
diego, ca 92104

Please enter your phone: 619-886-9514

Interim Height Ordinance Electronic Petitions

001659

<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: jaycoffman@cox.net ----- Please enter your name: Diana Blanton ----- Please enter your address: 1601 Myrtle Ave San Diego, CA 92103 ----- Please enter your phone: 619 501-5524 -----</p>	<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: Palbenson@aol.com ----- Please enter your name: Paul Benson ----- Please enter your address: 111 W. Pennsylvania Av #3D San Diego, Ca 92103 ----- Please enter your phone: 619-299-0960 -----</p>
<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: istiegler@isarchitecture.com ----- Please enter your name: Ione R. Stiegler ----- Please enter your address: 6322 Via Maria ----- Please enter your phone: 858-456-8555 -----</p>	<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: gayebec@aol.com ----- Please enter your name: Gaye Taubensee ----- Please enter your address: 3571 Curlew Street SD, CA 92103 ----- Please enter your phone: 6192985002 -----</p>

Interim Height Ordinance Electronic Petitions

001660

<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: muktigal@sbcglobal.net ----- Please enter your name: Denise Bradshaw ----- Please enter your address: 3720 Vermont St., SD 92103 mailing address: P.O Box 34625, SD 92163 ----- Please enter your phone: 619) 298-7845 -----</p>	<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: bentiago@gmail.com ----- Please enter your name: Ben Santiago ----- Please enter your address: 3794 Third Avenue San Diego, CA 92103 ----- Please enter your phone: -----</p>
<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: sunshine_flyhigh@yahoo.com ----- Please enter your name: Tammy L. West ----- Please enter your address: 3814 4th Avenue San Diego, Ca 92103 ----- Please enter your phone: 619-294-9230 -----</p>	<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: drcage@cox.net ----- Please enter your name: Dori and Jim Cage ----- Please enter your address: 4105 Alameda Drive San Diego CA 92103 ----- Please enter your phone: -----</p>

Interim Height Ordinance Electronic Petitions

001661

<p>----- PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: nbarger@ucsd.edu ----- Please enter your name: Nicole Barger ----- Please enter your address: 3717 4th Ave. San Diego, CA ----- Please enter your phone: -----</p>	<p>----- PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: bbgoltz@cox.net ----- Please enter your name: Barbara Goltz ----- Please enter your address: 4240 Arguello Street San Diego, CA ----- Please enter your phone: 619 299-0440 -----</p>
<p>----- PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: vmhyde@juno.com ----- Please enter your name: Virginia Hyde ----- Please enter your address: 1844 Altamira Place San Diego Ca 92103 ----- Please enter your phone: 619-291-7693 -----</p>	<p>----- PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: itsv@sbcglobal.net ----- Please enter your name: veronica Longstreth ----- Please enter your address: 2225 pine st. san diego, ca 92103 ----- Please enter your phone: -----</p>

Interim Height Ordinance Electronic Petitions

001662

<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: hawesjjaa@cox.net ----- Please enter your name: Janet Hawes ----- Please enter your address: 1849 Lyndon Road San Diego, CA 92103 ----- Please enter your phone: -----</p>	<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: sue05152003@yahoo.com ----- Please enter your name: Suzanne R. Sorger ----- Please enter your address: 3686 Eagle Street San Diego, CA 92103 ----- Please enter your phone: -----</p>
<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: nrdean26@cox.net ----- Please enter your name: Nelly Dean ----- Please enter your address: 4145 Bandini St. San Diego, CA 92103 ----- Please enter your phone: 619-675-2416 -----</p>	<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: martys1111@aol.com ----- Please enter your name: Elizabeth Sutton ----- Please enter your address: 1630 W Arbot Dr. San Diego, CA 92103 ----- Please enter your phone: 619 299 1910 -----</p>

Interim Height Ordinance Electronic Petitions

001663

Duplicate

<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your e-mail address: terry@terrymaltby.com</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your name: Terry Maltby</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your address: 2251 5th Avenue San Diego, Ca. 92101</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your phone: 619.686.5338</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your e-mail address: terry@terrymaltby.com</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your name: Terry Maltby</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your address: 2251 5th Avenue San Diego, Ca. 92101</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your phone: 619.686.5338</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your e-mail address: sschottl@ucsd.edu</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your name: sherri schottlaender</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your address: 1411 Torrance Street San Diego 92103</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your phone:</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your e-mail address: sborden2001@yahoo.com</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your name: Scott Borden</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your address: 4328 Randolph St San Diego Ca 92103</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your phone:</p> <p>-----</p>

