

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA  
MINUTES FOR REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING  
OF

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 1, 1988

AT 9:00 A.M.

IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS - 12TH FLOOR

CHRONOLOGY OF THE MEETING:

The meeting was called to order by Mayor O'Connor at 10:14 a.m. The meeting was recessed by Deputy Mayor McColl at 11:05 a.m. to meet in Closed Session regarding pending litigation. The meeting was reconvened by Deputy Mayor McColl at 11:08 a.m. with Mayor O'Connor and Council Members Henderson and Filner not present. The meeting was recessed by Mayor O'Connor at 12:20 p.m. to reconvene at 2:00 p.m.

The meeting was reconvened by Deputy Mayor McColl at 2:11 p.m. without a quorum. A quorum was present at 2:15 p.m. with Council Members Henderson, Filner and Mayor O'Connor not present. Mayor O'Connor adjourned the meeting at 3:17 p.m. to convene as the Redevelopment Agency and thereafter as the Committee Of The Whole.

ATTENDANCE DURING THE MEETING:

- (M) Mayor O'Connor-present
- (1) Council Member Wolfsheimer-present
- (2) Council Member Roberts-present
- (3) Council Member McColl-present
- (4) Council Member Pratt-present
- (5) Council Member Struiksma-present
- (6) Council Member Henderson-excused by R-272419  
(Vacation)
- (7) Council Member McCarty-present
- (8) Council Member Filner-excused by R-272324  
(Family emergency)  
Clerk-Fishkin (jb;rb)

FILE LOCATION: MINUTES

ITEM-300: ROLL CALL

Clerk Fishkin called the roll:

- (M) Mayor O'Connor-present
- (1) Council Member Wolfsheimer-present
- (2) Council Member Roberts-present
- (3) Council Member McColl-present
- (4) Council Member Pratt-present
- (5) Council Member Struiksma-present

- (6) Council Member Henderson-not present
- (7) Council Member McCarty-present
- (8) Council Member Filner-not present

ITEM-330:

Matters of:

1. Request for a 1 lot Vesting Tentative Subdivision Map (TM-88-0504 - Sabre Springs Units 19 and 20) on approximately 41.4 acres for the purpose of constructing 140 dwelling units. The subject property is located at the northwestern corner of Poway Road and Springbrook Drive, in the A1-10 Zone, in the Sabre Springs Community Plan area and is more particularly described as a portion of the northeast quarter of Section 21, Township 14 South, Range 2 West, SBBM.
2. A proposal to vacate the northerly excess portion of Poway Road, easterly of Sabre Springs Parkway, as provided for under the Public Streets, Highways, and Service Easements Vacation Law, in conjunction with the proposed tentative map for Sabre Springs Units 19 and 20. (TM-88-0504. District-1.)

Subitem-A: (R-89-735) ADOPTED AS RESOLUTION R-272251

Adoption of a Resolution certifying that the information contained in Environmental Mitigated Negative Declaration EMND-88-0504 has been completed in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970 and State guidelines, and that said declaration has been reviewed and considered by the Council.

Subitem-B: (R-89- ) ADOPTED AS RESOLUTION R-272252,  
GRANTED MAP

Adoption of a Resolution approving or denying the tentative map.

Subitem-C: (R-89-734) ADOPTED AS RESOLUTION R-272253

Adoption of a Resolution vacating the northerly excess portion of Poway Road, easterly of Sabre Springs Parkway, under the procedure for the summary vacation of streets.

CITY MANAGER SUPPORTING INFORMATION: The proposed vacation of the northerly excess portion of Poway Road has been initiated through the submission of the tentative map for Sabre Springs Units 19 and 20 (TM-88-0504). The tentative map proposes a subdivision of 41.4 acres for a 140-unit condominium

development. The tentative map has been scheduled for a Subdivision Board hearing on October 10, 1988, for which the board is proposing a recommendation of approval. In accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Code, the tentative map and the street vacation are to be presented to City Council for joint consideration.

The area-of-vacation and adjoining properties are located in the Sabre Springs community and are situated in A-1-10 and R-3000 zones. The area-of-vacation totals approximately 12,700 square feet and is to be consolidated and utilized with the adjacent property to create the development site. Fee title of the right-of-way is vested to the City of San Diego and, therefore, the developer will be required to acquire title to the right-of-way prior to the approval of the final map.

The excess portions of Poway Road were acquired for slope purposes and are considered surplus for the 126-foot right-of-way width required for the completion of Poway Road.

