

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA
MINUTES FOR REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING

OF
TUESDAY, AUGUST 12, 1986
AT 9:00 A.M.

IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS - 12TH FLOOR

CHRONOLOGY OF THE MEETING:

The meeting was called to order by Mayor O'Connor at 10:00 a.m. The meeting was recessed by Mayor O'Connor at 12:25 p.m. to reconvene at 2:00 p.m.

The meeting was reconvened by Mayor O'Connor at 2:00 p.m. with no quorum present. A quorum was present at 2:04 p.m. Mayor O'Connor recessed the meeting at 3:40 p.m. and reconvened the meeting at 3:48 p.m. Mayor O'Connor adjourned the meeting at 4:48 p.m. and convened the Redevelopment Agency meeting.

ATTENDANCE DURING THE MEETING:

- (M) Mayor O'Connor-present
 - (1) Council Member Wolfsheimer-present
 - (2) Council Member Cleator-present
 - (3) Council Member McColl-present
 - (4) Council Member Jones-present
 - (5) Council Member Struiksma-present
 - (6) Council Member Gotch-present
 - (7) Council Member McCarty-present
 - (8) Council Member Martinez-present
- Clerk-Abdelnour (jb;mp)

FILE: MINUTES

ITEM-300: ROLL CALL

Clerk Abdelnour called the roll:

- (M) Mayor O'Connor-present
- (1) Council Member Wolfsheimer-present
- (2) Council Member Cleator-not present
- (3) Council Member McColl-not present
- (4) Council Member Jones-not present
- (5) Council Member Struiksma-present
- (6) Council Member Gotch-not present
- (7) Council Member McCarty-present
- (8) Council Member Martinez-present

ITEM-204: (R-86-2646) ADOPTED AS RESOLUTION R-266385

(Continued from the meeting of July 28, 1986, Item 209, at Council Member McColl's request for full Council.)

FINDINGS MUST BE MADE AS A PART OF COUNCIL ADOPTION

Determining and declaring that the public interest, convenience and necessity of the City of San Diego requires the development of the Mid-City (Euclid Avenue) Open Space Park and that the public interest, convenience and necessity demand the acquisition of fee title of Mid-City Addition BI for said park; declaring the intention of the City to acquire said property under eminent domain proceedings; directing the City Attorney to commence an action in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of San Diego, for the purpose of condemning and acquiring said property.

(See City Manager Report CMR-86-342. Located south of University Avenue, west of Euclid Avenue. Mid-City Community Area. District-3.)

FILE: STRT OS-1

COUNCIL ACTION: (Tape location: F256-327.)

Hearing began at 3:02 p.m. and halted at 3:08 p.m.

MOTION BY McCOLL TO CLOSE THE HEARING AND ADOPT THE RESOLUTION TO ACQUIRE THE PROPERTY. Second by Martinez. Passed by the following vote: Wolfsheimer-yea, Cleator-yea, McColl-yea, Jones-yea, Struiksma-yea, Gotch-yea, McCarty-yea, Martinez-yea, Mayor O'Connor-yea.

ITEM-310:

Two actions relative to authorizing Suggestion Awards to the following employees:

Subitem-A: (R-87-193) ADOPTED AS RESOLUTION R-266381

David Swartzendruber - Police Department - \$320.

Arthur Johnson - General Services - \$320.

William Paul - Fire Department - \$160.

Ronald Shankles - Police Department - \$136.95

Subitem-B: (R-87-194) ADOPTED AS RESOLUTION R-266382

Randall Fishell - General Services - \$50.

Jude Wolinsky- Building Inspection - \$45.

Rodney Ballard - Fire Department - \$25.

FILE: MEET

COUNCIL ACTION: (Tape location: A015-020.)

MOTION BY WOLFSHEIMER TO ADOPT. Second by McCarty. Passed by the following vote: Wolfsheimer-yea, Cleator-not present,

McCull-yea, Jones-not present, Struiksmay-yea, Gotch-not present, McCarty-yea, Martinez-yea, Mayor O'Connor-yea.

