

ITEM 6

OVERSIGHT BOARD FOR CITY OF SAN DIEGO REDEVELOPMENT SUCCESSOR AGENCY

DATE OF ISSUANCE: 04/20/2012

SUBJECT: Organization of the Board

CONTACT/PHONE NUMBER: David Graham /236-6980

DESCRIPTIVE SUMMARY OF ITEM:

This is an informational report regarding redevelopment, the passage of AB 26, and the ongoing role of the Successor Agency.

SUBJECT: Report from the Successor Agency regarding Redevelopment in San Diego and the Role of the Successor Agency

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Receive report.

BACKGROUND:

The Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego ("Former RDA") was created by the City Council in 1958 to alleviate conditions of blight in older, urban areas. The Former RDA has been a significant tool for neighborhood revitalization, economic development, and a catalyst for drawing private investment into the City. The Former RDA had several goals to:

1. Eliminate Blight: To eliminate blight and urban decay.
2. Improve Public Infrastructure: To provide, upgrade, restore, and enhance public infrastructure and facilities.
3. Increase Housing Opportunities. To increase the supply of housing, improve housing conditions, and increase affordable housing opportunities.
4. Economic Development: To promote economic development activities which retain and expand business and employment opportunities.
5. Neighborhood Preservation: To enhance and preserve neighborhood character, and rehabilitate historical properties.

In 1975, the City Council established the Centre City Development Corporation ("CCDC") as a non-profit public corporation to manage redevelopment projects and activities in the downtown area. The Corporation is governed by the terms of the corporation bylaws and its operating agreement with the Former RDA.

In 1982, the City Council established the Southeastern Economic Development Corporation ("SEDC") as a non-profit public corporation to manage redevelopment and economic development projects and activities in the southeastern areas of San Diego. The Corporation is governed by the terms of the corporation bylaws and its operating agreement with the Former RDA.

ITEM 6

The City Redevelopment Department managed eleven adopted project areas including Barrio Logan, City Heights, College Community, College Grove, Crossroads, Grantville, Linda Vista, Naval Training Center, North Bay, North Park, and San Ysidro. The division performed general Redevelopment Agency administration including City and corporation activities. The City Redevelopment Department coordinated budget and State reporting requirements and maintained the Former RDA's meeting docket, official records and website. Since the passage of AB 26 City Redevelopment has undergone a Reduction in Force ("RIF") and the two corporations have undergone significant lay-offs.

AB 26 and AB 27 were passed by the State Legislature on June 15, 2011, and signed by Governor Edmund G. Brown Jr. on June 28, 2011. AB 26 immediately suspended all new redevelopment activity and dissolved all redevelopment agencies effective October 1, 2011. AB 27 established a voluntary alternative program whereby a redevelopment agency would be exempt from the dissolution measures of AB 26 if the legislative body were to enact an ordinance on or before October 1, 2011 to comply with the requirements of the "voluntary" payment program provided with AB 27. On August 1, 2011, the City and the Former RDA elected to "Opt-In" and to comply with the requirements of AB 27.

After redevelopment proponents filed litigation challenging AB 26 and AB 27 in July 2011, the California Supreme Court ("Court") issued a partial stay of those two bills on August 11, 2011 and further modified the stay on August 17, 2011. The modification expanded the operative provisions of AB 26 to include Sections 34167.5 through 34169.5, thereby activating provisions, including but not limited to, the requirement for redevelopment agencies to prepare and submit Enforceable Obligation Payment Schedules. These provisions applied even to jurisdictions like San Diego, who had earlier elected to Opt-In under AB 27.

The Former RDA prepared and adopted an original EOPS on September 13, 2011, and submitted the document to the State Controller, State Department of Finance, and the County Auditor-Controller pursuant to AB 26, specifically Health and Safety Code Section 34169(g). At the time the Former RDA prepared the EOPS it was anticipated that the Opt-In provisions of AB 27 would remain in effect or that both AB 26 and AB 27 would be struck down. In compliance with AB 26, the original EOPS included payment schedules for the months September through December 2011.

The Court's final opinion, issued on December 29, 2011, upheld AB 26, invalidated AB 27, and concluded that AB 26 could be enforced independently from AB 27. The Court's opinion set in motion short timeframes for agencies and legislative bodies to take necessary actions to comply with the unwinding of the redevelopment agencies pursuant to AB 26.