The areas proposed for vacation support no roadway improvements or utility facilities. No easements are to be reserved. The subdivider is required to provide full improvements for the westbound lanes of Poway Road adjacent to the subdivision as part of the subdivision development process.

Staff review has indicated that the excess rights-of-way are no longer needed for present or prospective use and may be summarily vacated, conditioned upon the approval and recordation of the final map(s) for Sabre Springs Units 19 and 20 (TM-88-0504).

**FILE LOCATION:**

Subitems A & B SUBD - Sabre Springs Units 19  
& 20;

Subitem C STRT J-2698; DEED F-4686  
DEEDFY89-1

**COUNCIL ACTION:** (Tape location: A051-120.)

Hearing began at 10:15 a.m. and halted at 10:21 a.m.

Testimony in favor by Thomas Noon.

**MOTION BY WOLFSHEIMER TO ADOPT SUBITEM A, ADOPT SUBITEM B, APPROVING THE MAP, AND ADOPT SUBITEM C. Second by Struiksma.**

Passed by the following vote: Wolfsheimer-yea, Roberts-yea, McColl-yea, Pratt-yea, Struiksma-yea, Henderson-not present, McCarty-yea, Filner-not present, Mayor O'Connor-yea.

**ITEM-331: (R-89-575) CONTINUED TO NOVEMBER 7, 1988**  
Proposal to amend the Otay Mesa Community Plan,

incorporating text into the community plan for commercially designated areas in the residential or western portions of the community. Such additions could include:

1. Affirmation that complete commercial facilities will be provided in the community.
2. Guidelines relative to the development of a centrally located commercial "Town Center".
3. Guidelines for the development of commercial properties on the north side of Palm Avenue, east of I-805.
4. A restriction on the addition of commercially designated areas in the vicinity of Palm Avenue and I-805.

(District-8.)

Adoption of a Resolution amending the community plan.

FILE LOCATION: LAND - Otay Mesa Community Plan

COUNCIL ACTION: (Tape location: A021-050.)

MOTION BY PRATT TO CONTINUE TO NOVEMBER 7, 1988, AT COUNCIL MEMBER FILNER'S REQUEST. Second by McCarty. Passed by the following vote: Wolfsheimer-yea, Roberts-yea, McColl-yea, Pratt-yea, Struiksma-yea, Henderson-not present, McCarty-yea, Filner-not present, Mayor O'Connor-yea.

ITEM-332:

Matters of the following variance requests from the Interim Development Ordinance (IDO) :

- a) A variance request from the Interim Development Ordinance (IDO) for a 5-unit project (includes proposed units and any existing units to remain). The subject property is located at 4051 Haines Street and is described as Lots 7, 8 & 9 in Block 2 of Second Fortuna Park, Map-895. The R-1500 zoned site is within the Pacific Beach Community Plan area.

Under the provisions of the ordinance, only a limited number of building permits are allowed per community planning area. This is not a variance from development standards, but would allow the project as described to proceed under the building permit process for the specific amount of units requested. The project is required to meet all applicable City development standards.

(Case-88-4137 (Non-accelerated).

District-6.)

- b) A variance request from the Interim Development Ordinance (IDO) for a 3-unit project (includes proposed units and any existing units to remain). The subject

property is located at 5060 Monroe Avenue and is described as Assessor's Parcel No. 466-621-12. The MR-1500-B zoned site is within the Mid-City Community Plan area.

Under the provisions of the ordinance, only a limited number of building permits are allowed per community planning area. This is not a variance from development standards, but would allow the project as described to proceed under the building permit process for the specific amount of units requested. The project is required to meet all applicable City development standards.

(Case-88-6009. (Accelerated). District-7.)

- c) A variance request from the Interim Development Ordinance (IDO) for a 3-unit project (includes proposed units and any existing units to remain). The subject property is located at 3763 Promontory Street and is described as Assessor's Parcel No. 423-444-04. The R-1500 zoned site is within the Pacific Beach Community Plan area.

Under the provisions of the ordinance, only a limited number of building permits are allowed per community planning area. This is not a variance from development standards, but would allow the project as described to proceed under the building permit process for the specific amount of units requested. The project is required to meet all applicable City development standards.

(Case-88-6066 (Accelerated). District-6.)

19881101

Subitem-A: (R-89-1064 Rev.1) ADOPTED AS RESOLUTION R-272254,  
GRANTED VARIANCE

Adoption of a Resolution granting or denying the variance request for Case-88-4137 with appropriate findings to support Council action.

Subitem-B: (R-89- ) NOTED AND FILED

Adoption of a Resolution granting or denying the variance request for Case-88-6009 with appropriate findings to support Council action.