ITEM-330: CONTINUED TO AUGUST 19, 1986, AT 10:00 A.M.

Matters of:

1) A proposal to amend the Miramar Ranch North Community Plan involving approximately 1,950 acres. The proposed amendments would:

- a) Increase the number of residential dwelling units within the planning area from 4,100 to 5,689 units;
- b) Redesignate 52 acres (Area 9) located adjacent to the northern boundary of the planning area from low-medium density residential (6-9 dwelling units/acre) to industrial/business park;
- c) Redesignate 33 acres (Area 33) located in the southwestern corner of the planning area from industrial/business park to medium-high density residential (maximum of 17 dwelling units/acre);
- d) Redesignate 53 acres (Area 19) located in the western portion of the planning area from very low density residential (0-3 dwelling units/acre) to low density residential (3-6 dwelling units/acre);
- e) Designate as open space, the area along the northern, eastern, and southern boundary which is being included within the Miramar Ranch North Community Plan;
- f) Modify the northern, eastern and southern boundaries of the planning area to: 1) coincide with property ownerships; and 2) eliminate portions of the county island being considered for incorporation into the Scripps Miramar Ranch Community Plan; and
- g) Revise the text of the community plan to reflect the proposed land use changes and additional development guidelines.

2) A proposal to amend the Progress Guide and General Plan to reflect the community plan changes.

The subject property is located generally east of I-15, south of the Sabre Springs Community planning area, west of the unincorporated county island, and north of Miramar Reservoir and the Scripps Miramar Ranch Community planning area. The property is more particularly described as a portion of Section 20; a portion of the south 1/2 of Section 21; a portion of the southwest 1/4 of Section 22; portions of Sections 27, 28, 29, 32 and 33, all in Township 14 South, Range 2 West, SBBM.

(District-5.)

Subitem-A: (R-87-135)

Adoption of a Resolution certifying that the information contained in Environmental Impact Report EIR-85-0100 has been completed in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970 and State guidelines, and that said report has been reviewed and considered by the Council.

Subitem-B: (R-87-134)

Adoption of a Resolution approving the amendments to the Miramar Ranch North Community Plan and the Progress Guide and General Plan, to become effective when the amendment to the General Plan Map is adopted at the next omnibus hearing.

Subitem-C: (R-86-)

Adoption of a Resolution containing appropriate findings of mitigation, feasibility or project alternatives pursuant to the California Public Resources Code Section 21081 in connection with Environmental Impact Report EIR-85-0100.

FILE: LAND - Miramar Ranch North Community Plan

COUNCIL ACTION: (Tape location: A570-D265.)

Hearing began at 10:41 a.m. and halted at 12:25 p.m.

Testimony in favor by David Prewett, Jim Schmidt, Louis Wolfsheimer, William Rick, Andrew Schlaefli and Paul Peterson.

Testimony in opposition by Diane Strum, Dave Pierce and Dennis Ainsworth.

Motion by Struiksma to approve with the condition that the developer include the two areas adjacent to Miramar Lake (outlined in green on the map of Miramar Lake) as a requirement for development as a park site to be under construction by January 1, 1990. Also, require that the final link of the road construction for Spring Canyon be underway by January 1, 1990.

No second.

MOTION BY STRUIKSMA TO CONTINUE FOR ONE WEEK, AT MAYOR O'CONNOR'S REQUEST. Second by Cleator. Passed by the following vote: Wolfsheimer-yea, Cleator-yea, McColl-yea, Jones-yea, Struiksma-yea, Gotch-yea, McCarty-yea, Martinez-yea, Mayor O'Connor-yea.

ITEM-331:

(Continued from the meetings of May 27, 1986, Item 330 and June 24, 1986, Item 332; last continued at the applicant's request.)

Three actions relative to the vacation of Willow Creek Road:
(Scripps Miramar Ranch Community Area. District-5.)