On January 10, 2012, the City Council designated the City to serve as the Successor Agency to the Former RDA and to retain the Former RDA's housing assets and assume the Former RDA's housing responsibilities pursuant to AB 26.

On January 31, 2012, the Former RDA adopted an Amended and Restated EOPS for the period January 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012, replacing the EOPS covering the period September 1,

ITEM 6

2011 through December 31, 2011. This Amended and Restated EOPS was transmitted to the City as Successor Agency, State Department of Finance ("DOF"), State Controller, and County Auditor-Controller. None of the State or County entities objected to the Amended and Restated EOPS during the applicable review period.

On February 1, 2012, the Former RDA dissolved and its rights, powers, duties and obligations vested in the Successor Agency. On February 13, 2012, the City Council adopted a resolution establishing certain policies and procedures (Attachment 1) that will govern the future operation of the Successor Agency. The Successor Agency and its Oversight Board are now tasked with overseeing the winding down of the Former RDA's affairs.

The Successor Agency is designated to administer existing enforceable obligations of the Former RDA and to wind down the operations of the Former RDA, subject to the review and approval of the Oversight Board and certain government entities, including the County Auditor-Controller, the State Controller and the DOF. The specific duties of the Oversight Board to approve and direct certain actions of the Successor Agency are described in Health and Safety Code sections 34180 and 34181.

Some of the activities of the Successor Agency, outlined in AB 26 include:

- continuing to make payments due for Enforceable Obligations
- maintaining reserves required by indentures governing outstanding redevelopment agency bonds;
- performing obligations required pursuant to any Enforceable Obligation;
- preparing a draft ROPS (including the identification of funding sources) for each six-month period of each fiscal year, for approval by the Oversight Board;
- continuing to oversee development of properties until the contracted work has been completed or transferred to other parties;
- ensuring that bond proceeds are used for the purposes for which the bonds were sold, unless the purposes can no longer be achieved, in which case bond proceeds may be utilized to defease the bonds;
- disposing of the Former RDA's unencumbered assets and properties;
- remitting to the County Auditor-Controller, for pro rata distribution to local taxing entities, all proceeds from asset sales and related funds that are no longer needed for approved development projects or to otherwise wind down the affairs of the Former RDA;
- enforcing all of the Former RDA's rights for the benefit of the local taxing entities, including collecting loans, rent and other revenues due to the Former RDA;
- effectuating the transfer of housing functions and assets to the appropriate entity (in this instance, the City also serves as the housing successor agency);
- preparing an administrative budget for each six-month period of each fiscal year, for approval by the Oversight Board.

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code Sections 34177 -34178, including modified timelines established by the Court's final opinion, the Successor Agency must take the following actions to initiate the wind down process:

ITEM 6

- create a Redevelopment Obligation Retirement Fund;
- review Former RDA's EOPS and adopt an EOPS as the Successor Agency;
- submit the Successor Agency's EOPS for approval to the Oversight Board;
- prepare the Initial Draft ROPS for the period January 31, 2012— June 30, 2012 and submit the draft to the County Auditor-Controller by March 1, 2012, for review and certification as to its accuracy pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 34182;
- submit a draft second ROPS for the period July 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012, to the County Auditor-Controller by June 30, 2012 (although the County Auditor-Controller has requested delivery of this document by April 15, 2012);
- submit the Successor Agency's draft ROPS to the Oversight Board for approval; and
- submit the Successor Agency's approved ROPS to the County Auditor-Controller, the DOF and the State Controller, and post it on the Successor Agency's web site.

The DOF and the State Controller each have the authority to require any documentation associated with the EOPS and any ROPS to be provided to them in a manner of their choosing.

The dissolution process and time frame as set out in AB 26 were based upon the dissolution of former redevelopment agencies on October 1, 2011. Agencies that did not elect to participate in the voluntary payment program pursuant to AB 27 were to be subject to the time frame for dissolution and establishment of successor agencies. Originally, AB 26 provided a relatively reasonable sequence of actions and time frames for the preparation, review, and certification of the EOPS and ROPS based upon a dissolution date of October 1, 2011. At that time, it was also anticipated that the vast majority of redevelopment agencies would participate in the voluntary payment program, such that they would not need to prepare and submit these complex documents for ongoing review, certification and management by various entities.