Interim Height Ordinance Electronic Petitions

001664

<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: mvinck@cox.net ----- Please enter your name: Mary Ann Vinck ----- Please enter your address: 1877 Lyndon Road San Diego, ca 92103 ----- Please enter your phone: 619-269-8515 -----</p>	<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: ellenpreston@cox.net ----- Please enter your name: ellen preston ----- Please enter your address: 1825 sheridan ave 92103 ----- Please enter your phone: 619-255-2110 -----</p>
<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: copacabana500@yahoo.com ----- Please enter your name: Tracy Lawson ----- Please enter your address: 3835 3rd Ave Apt 4 San Diego, CA 92103 ----- Please enter your phone: 619-546-0587 -----</p>	<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: jj.hyde@cox.net ----- Please enter your name: Julianne Peters-Hyde ----- Please enter your address: 1035 Myrtle Way San Diego, CA 92103 ----- Please enter your phone: -----</p>

Interim Height Ordinance Electronic Petitions

001665

<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: jay.hyde@cox.net ----- Please enter your name: Jay Hyde ----- Please enter your address: 1035 Myrtle Way San Diego, CA 92103 ----- Please enter your phone: 619-296-0961 -----</p>	<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: wchandler@sdic.com ----- Please enter your name: William S. Chandler ----- Please enter your address: 3820 Fourth Avenue San Diego, CA 92103 ----- Please enter your phone: 619-291-7765 -----</p>
<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: CFMSD@YAHOO.COM ----- Please enter your name: CAROLINE F. MARKS ----- Please enter your address: 3940 7TH AVENUE #113 SAN DIEGO, CA 92103 ----- Please enter your phone: -----</p>	<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: uhcdc@netzero.net ----- Please enter your name: Ernestine Bonn ----- Please enter your address: 4452 Park Blvd., #104 San Diego, CA 92116 ----- Please enter your phone: 619-297-3166 -----</p>

Interim Height Ordinance Electronic Petitions

001666

<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: jgacoscos@gmail.com ----- Please enter your name: Joseph Gacoscos ----- Please enter your address: 3815 3rd Ave #11 San Diego, CA 92103 ----- Please enter your phone: 858-405-4304 -----</p>	<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: techi@avcite.com ----- Please enter your name: Wayne Chatham ----- Please enter your address: 3815 3rd Ave #11 San Diego CA 92103 ----- Please enter your phone: 858-405-4303 -----</p>
<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: weidnerc@yahoo.com ----- Please enter your name: Carl Weidner ----- Please enter your address: 2251 Fifth Avenue San Diego, CA 92101 ----- Please enter your phone: 619-686-5339 days -----</p>	<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: cbennett17@cox.net ----- Please enter your name: Chad Bennett ----- Please enter your address: 3815 3rd Ave. #15 San Diego, CA 92103 ----- Please enter your phone: -----</p>

Interim Height Ordinance Electronic Petitions

001667

<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your e-mail address: irishfergal@gmail.com</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your name: Fergal O'Doherty</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your address: 3790 Florida Street San Diego CA 92104</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your phone: 619-220-0957</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your e-mail address: friuli_italiano@yahoo.com</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your name: Dino G. Tomini</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your address: 4737 Felton Street #2 San Diego, CA 92116-1853</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your phone:</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your e-mail address: clayteel@yahoo.com</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your name: Harry Teel</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your address: 3940 7th Ave Unit 205 San Diego, CA 92103</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your phone: 619-218-1089</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your e-mail address: dolly.wilson@att.net</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your name: Dolly Wilson</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your address: 3305 Moraga Place San Diego, CA 92117.</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your phone: 858-274-4736</p> <p>-----</p>