Subitem-A: (R-86-1910) ADOPTED AS RESOLUTION R-266386
19860812

Vacating a portion of Willow Creek Road, west of Business Park Avenue and adjacent to Parcel 1 of Parcel Map PM-4763, Lot 20, Scripps Miramar Ranch Business Park, Map-7960, and Lots 2 and 24 of Scripps Ranch Business Park, Map-8887.

Subitem-B: (R-86-2306) ADOPTED AS RESOLUTION R-266387
Certifying that the information contained in Environmental Impact Report EIR-84-0677 has been completed in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970 and State CEQA guidelines, and that said report has been reviewed and considered by the Council.

Subitem-C: (R-87-405) ADOPTED AS RESOLUTION R-266388
Adopting findings and a Statement of Overriding Considerations in connection with the vacation of a portion of Willow Creek Road.

CITY MANAGER REPORT: The abutting property owners have petitioned for the street vacation of the westerly 400 feet of Willow Creek Road in Scripps Miramar Business Park. This vacation has been petitioned to accommodate a resubdivision of the business park as proposed with Tentative Parcel Map TM-84-0677. The area of vacation dead-ends at I-15 to the west and is improved with full-width paving, curbs and sidewalk on the southerly side. The right-of-way contains public and franchise utilities for which a full-width general utility easement is to be reserved. The tentative map provides for the dedication and improvement of a standard cul-de-sac at the termination of Willow Creek Road. Staff review has indicated that the right-of-way to be vacated is no longer needed for present or prospective use and can be vacated, conditioned upon the approval and recordation of the final parcel map over the adjacent properties.

FILE: STRT J-2603 DEED F-3498 DEEDFY87-1

COUNCIL ACTION: (Tape location: G440-455.)

Hearing began at 4:03 p.m. and halted at 4:04 p.m.

MOTION BY STRUIKSMA TO CLOSE THE HEARING AND TO ADOPT THE RESOLUTIONS AND FINDINGS. Second by Martinez. Passed by the following vote: Wolfsheimer-yea, Cleator-yea, McColl-yea,

Jones-yea, Struiksma-yea, Gotch-yea, McCarty-yea, Martinez-yea,
Mayor O'Connor-yea.

ITEM-332: CONTINUED TO SEPTEMBER 16, 1986, AT 10:00 A.M.

(Continued from the meeting of June 24, 1986, Item 337, at
Council Member Martinez's request.)

Matters of:

- 1) A proposal to approve the North City West Town Center
Precise Plan (Development Unit No. Nine);
- 2) Amendment of the North City West Community Plan; and
- 3) Amendment of the North City West Planned District
Ordinance.

The Precise Plan proposes the development of a 41.7 acre
commercial center, 2,377 dwelling units, a 21.7 acre junior
high school, a 17.7 acre community park, library, park and
ride, and transportation terminal on a 168.3 acre site.

The subject property is located directly south of the
future extension of Del Mar Heights Road between El Camino
Real and the future Carmel Country Road within the North
City West community. The site is more particularly
described as being the south half of Section 18 and the
north half of Section 19, Township 14 South, Range 3 West.
(District-1.)

Subitem-A: (R-86-2346)

Adoption of a Resolution certifying that the information
contained in Environmental Impact Report EIR-84-0683 has
been completed in compliance with the California
Environmental Quality Act of 1970 and State guidelines, and
that said report has been reviewed and considered by the
Council; and Adoption of appropriate findings of
mitigation, feasibility or project alternatives pursuant to
California Public Resources Code Section 21081 in
connection with Environmental Impact Report EIR-84-0683.

Subitem-B: (R-86-2347)

Adoption of a Resolution approving the Precise Plan.

Subitem-C: (R-86-2348)

Adoption of a Resolution approving the amendment to the
Community Plan to be effective when the amendment to the
General Plan Map is adopted after the next omnibus hearing.

Subitem-D: (O-86-208)

Introduction of an Ordinance amending the Planned District Ordinance.