The Court's decision extended some, but not all, of the time frames in AB 26 by four months. This partial adjustment of dates created extraordinarily short timeframes for the Successor Agency to prepare required payment schedules and for the Successor Agency, County Auditor-Controller, DOF and State Controller to fulfill their duties and responsibilities. Some of the adjusted timelines related to the early implementation of AB 26 were not workable.

On February 15, 2012, the office of the San Diego County Auditor-Controller convened a meeting with local representatives from cities with newly-formed successor agencies to discuss numerous issues associated with the implementation of AB 26. Topics included the anticipated business cycle related to the dissolution process, timelines for key activities, and the County's expectations regarding required reports (i.e., EOPS, ROPS and audits). The County Auditor-Controller conceded that technical compliance with all of the times frames in AB 26 would not be feasible due to the overlapping nature of the partial time frame adjustments and sheer mass of documents, reviews, audits and certifications required.

For the first time during the February 15 meeting, the County Auditor-Controller distributed copies of a set of templates for cities to use in preparing the Initial Draft ROPS for submittal to the County Auditor-Controller for audit and certification. This template was considerably different from the format that the Former RDA and the Successor Agency used to create prior EOPS documents. The Successor Agency has since converted the EOPS and ROPS to the

ITEM 6

appropriate template. The Initial Draft ROPS, Second ROPS and Third Amended and Restated EOPS were approved by the Successor Agency on April 10, 2012 and will be presented to the Oversight Board on April 25, 2012.

On March 28, 2012, the County Auditor-Controller held a subsequent meeting of the local Successor Agencies to discuss the "Agreed Upon Procedures" ("AUP") audit to be conducted by Macias, Gini, & O'Connell ("MGO"). The purpose of the audit is to review the EOPS and initial ROPS, establish each Former RDA's assets and liabilities, and document each Former RDA's pass-through payment obligations to other taxing agencies. The Successor Agency has been working with MGO and the completion of the audit is targeted for July 1, 2012. The County Auditor-Controller will then provide the State Controller's Office with a copy of all agreed-upon procedures by July 15, 2012.

The Successor Agency is working to expeditiously comply with the deadlines associated with the ROPS, audit requirements, and various other activities required by the County-Auditor, State Controller, and DOF. City Redevelopment, SEDC, and CCDC have also undergone significant reductions in staff, adding to the challenges of complying with the requirements of AB 26. Near term meetings of the Successor Agency and Oversight Board will include the consideration of the Administrative Budget and any response to the Initial Draft ROPS and Second ROPS by the DOF.

PREVIOUS OVERSIGHT BOARD and/or SUCCESSOR AGENCY ACTION:

On July 18, 2011, the City Council introduced an Opt-In Ordinance pursuant to AB 27, whereby the City commits to utilize solely redevelopment funds to make annual payments to the County Auditor-Controller in exchange for the Agency's exemption from the provisions of AB 26 and the Former RDA's continued operation pursuant to California Community Redevelopment Law. In addition, the Former RDA and City approved a Remittance Agreement, whereby the Former RDA will transfer redevelopment funds to the City in an amount sufficient for the City to make the required payments to the County Auditor-Controller. The Opt-In Ordinance was enacted by the City Council, and signed by the Mayor, on August 1, 2011. The Former RDA approved the original EOPS on September 13, 2011, covering the period of September 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011, and approved the Amended and Restated EOPS on January 31, 2012, covering the period of January 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012. On January 10, 2012, the City Council took action to serve as the Agency's successor agency under AB 26. On February 13, 2012, the City Council adopted a resolution establishing certain policies and procedures that will govern the future operation of the Successor Agency. On February 28, 2012, the City Council, in its capacity as the Successor Agency, adopted the Second Amended and Restated EOPS and authorized the completion of the Initial Draft ROPS for timely submittal to the County Auditor-Controller pursuant to AB 26. On April 10, 2012, the Successor Agency approved the Initial Draft ROPS, the Second ROPS, and Third Amended and Restated EOPS.