Subitem-C: (R-89-1065) ADOPTED AS RESOLUTION R-272255,  
DENIED VARIANCE

Adoption of a Resolution granting or denying the variance request for Case-88-6066 with appropriate findings to support Council action.

FILE LOCATION: ZONE - IDO VARIANCE -

Subitem A Case-88-4137;

Subitem B Case-88-6009;

Subitem C Case-88-6066

COUNCIL ACTION: (Tape location: A121-460.)

Hearing began at 10:21 a.m. and halted at 10:45 a.m.

Testimony in favor of Subitem A by Greg Burzette.

Testimony in opposition to Subitem A by Al Strohlein.

Testimony in favor of Subitem B by Gary Saerbak.

Testimony in favor of Subitem C by Judith Vandruff.

Testimony in opposition to Subitem C by Al Strohlein.

MOTION BY ROBERTS TO APPROVE SUBITEM A, GRANTING THE VARIANCE.

Second by Pratt. Passed by the following vote:

Wolfsheimer-yea, Roberts-yea, McColl-yea, Pratt-yea, Struiksma-yea, Henderson-not present, McCarty-yea, Filner-not present, Mayor O'Connor-not present.

MOTION BY McCARTY TO NOTE AND FILE SUBITEM B. Second by Pratt.

Passed by the following vote: Wolfsheimer-yea, Roberts-yea, McColl-yea, Pratt-yea, Struiksma-yea, Henderson-not present, McCarty-yea, Filner-not present, Mayor O'Connor-not present.

MOTION BY ROBERTS TO DENY THE VARIANCE FOR SUBITEM C. Second by

Wolfsheimer. Passed by the following vote: Wolfsheimer-yea, Roberts-yea, McColl-yea, Pratt-yea, Struiksma-yea, Henderson-not present, McCarty-yea, Filner-not present, Mayor O'Connor-not present.

ITEM-333: (R-89-1033) ADOPTED AS RESOLUTION R-272300, GRANTED INITIATION REQUEST

(Continued from the meeting of September 13, 1988, Item 331, at Council Member Roberts' request, for further review; Trailed from the adjourned meeting of October 4, 1988, Item 330; continued from the meeting of October 10, 1988, Item 212; at the City Attorney's request, for further review.)

In the matter of the request of Edward and Barbara Malone that the City of San Diego initiate a community plan amendment to redesignate an 8.57-acre site to allow 30-45 dwelling units per acre and to add 163 units to the Development Intensity Element for certain property within

the University Community Plan area. The subject property is located on the east side of Genesee Avenue between Campus Point Drive and Fez Street.

(District-1. )

A motion granting or denying the initiation request.

19881101

FILE LOCATION: LAND - University Community Plan

COUNCIL ACTION: (Tape location: A462-B130.)

Hearing began at 10:45 a.m. and recessed at 11:05 a.m.

Hearing resumed at 11:08 a.m. and halted at 11:09 a.m.

Testimony in favor by Don Worley.

Motion by Wolfsheimer to deny the initiation request. Second by McCarty. Second withdrawn.

Motion by Struiksma to go forward and set the hearing. Second by McCarty. No vote.

MOTION BY WOLFSHEIMER TO GRANT THE INITIATION REQUEST FOR A HEARING. Second by Roberts. Passed by the following vote:

Wolfsheimer-yea, Roberts-yea, McColl-yea, Pratt-yea,

Struiksma-yea, Henderson-not present, McCarty-yea, Filner-not present, Mayor O'Connor-not present.

ITEM-334: (R-89- ) CONTINUED TO NOVEMBER 29, 1988, AT 10:00 A.M.

(Continued from the meeting of September 20, 1988, Item 342a, at Council Member Henderson's request, to allow the Community Planning Group to review the project.)

A variance request from the Interim Development Ordinance (IDO) for a 5-unit project (includes proposed units and any existing units to remain). The subject property is located at 1145 Oliver Avenue and is described as Assessor's Parcel No. 423-252-06. The R-1500 zoned site is within the Pacific Beach Community Plan area.

(Case-88-4118 (Accelerated). District-6.)

Adoption of a Resolution granting or denying the variance request for IDO Variance Case-88-4118 with appropriate findings to support Council action.

FILE LOCATION: ZONE - IDO Variance Case-88-4118

COUNCIL ACTION: (Tape location: A021-050.)

MOTION BY McCARTY TO CONTINUE TO NOVEMBER 29, 1988, AT 10:00 A.M., AT TOM GADE'S REQUEST. Second by Struiksma. Passed by the following vote: Wolfsheimer-yea, Roberts-yea, McColl-yea, Pratt-yea, Struiksma-yea, Henderson-not present, McCarty-yea, Filner-not present, Mayor O'Connor-yea.