FILE:

Subitems A-B, LAND - NCW Town Center Precise Plan;

Subitem C LAND - NCW Community Plan;

Subitem D--

COUNCIL ACTION: (Tape location: A021-045.)

MOTION BY WOLFSHEIMER TO CONTINUE TO SEPTEMBER 16, 1986, AT 10:00 A.M., AT STEVE ZIMMER'S REQUEST. Second by McColl.

Passed by the following vote: Wolfsheimer-yea, Cleator-not present, McColl-yea, Jones-yea, Struiksmayea, Gotch-yea, McCarty-yea, Martinez-yea, Mayor O'Connor-yea.

ITEM-333:

(Continued from the meetings of June 24, 1986, Item 335, and July 15, 1986, Item 339; last continued at Council Member Wolfsheimer's request.)

Appeal of The Foothills Managed Growth Committee, by Noel David Allan, from the decision of the Planning Commission in approving Tentative Map TM-86-0157 (La Jolla Alta Units 14 and 15), which proposes a 9-lot subdivision of portions of Pueblo Lots 1780 and 1781 of the Pueblo Lands of San Diego, and Lots 1-32, Block 1, Sea View Heights, Map-1125 (approximately 54.5 acres), in Zone R1-10000, in the La Jolla Community Plan area. The subject property is further described as a 31.4-acre site west of Fanuel Street and north of Van Nuys Street (8 lots) for residential and open space development and a 23.1-acre site at the north end of Vickie Drive (1 lot) for open space.

(TM-86-0157. District-1.)

Subitem-A: (R-86-) FILED

Adoption of a Resolution certifying that the information contained in Environmental Impact Report EIR-86-0157 has been completed in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970 and State guidelines, and that said report has been reviewed and considered by the Council.

Subitem-B: (R-87-328) ADOPTED AS RESOLUTION R-266389
GRANTING APPEAL AND DENYING MAP

Adoption of a Resolution granting the appeal and denying the map or denying the appeal and granting the map with appropriate findings to support Council action.

Subitem-C: (R-86-) FILED

Adoption of a Resolution containing appropriate findings of mitigation, feasibility or project alternatives pursuant to California Public Resources Code Section 21081 in connection with Environmental Impact Report EIR-86-0157.

FILE: SUBD - La Jolla Alta Units 14 and 15

COUNCIL ACTION: (Tape location: E009-F255.)

Hearing began at 2:04 p.m. and halted at 3:02 p.m.

Council Member Jones entered at 2:34 p.m.

Testimony in favor of the appeal by David Tompkins, Ken Hawkins, Anna Karode, John Griffith, and the representative of the Pacific Beach Council/CPC.

Testimony in opposition of the appeal by Paul Peterson, Henry Stuart, Ken Ackroyd, Marilyn Ackroyd, Newman Nelson, and Robert Lynch.

MOTION BY GOTCH TO CLOSE THE HEARING, UPHOLD THE APPEAL AND DENY THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED CITING THAT (1) UNIT 14 IS INCONSISTENT WITH THE LA JOLLA COMMUNITY PLAN, (2) THE PROJECT IS NOT IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE SURROUNDING RESIDENTIAL COMMUNITY OF PACIFIC BEACH, AND (3) TRAFFIC IMPACTS BOTH ON AND OFF SITE HAVE NOT BEEN SUFFICIENTLY MITIGATED. THE EIR THAT WAS COMPLETED YEARS AGO DOES NOT REFLECT THE CURRENT CONDITIONS. THE DEVELOPER IS ENCOURAGED TO BRING BACK A PLAN CONSISTENT WITH THE COMMUNITY PLAN, MINIMIZING THE IMPACTS. Second by Jones.

Passed by the following vote: Wolfsheimer-nay, Cleator-nay, McColl-yea, Jones-yea, Struiksmay-yea, Gotch-yea, McCarty-yea, Martinez-nay, Mayor O'Connor-yea.

ADDITIONAL BUSINESS: (R-87-457) ADOPTED AS RESOLUTION R-266451

During consideration of the above regular business item, the following resolution was adopted.