David Graham
Office of the Mayor

ATTACHMENT 1

(R-2012-400)

RESOLUTION NUMBER R- _____

DATE OF FINAL PASSAGE _____

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, ACTING IN ITS CAPACITY AS THE BOARD OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, ESTABLISHING CERTAIN POLICIES AND PROCEDURES FOR THE OPERATION OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY.

WHEREAS, from its formation in 1958 until its elimination on February 1, 2012, the Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego (Former RDA) administered the implementation of various redevelopment projects, programs, and activities within fourteen designated redevelopment project areas throughout the City of San Diego (City); and

WHEREAS, the Former RDA dissolved as of February 1, 2012, in accordance with a deadline for elimination of all redevelopment agencies throughout California set forth in Assembly Bill x1 26 (AB 26), as modified by the California Supreme Court in a final opinion issued on December 29, 2011, in litigation designated as Case No. S194861; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Resolution No. R-307238 adopted effective January 12, 2012, the City Council of the City of San Diego (Council) designated the City to serve as the successor agency to the Former RDA and to retain the Former RDA's housing assets and assume the Former RDA's housing responsibilities, all pursuant to the dissolution provisions in Part 1.85 of AB 26 (Dissolution Provisions); and

WHEREAS, at the time of the Former RDA's dissolution, the City, in its capacity as the successor agency to the Former RDA (Successor Agency), became vested with all of the Former RDA's authority, rights, powers, duties, and obligations under the California Community Redevelopment Law and, by operation of law, received all assets, properties, contracts, leases,

books and records, buildings, and equipment of the Former RDA for administration pursuant to the Dissolution Provisions; and

WHEREAS, in the near future, a seven-member oversight board (Oversight Board) will be formed to oversee certain actions and decisions of the Successor Agency in accordance with the Dissolution Provisions; and

WHEREAS, the San Diego County Auditor-Controller (County Auditor), the State Controller, and the State Department of Finance also possess certain rights and obligations under the Dissolution Provisions with respect to the Successor Agency's administration of the Former RDA's operations; and

WHEREAS, the Dissolution Provisions generally require the Successor Agency to administer the winding down of the Former RDA's affairs in an expeditious manner; and

WHEREAS, the Dissolution Provisions presently contemplate that the Successor Agency will take the following actions, among others: (a) adopt a comprehensive list of all enforceable obligations, known as the Enforceable Obligation Payment Schedule (EOP Schedule), together with any future amendments thereto, at a public meeting; (b) prepare the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROP Schedule) for each six-month fiscal period, on a forward-looking basis; (c) prepare an administrative budget for each six-month fiscal period; (d) perform enforceable obligations of the Former RDA; (e) pay enforceable obligations of the Former RDA to the extent identified on the EOP Schedule and the applicable ROP Schedule; (f) remit the unencumbered balances of the Former RDA's funds to the County Auditor for pro rata distribution to certain local taxing entities; (g) dispose of certain unencumbered assets and properties of the Former RDA, as directed by the Oversight Board, expeditiously and in a manner aimed at maximizing

value; and (h) oversee development of properties until the contracted work has been completed or the contractual obligations of the Former RDA can be transferred to other parties; and

WHEREAS, due to the absence of any contrary legal authority in AB 26 or any other law, the City Attorney has determined that the governance structure of the Successor Agency will be the same as the governance structure of the City as a municipal corporation, without any changes in the respective roles of the Mayor, the Council, and the City Attorney under the San Diego Charter; and

WHEREAS, the Successor Agency now desires to establish certain policies and procedures for the orderly winding down of the Former RDA's operations in accordance with the Dissolution Provisions; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of San Diego, acting in its capacity as the board of the Successor Agency, as follows:

1. Official Name of Successor Agency. For all purposes, including the distribution of public notices, the execution of documents, and the filing of lawsuits and claims, the name of the Successor Agency shall be: "City of San Diego, solely in its capacity as the designated successor agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego, a former public body, corporate and politic." The Successor Agency shall be entitled to the limited liability protections set forth in AB 26, as amended from time to time, including but not limited to, California Health and Safety Code section 34173(e).