Interim Height Ordinance Electronic Petitions

001668

<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your e-mail address: dmazzatlaw@aol.com</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your name: Peggy Mazzella</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your address: 1242 Myrtle Avenue San Diego, CA 92103</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your phone:</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your e-mail address: tswopejr@cox.net</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your name: Thomas Swope Jr.</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your address: 4420 Cleveland Ave. #13 San Diego CA 92116</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your phone: 619-297-7746</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your e-mail address: art333@cox.net</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your name: Arthur Henderson</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your address: 3510 Indiana Street, Apt. 7 San Diego, CA 92103-5243</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your phone:</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your e-mail address: sa_martin@earthlink.net</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your name: Steven Martin</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your address: 2154 Adams Ave San Diego, CA 92116</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your phone: 619 861-4007</p> <p>-----</p>

Interim Height Ordinance Electronic Petitions

001669

<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: myohol@cox.net ----- Please enter your name: Hugh Hadley Birdwell ----- Please enter your address: 3833 Front Street APT # 3 San Diego, CA 92103 3 blocks from proposed action ----- Please enter your phone: 619-220-8979 -----</p>	<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: SanD30@prodigy.net ----- Please enter your name: Carlos Martinez ----- Please enter your address: 3945 4th Avenue #2 San Diego CA 92103 ----- Please enter your phone: 619-298-4020 -----</p>
<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: glapole@hotmail.com ----- Please enter your name: george Lapole ----- Please enter your address: 3945 4th ave san diego, ca 92103 ----- Please enter your phone: 619 917-7056 -----</p>	<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: realestatecarla@aol.com ----- Please enter your name: Carla Coshow ----- Please enter your address: 1280 Cleveland Ave #2 San Diego, CA 92103 ----- Please enter your phone: 619-293-0173 -----</p>

Interim Height Ordinance Electronic Petitions

001670

<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: sylks7@gmail.com ----- Please enter your name: Christine Murphy ----- Please enter your address: 3533 Albatross Street 92103 ----- Please enter your phone: 619-253-1656 -----</p>	<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: leopowerhere@msn.com ----- Please enter your name: Leo E. Laurence, J.D. ----- Please enter your address: 3770 Tenth Ave. San Diego, CA 92103-4445 ----- Please enter your phone: -----</p>
<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: joetheatre@yahoo.com. ----- Please enter your name: Joseph Grienberger ----- Please enter your address: 3841 Fourth Avenue #144 San Diego, CA 92103 ----- Please enter your phone: 619-459-6529 -----</p>	<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: gaw324@yahoo.com ----- Please enter your name: George Wedemeyer ----- Please enter your address: 4110 Front Street San Diego, CA 92103 ----- Please enter your phone: -----</p>

Interim Height Ordinance Electronic Petitions

001671

<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: jtlimo@cox.net ----- Please enter your name: M. Boule ----- Please enter your address: 3624 Fifth Ave SD CA 92103 ----- Please enter your phone: -----</p>	<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: LEDITOR@cox.net ----- Please enter your name: Lee Schoenbart ----- Please enter your address: 4055 Falcon Street, Unit 103 San Diego 92103 ----- Please enter your phone: 619-299-9274 -----</p>
<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: ceilm@sbcglobal.net ----- Please enter your name: cecelia moreno ----- Please enter your address: 425 robinson avenue san diego ca 92103 ----- Please enter your phone: 619-253-3403 -----</p>	<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: marlywexler@cox.net ----- Please enter your name: marly Wexler ----- Please enter your address: 4314 1/2 campus ave san diego, ca 92103 ----- Please enter your phone: -----</p>

Interim Height Ordinance Electronic Petitions

001672

<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your e-mail address: sharonisrael@cox.net</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your name: Sharon Israel Roiz</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your address: 3940 7th Avenue, Loft 105 San Diego, CA 92103</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your phone: 619-299-2321</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your e-mail address: redhart@cox.net</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your name: Karen L. Ross</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your address: 1260 Cleveland Avenue #e- 108 San Diego, Ca. 92103</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your phone:</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your e-mail address: redhart@cox.net</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your name: Jana L. Hart</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your address: 1260 Cleveland Avenue #E- 108 San Diego, Ca. 92103</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your phone:</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your e-mail address: adinknancy@cox.net</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your name: Nancy Moors</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your address: 3742 Seventh Avenue "B" San Diego, 992103</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your phone: 619-298-0779</p> <p>-----</p>