Certifying that the information contained in EIR-86-0157 in connection with TM-86-0157 has been reviewed and considered by the City Council; finding that there has been a substantial change in circumstances since the initial approval of EIR-86-0157; finding that EIR-86-0157 has not been prepared in compliance with California Environmental Quality Act of 1970, as amended, and State guidelines.

ITEM-334:

(Continued from the meeting of July 22, 1986, Item 338, at Council Member Wolfsheimer's request.)

Matters set forth below which affect the following real property:

Parcel 2 of Parcel Map PM-11645 (approximately 242 acres), located west of I-15, on the south side of Camino del Norte, in the Rancho Bernardo Community Plan area.

1. Rezoning a southerly portion of the above-described real property from Zone A-1-10 (portion HR) to Zone R1-5000 (portion HR);

860812

2. Rezoning a northerly portion of the above-described real property from Zone A-1-10 (portion HR) to Zone R-3000 (portion HR);

3. Appeals of Rancho de los Penasquitos Planning Board, by Margaret Corey, from the decision of the Planning Commission in approving, as modified:

a) Planned Residential Development Permit PRD-85-0153, which proposes to develop 327 single-family detached units and 552 multi-family attached units on a southerly portion of the above-described real property;

b) Planned Residential Development Permit PRD-85-0154, which proposes to develop 336 multi-family attached units on a northerly portion of the above-described real property;

c) Tentative Map TM-85-0153 (Camino Bernardo), which proposes a 351-lot subdivision on a southerly portion of the above-described real property; and

d) Tentative Map TM-85-0154 (Camino Bernardo Villas), which proposes a 3-lot subdivision on a northerly portion of the above-described real property.

(Case, PRD & TM-85-0153 and 85-0154. District-1.)

Subitem-A: (R-86-2574) ADOPTED AS RESOLUTION R-266390

Adoption of a Resolution certifying that the information contained in Environmental Impact Report EIR-85-0153 (in connection with rezoning of the southerly 201.1 acres) has been completed in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970 and State guidelines, and that said report has been reviewed and considered by the Council.

Subitem-B: (R-86-2576) ADOPTED AS RESOLUTION R-266391

Adoption of a Resolution certifying that the information contained in Environmental Impact Report EIR-85-0153 (in

connection with rezoning of the northerly 41 acres) has been completed in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970 and State guidelines, and that said report has been reviewed and considered by the Council.

Subitem-C: (O-86-224) INTRODUCED, TO BE ADOPTED ON
SEPTEMBER 8, 1986

Introduction of an Ordinance for R1-5000 (portion HR)
Zoning on the southerly portion of the above-described real
property.

Subitem-D: (O-86-222) INTRODUCED, TO BE ADOPTED ON
SEPTEMBER 8, 1986

Introduction of an Ordinance for R-3000 (portion HR) Zoning
on the northerly portion of the above-described real
property.

Subitem-E: DENIED APPEALS AND GRANTED PERMITS

(R-87-329) PRD-85-0153 ADOPTED AS RESOLUTION R-266392

(R-87-330) PRD-85-0154 ADOPTED AS RESOLUTION R-266449

Adoption of a Resolution granting the appeals and denying
the permits or denying the appeals and granting the permits
with appropriate findings to support Council action.

Subitem-F: DENIED APPEALS AND GRANTED MAPS

(R-87-331) TM-85-0153 ADOPTED AS RESOLUTION R-266393

(R-87-332) TM-85-0154 ADOPTED AS RESOLUTION R-266450

Adoption of a Resolution granting the appeals and denying
the maps or denying the appeals and granting the maps with
appropriate findings to support Council action.

Subitem-G: (R-87-514) ADOPTED AS RESOLUTION R-266394

Adoption of a Resolution containing appropriate findings of
mitigation, feasibility or project alternatives pursuant to
California Public Resources Code Section 21081 in
connection with Environmental Impact Report EIR-85-0153 (in
connection with rezoning of the southerly 201.1 acres).