2. Execution of Documents. The Mayor and his or her designee are authorized to execute any and all documents, on behalf of the Successor Agency, pursuant to the Mayor's designation of authority memorandum. Unless any applicable provision of the California Community Redevelopment Law expressly provides otherwise, the San Diego Municipal Code

and the San Diego Charter shall govern the issue of whether the execution of a particular document requires the approval of the Council. If a particular document requires the approval of the Council, then the Council shall approve and authorize the execution of such document before it is executed by the Mayor or his or her designee on behalf of the Successor Agency.

3. General Administration. The Office of the Mayor shall coordinate all administrative actions and decisions related to the Successor Agency's orderly administration and winding down of the Former RDA's operations. The Office of the Mayor shall make recommendations for approval of all matters within the purview of the Successor Agency that must be considered formally by the Council, the Oversight Board, and any other entity with supervisory or enforcement authority under the Dissolution Provisions. The Office of the Mayor also shall keep the Council and the Office of the Independent Budget Analyst reasonably apprised of all material information and updates affecting the operations of the Successor Agency. For purposes of this Resolution, the phrase "Successor Agency staff" refers to both City staff and any staff employed by any nonprofit public benefit corporation assisting with the winding down of the Successor Agency's operations.

4. Funds and Accounts. All assets and monies held by or under the control of the Successor Agency shall be maintained in funds and accounts separate and apart from both the City's general fund and the City's general assets and accounts.

5. Open and Transparent Government. All California laws aimed at ensuring open and transparent government, including but not limited to, the Ralph M. Brown Act, the California Public Records Act, and the Political Reform Act of 1974, shall apply to the activities and decisions of the Successor Agency.

6. Docketing of Items. With respect to all matters within the purview of the Successor Agency that require the Council's formal consideration, such matters shall be placed directly on the Council docket and shall not require the consideration of any standing Council Committee. The Office of the Mayor shall coordinate with the Office of the Council President and the City Clerk to ensure the timely docketing of each item to be considered by the Council, acting in its capacity as the board of the Successor Agency. Each such item shall be included in the docket or supplemental docket of the Council. The description of each such item shall clearly specify that the item will be considered by the Council, acting in its capacity as the board of the Successor Agency, with reference to the official name of the Successor Agency set forth in Paragraph 1 above. All staff reports, executive summaries, and similar documents concerning any item within the purview of the Successor Agency also shall clearly specify that the item will be considered by the Council, acting in its capacity as the board of the Successor Agency.

7. Conduct of Public Meetings. The Council shall conduct all public meetings of the Successor Agency in accordance with the Permanent Rules of Council set forth in the San Diego Municipal Code, as amended from time to time. If a particular item requires the approval of both the City as a municipal corporation and the City as Successor Agency at the same public meeting, then the item shall appear on the docket twice. The Council, acting in its capacity as the legislative body of the City as a municipal corporation, shall deliberate and vote on the item first. The Council, acting in its capacity as the board of the Successor Agency, shall then deliberate and vote on the item separately.

8. Non-Agenda Public Comment. Any member of the public may provide testimony with respect to the Successor Agency during the non-agenda public comment period during each

noticed public meeting of the Council. The Council docket shall not contain a separate category of non-agenda public comment pertaining exclusively to the Successor Agency.

9. Voting and Mayoral Veto. The adoption of all resolutions and ordinances by the Council, acting in its capacity as the board of the Successor Agency, shall be conducted in accordance with San Diego Charter sections 280 and 285, including any provisions therein pertaining to the Mayor's veto power with respect to decisions of the Council and the Council's reconsideration of any decisions that have been vetoed by the Mayor.

10. Closed Session Meetings. All closed session meetings of the Council, acting in its capacity as the board of the Successor Agency, shall be conducted in accordance with the Permanent Rules of Council set forth in the San Diego Municipal Code, as amended from time to time, and the Ralph M. Brown Act.

11. Administrative Policies. The Office of the Mayor shall implement the operations of the Successor Agency in accordance with the administrative policies and regulations of the City, as amended from time to time, unless such policies and regulations are in direct conflict with the California Community Redevelopment Law or other applicable State law.

12. Payment Schedules and Administrative Budgets. The Office of the Mayor shall direct Successor Agency staff to timely prepare and submit to the Council, for its consideration, all proposed amendments to the EOP Schedule, all proposed ROP Schedules and amendments thereto, and all six-month administrative budgets and amendments thereto required under the Dissolution Provisions.