Interim Height Ordinance Electronic Petitions

001673

PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE

Please enter your e-mail address:
djdacl968@hotmail.com

Please enter your name: George Rowles

Please enter your address: 3404 Grim Ave San Diego,
Ca. 92104

Please enter your phone:

PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE

Please enter your e-mail address: wingale@cox.net

Please enter your name: James Browning

Please enter your address: 3940 7th Avenue San Diego,
CA 92103

Please enter your phone: 6192915595

PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE

Please enter your e-mail address: henry@parker-
browning.com

Please enter your name: Henry Parker

Please enter your address: 3940 7th Ave
San Diego, CA
92103

Please enter your phone: 619 2915595

PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE

Please enter your e-mail address:
hartsell@laplayaheritage.com

Please enter your name: Conrad Hartsell, M.D.

Please enter your address: 371 San Fernando Street
San Diego, CA 92106

Please enter your phone: 619-523-4350

Interim Height Ordinance Electronic Petitions

001674

<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: rhodes@laplayaheritage.com ----- Please enter your name: Katheryn Rhodes ----- Please enter your address: 371 San Fernando Street San Diego, CA 92106 ----- Please enter your phone: 619-523-4350 -----</p>	<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: johnstrain60@sbcglobal.net ----- Please enter your name: John Strain ----- Please enter your address: 3852 4th Ave, Apt 2 San Diego, CA 92103 ----- Please enter your phone: 619-692-9955 -----</p>
<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: mail@alexstrom.com ----- Please enter your name: Travis Alexander ----- Please enter your address: 3790 3rd Avenue San Diego, CA 92103 ----- Please enter your phone: 619-501-3839 -----</p>	<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: john_snedden@msn.com ----- Please enter your name: John Snedden ----- Please enter your address: 3852 3rd Ave Apt 2 San Diego Ca 92103 ----- Please enter your phone: 619 29 7 0265 -----</p>

Interim Height Ordinance Electronic Petitions

001675

<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your e-mail address: jpseacadets@cox.net</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your name: John Primavera</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your address: 4122 Arizona St. San Diego, CA 92104</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your phone:</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your e-mail address: jpseacadets@cox.net</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your name: John Primavera</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your address: 4122 Arizona St. San Diego, CA 92104</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your phone:</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your e-mail address: SEELOS@COX.NET</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your name: CHERYL SEELOS</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your address: 1777 NEALE ST SAN DIEGO, CA 92103</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your phone: 619 829-2824</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your e-mail address: SEELOS@COX.NET</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your name: CHERYL SEELOS</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your address: 1777 NEALE ST SAN DIEGO, CA 92103</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your phone: 619 829-2824</p> <p>-----</p>

Interim Height Ordinance Electronic Petitions

001676

<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: seelos@cox.net ----- Please enter your name: ROBERT SEELOS ----- Please enter your address: 1777 NEALE ST SAN DIEGO, CA 92103 ----- Please enter your phone: 619 829-3313 -----</p>	<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: adinkann@cox.net ----- Please enter your name: ann garwood ----- Please enter your address: 3742-b seventh avenue san diego, ca 92103-4348 ----- Please enter your phone: (619) 298-0779 -----</p>
<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: jtlimo@cox.net ----- Please enter your name: John Taylor ----- Please enter your address: 3812 3rd Avenue San Diego, CA 92103 ----- Please enter your phone: 619-234-6450 -----</p>	<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE ----- Please enter your e-mail address: Michael_Olivas@cox.net ----- Please enter your name: Michael Olivas ----- Please enter your address: 3812 Third Ave. San Diego, CA 92103 ----- Please enter your phone: 619-234-6450 -----</p>

Interim Height Ordinance Electronic Petitions

001677

<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your e-mail address: matsu_dm@yahoo.com</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your name: Sam Matsunaga</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your address: 208 TOCOLOMA AVE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94134</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your phone:</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>PETITION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED INTERIM ORDINANCE</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your e-mail address: stevensatz@gmail.com</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your name: Steven Satz</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your address: 4245 Maryland Street San Diego, CA 92103</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Please enter your phone: 619 301 9028</p> <p>-----</p>
---	--