Subitem-H: (R-87-515) ADOPTED AS RESOLUTION R-266395

Adoption of a Resolution containing appropriate findings of
mitigation, feasibility or project alternatives pursuant to
California Public Resources Code Section 21081 in
connection with Environmental Impact Report EIR-85-0153 (in
connection with rezoning of the northerly 41 acres).

FILE:

Subitem-A,B,E,G,H: PERM - PRD 85-0153 & 85-0154;

Subitem-C,D: -- ;

Subitem-F: SUBD - Camino Bernardo
& Camino Bernardo Villas

COUNCIL ACTION: (Tape location: F328-673.)

Hearing began at 3:08 p.m. and halted at 3:22 p.m.

MOTION BY WOLFSHEIMER TO CLOSE THE HEARING, INTRODUCE THE ORDINANCES, DENY THE APPEALS AND GRANT THE PERMITS AND MAPS WITH THE RECOMMENDED AMENDMENTS AND NEW FINDINGS. Second by Martinez. Passed by the following vote: Wolfsheimer-yea, Cleator-yea, McColl-yea, Jones-yea, Struiksmayea, Gotch-yea, McCarty-yea, Martinez-yea, Mayor O'Connor-yea.

ITEM-335: CONTINUED TO SEPTEMBER 23, 1986, WITH RECONSIDERED ITEM

(Continued from the meetings of July 15, 1986, Item 338 and July 21, 1986, Item S402 (d); last continued at Council Member Wolfsheimer's request.)

Matter of:

An amendment to the Circulation Element of the Penasquitos East Community Plan and to the Circulation Element of the General Plan.

The proposal involves upgrading Black Mountain Road from a four-lane primary arterial to a six-lane major street, from Park Village Road south to Mercy Road. This upgrading is necessary to accommodate the projected traffic from the Penasquitos East Community. In addition, the proposal would downgrade Black Mountain Road from Twin Trails Drive to Paseo Montalban and Camino Ruiz, south of Park Village Road, from a four-lane primary arterial to a four-lane major street, and a portion of Park Village Road from a four-lane major street to a two- and four-lane collector, west of Camino Ruiz. The Circulation Element of the General Plan is also proposed for amendment to reflect the proposed changes.

The amendment area involves those streets described above, which are in the southern portion of the Penasquitos East community.

(District-1.)

Subitem-A: (R-86-2499)

Adoption of a Resolution certifying that the information contained in Environmental Impact Report EIR-84-0330 has been completed in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970 and State guidelines, and that said report has been reviewed and considered by the Council.

Subitem-B: (R-86-2498)

Adoption of a Resolution granting or denying the community plan amendment to become effective after the next omnibus hearing.

Subitem-C: (R-86-)

Adoption of a Resolution containing appropriate findings of mitigation, feasibility or project alternatives pursuant to California Public Resources Code Section 21081 in connection with Environmental Impact Report EIR-84-0330.

FILE: LAND - Penasquitos East Community Plan

COUNCIL ACTION: (Tape location: G007-435.)

Hearing began at 3:23 p.m. and recessed at 3:40 p.m. Hearing resumed at 3:48 p.m. and halted at 4:03 p.m.

Testimony in favor by Bruce Warren.

Testimony in opposition by Kathleen Zaworski-Burke.

Motion by Wolfsheimer to amend the community plan subject to the following specified conditions: (1) remove homes along Brassica Street up to P Street, removing them off the canyon floor and setting them back from the Johnson Taylor adobe; (2) take school and park sites and shift to another area to provide a complete and undisturbed canyon; (3) the off-site development area be replanted and revegetated in order to further buffer the canyon floor; (4) no upgrading of Park Village Road from the two-lane collector; (5) construction of Mercy Road, SR-56, and Black Mountain Road be accelerated to a date not later than January 1987; and (6) construct an overpass at Black Mountain Road so that children can be moved to the school on the other side.

Second by Jones. No vote taken.