13. Disposition of Unencumbered Assets. The Office of the Mayor shall administer any required disposition of the Former RDA's unencumbered assets, consistent with California

Health and Safety Code section 34177(e) and with all other applicable laws and regulations governing the disposition of such assets.

14. Mayoral Appointments to Oversight Board. California Health and Safety Code section 34179(a) allows the Mayor to make unilateral appointments of two members of the Oversight Board, including any future replacements of such members, and to remove any such appointed member from the Oversight Board at any time with or without cause. Yet, California Health and Safety Code section 34179(b) allows the State Governor to fill any vacant position on the Oversight Board to the extent that the position has not been initially filled by May 1, 2012, or to the extent that any position has remained vacant for more than sixty days. As a result, it is imperative that each Mayoral appointment to the Oversight Board, including any future replacements, be completed in a timely manner. The current Mayor has voluntarily agreed to modify the appointment process to include the Council's prompt consideration and ratification of the Mayor's two appointees to the Oversight Board, as follows:

(a) With respect to each Mayoral appointment to the Oversight Board (including both the original appointments and any subsequent appointment of a replacement for a departing member), the Mayor shall provide written notice to the Council identifying the Mayor's nominee and describing the qualifications of the nominee.

(b) Within fifteen days after the date of the Mayor's nomination, the Council shall consider at a noticed public meeting whether to confirm the Mayor's nominee as a member of the Oversight Board. If the Council rejects the Mayor's nomination, then the Mayor shall have the right to veto the Council's decision, and the Council shall have the right to override the Mayor's veto, in accordance with San Diego Charter sections 280 and 285. If the Council overrides the Mayor's veto with respect to a particular nominee, then the Mayor shall promptly

nominate a new proposed appointee for the Council's consideration. If the Council approves the Mayor's nomination or fails to make a decision on the Mayor's nomination within the applicable fifteen-day period, then the Mayor's nominee shall become a member of the Oversight Board. If the applicable fifteen-day period would otherwise expire during an extended legislative recess (e.g., in August or December), then such period shall be automatically extended to the first public meeting held by the Council after the conclusion of the legislative recess.

(c) Each of the Mayor's appointees to the Oversight Board shall serve at the pleasure of the Mayor and may be removed at any time by the Mayor with or without cause.

15. Coordination with Oversight Board. The Office of the Mayor shall direct Successor Agency staff to timely prepare and submit to the Oversight Board, for its consideration, all items that require the Oversight Board's approval under the Dissolution Provisions. Consistent with California Health and Safety Code section 34179(c), Successor Agency staff shall, upon the direction of the Oversight Board, assist with the public noticing and coordination of all meetings of the Oversight Board, and all related costs and expenses to be incurred by the Successor Agency shall be included in each applicable administrative budget of the Successor Agency.

16. Agent for Service of Process. The Successor Agency's agent for service of process shall be the City Clerk. In addition, all claims for monetary damages or recovery against the Successor Agency under the California Government Claims Act shall be filed in a timely manner with the City's Risk Management Department, using the same form and procedure applicable to the City. The City Clerk shall be the designated recipient of all requests made under the California Public Records Act with respect to any documents within the possession of the Successor Agency. A copy of any complaints, petitions, claims, requests for public records,

and similar legal documents filed with respect to the Successor Agency shall be promptly forwarded by the recipient to the Office of the Mayor and the Office of the City Attorney.

17. Severability. Each provision of this Resolution is independent and severable from every other provision of this Resolution. If any provision of this Resolution is determined to be invalid or unenforceable in any respect, such determination shall not affect the validity or enforceability of any other provision of this Resolution.

18. Future Amendments. This Resolution may be amended or superseded at any time by a future vote of the Council, subject to the Mayor's veto power under the San Diego Charter.

APPROVED: JAN I. GOLDSMITH, City Attorney

By Kevin Reisch
Kevin Reisch
Deputy City Attorney

KR:hm
02/09/2012
Or.Dept:Mayor
Document No. 318329

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was passed by the Council of the City of San Diego, at this meeting of _____.

ELIZABETH S. MALAND
City Clerk

By _____
Deputy City Clerk

Approved: _____
(date)

JERRY SANDERS, Mayor

Vetoed: _____
(date)

JERRY SANDERS, Mayor