MOTION BY WOLFSHEIMER TO SUSPEND THE RULES TO RECONSIDER A PREVIOUS ACTION BY COUNCIL. Second by Jones. Passed by the following vote: Wolfsheimer-yea, Cleator-yea, McColl-nay, Jones-yea, Struiksma-nay, Gotch-yea, McCarty-yea, Martinez-nay, Mayor O'Connor-yea.

RECONSIDERATION: HEARING SET FOR SEPTEMBER 23, 1986

During consideration of the above regular business item, the following was reconsidered for Council action.

Matters which relate to the following property:

A portion of Rancho de los Penasquitos located on the north side of Los Penasquitos Canyon Preserve, west of Black Mountain Road and south of State Highway 56 (approximately 724 acres), in the Penasquitos East Development Plan area.

- 1) Appeals of Rancho Penasquitos Planning Board, by Barbara S. Fisher, Friends of Los Penasquitos Canyon Preserve, Inc., by Leo W. Wilson, et al, from the decision of the Planning Commission in granting:
 - a) Planned Residential Development Permit PRD-84-0330, which proposed 2,711 multi-family attached and single-family detached dwelling units;
 - b) Tentative Map TM-84-0330 (Park Village), which proposes a 34-lot subdivision; and

FILE: PERM - PRD-84-0330 SUBD - Park Village

COUNCIL ACTION: (Tape location: G275-435.)

MOTION BY GOTCH TO RECONSIDER ITEM-S402 OF JULY 21, 1986, APPEAL OF PLANNING COMMISSION'S APPROVAL OF PRD-84-0330 AND TM-84-0330.

Second by Wolfsheimer. Passed by the following vote:

Wolfsheimer-yea, Cleator-yea, McColl-nay, Jones-yea, Struiksma-nay, Gotch-yea, McCarty-nay, Martinez-nay, Mayor O'Connor-yea.

MOTION BY WOLFSHEIMER TO RENOTICE AS SOON AS POSSIBLE AND SET THE HEARING FOR SEPTEMBER 23, 1986. Second by Jones. Passed by

the following vote: Wolfsheimer-yea, Cleator-yea, McColl-yea, Jones-yea, Struiksma-yea, Gotch-yea, McCarty-yea, Martinez-yea, Mayor O'Connor-yea.

ITEM-S500: (R-87-235) CONTINUED TO AUGUST 19, 1986, AT 10:00 A.M.

Adopting as the preferred alignment the Alternative 11A cited in SANDAG's "Route 125 North Location Analysis," dated June, 1984, on Pomerado Road in the proposed Scripps Miramar Ranch Annexation Area.

(See City Manager Report CMR-86-227. Scripps Miramar Ranch/Miramar Ranch North Community Areas. District-5.)

CITY MANAGER REPORT: Alternative alignments to proposed SR-125 have been discussed with the Transportation and Land Use (T&LU) Committee on several occasions, specifically regarding Alternatives 8 and 11 in the proposed Scripps Miramar Ranch Annexation Area. As directed by the T&LU Committee at the May

12 meeting, staff addressed the Poway City Council on June 24 and explained the Manager's comparison of alternatives to Route 125 and the T&LU approval of Alternative 11A (CMR-86-227). Alternative 11A would provide a major street standard road in the vicinity of existing Pomerado Road. The Poway City Council expressed a desire to obtain right-of-way for a future Alternative 8 alignment and preferred the alignment of Alternative 11B instead of Alternative 11A (Alternative 11B parallels Alternative 11A but is further west). Alternative 8 would provide an additional new major street from the South Poway Arterial directly west to Cypress Canyon Road and thence further westerly to I-15. All these alternatives are cited in SANDAG's "Route 125 North Location Analysis," (June, 1984). Staff continues to recommend Alternative 11A which is consistent with SANDAG's Recommended Regional Transportation Plan. The communities of Miramar Ranch North and Scripps Miramar Ranch will provide the necessary improvements to Spring Canyon Road and Pomerado Road to complete Alternative 11A. A financing plan will be developed to complete the construction of Spring Canyon Road.

FILE: MEET

COUNCIL ACTION: (Tape location: A060-560.)

MOTION BY JONES TO CONTINUE TO AUGUST 19, 1986, AT 10:00 A.M., AT MAYOR O'CONNOR'S REQUEST, TO BE TAKEN AS A COMPANION ITEM TO THE SCRIPPS COMMUNITY PLAN AMENDMENT AND ANNEXATION. Second by McCarty. Passed by the following vote: Wolfsheimer-yea, Cleator-yea, McColl-yea, Jones-yea, Struiksmay-yea, Gotch-yea, McCarty-yea, Martinez-yea, Mayor O'Connor-yea.

ITEM-S501: (O-85-106 Rev. 1) CONTINUED INDEFINITELY

(Continued from the meetings of July 22, 1986, Item 337, and August 5, 1986, Item 333; last continued at Steve Quinn's request.)

Rezoning Lot 805, Bay Terraces No. 7, Map-6910 (approximately 9.37 acres), located on the south side of Paradise Valley Road between Woodman and Potomac Streets, in the South Bay Terraces Community Plan area, from Zone CA-RR to Zone CA.

(Case-84-0346. District-4.)

Introduction of an Ordinance for CA Zoning.

FILE: --

COUNCIL ACTION: (Tape location: G462-H499.)

Hearing began at 4:04 p.m. and halted at 4:47 p.m.

Testimony in favor by Steve Quinn and Tom Sudberry.

Testimony by Paul Robinson.

MOTION BY JONES TO CONTINUE THE REZONING INDEFINITELY AND TO REQUIRE THE DEVELOPER TO GO THROUGH THE PCD PROCESS. Second by Wolfsheimer. Passed by the following vote: Wolfsheimer-yea, Cleator-nay, McColl-not present, Jones-yea, Struiksma-yea, Gotch-yea, McCarty-yea, Martinez-yea, Mayor O'Connor-yea.

ITEM-UC-2: (R-87-287) ADOPTED AS RESOLUTION R-266384

A Resolution presented to the City Council with UNANIMOUS CONSENT:

Requesting the 22nd Agricultural District (Del Mar Fair Board) to delay adoption of their master plan for 120 days to allow review and comment by the City of San Diego and other interested persons; authorizing Council Member Wolfsheimer to present said request at the Board meeting this evening.

FILE: MEET

COUNCIL ACTION: (Tape location: H500-504.)

MOTION BY WOLFSHEIMER TO ADOPT. Second by Gotch. Passed by the following vote: Wolfsheimer-yea, Cleator-yea, McColl-yea, Jones-yea, Struiksma-yea, Gotch-yea, McCarty-yea, Martinez-yea, Mayor O'Connor-yea.

ITEM-CS-1: (R-87-246) ADOPTED AS RESOLUTION R-266383

A Resolution adopted by the City Council in Closed Session on August 12, 1986:

Authorizing the City Manager to pay up to the sum of \$125,000.00 in the settlement of Superior Court Case Nos. 442060, 441619 and 442365, Del Mont Olesen, et al. v. Pardee Construction Co., et al., Christopher L. Skillern, et al. v. Ralph E. Hughes, et al., and City of San Diego v. Pardee Construction Co., et al., and each and every claim against the City of San Diego, its agents and employees, resulting from earth movement and resulting damage to plaintiffs' properties in the subdivision, Vista Del Cerro No. 4, San Diego. Authorizing the City Auditor and Comptroller to issue warrant checks up to but not exceeding the amount of \$125,000.00 payable to Jim Henry Construction, Inc. or other contractor hired by Woodward-Clyde Consultants pursuant to the stipulation and Settlement Agreement and order entered into by Pardee Construction Co., Woodward-Clyde Consultants and The City of San Diego.

FILE: MEET CONFY87-1

ADJOURNMENT:

By common consent, the meeting was adjourned by Mayor
O'Connor at 4:48 p.m.

FILE: MEET

COUNCIL ACTION: (Tape location: H505).