ITEM-335: (R-89-730) CONTINUED TO NOVEMBER 15, 1988,  
2:00 P.M.

Designating a Redevelopment Survey Area for the Centre  
City Area of San Diego in accordance with the California  
Community Redevelopment Law.

(See memorandum from CCDC Executive Vice President dated  
10/6/88. Centre City Community Area. District-8.)

FILE LOCATION: --

COUNCIL ACTION: (Tape location: E032-039.)

MOTION BY ROBERTS TO CONTINUE TO NOVEMBER 15, 1988, AT  
2:00 P.M., FOR FURTHER REVIEW AS REQUESTED BY COUNCIL MEMBER  
FILNER. Second by Struiksma. Passed by the following vote:  
Wolfsheimer-yea, Roberts-yea, McColl-yea, Pratt-yea,  
Struiksma-yea, Henderson-not present, McCarty-yea, Filner-not  
present, Mayor O'Connor-not present.

ITEM-336: (R-89-744) CONTINUED TO NOVEMBER 15, 1988,  
2:00 P.M.

Designating the San Diego State University Foundation  
Redevelopment Survey Area.

(State University Community Area. District-7.)

NOTE: See Item 337 on today's docket for a companion item.

FILE LOCATION: --

COUNCIL ACTION: (Tape location: E311-340; E501-F100.)

Motion by McCarty to adopt the survey area, deleting that  
portion of the resolution which states: "Also included in the  
Survey Area is a rectangular portion of Rancho Mission area  
immediately north of the campus and across Interstate 8".

Second by Struiksma. Failed. Yeas: 3,4,5,7. Nays: 1,2,M;  
Not present: 6,8.

MOTION BY McCARTY TO CONTINUE ITEMS 336, 337 and ITEM 3 OF THE  
REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY AGENDA TO NOVEMBER 15, 1988, AT 2:00 P.M.

Second by Struiksma. Passed by the following vote:  
Wolfsheimer-yea, Roberts-yea, McColl-yea, Pratt-yea,  
Struiksma-yea, Henderson-not present, McCarty-yea, Filner-not  
present, Mayor O'Connor-yea.

ITEM-337: (R-89-745) CONTINUED TO NOVEMBER 15, 1988,  
2:00 P.M.

Accepting a \$10,000 advance against expenses from the San  
Diego State University Foundation; transferring \$10,000 to  
the Redevelopment Agency.

(State University Community Area. District-7.)

CITY MANAGER SUPPORTING INFORMATION: On August 26, 1988, the San Diego State University Foundation (SDSUF) requested the Planning Commission to consider recommending to the City Council establishing a survey area. The purpose of the request is to determine the desirability and feasibility of implementing a redevelopment project adjacent to San Diego State University. In their request, SDSUF committed to hold the City/Agency harmless for costs incurred in the redevelopment process and included \$10,000 as an advance against expenses. This action will accept the \$10,000 from SDSUF and transfer the funds to the Agency to cover staff costs in the redevelopment process. Aud. Cert. 8900358.

NOTE: See Item 336 on today's docket and the Redevelopment Agency Agenda of November 1, 1988 for companion items.

FILE LOCATION: --

COUNCIL ACTION: (Tape location: E501-F100.)

MOTION BY McCARTY TO CONTINUE ITEMS 336, 337, AND ITEM 3 OF THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY AGENDA TO NOVEMBER 15, 1988, AT 2:00 P.M. Second by Struiksma. Passed by the following vote: Wolfsheimer-yea, Roberts-yea, McColl-yea, Pratt-yea, Struiksma-yea, Henderson-not present, McCarty-yea, Filner-not present, Mayor O'Connor-yea.

Nov-1-1988

ITEM-S500: WELCOMED

Welcoming 28 students from San Ysidro Middle School, accompanied by Betsy Betanzos. This group will arrive at approximately 10:00 a.m. (District-8.)

FILE LOCATION: MEET

COUNCIL ACTION: (Tape location: A010-020.)

ITEM-S501: (O-89-86 Rev.1) INTRODUCED AND ADOPTED AS AMENDED AS ORDINANCE O-17170 (New Series)

19881101

(Continued from the meeting of October 25, 1988, Item S501, at Council Member Roberts' request, to have staff rewrite the Ordinance to include a discretionary permit review process.)

Introduction and adoption of an Emergency Ordinance limiting issuance of building permits and commencement of construction in a portion of Centre City San Diego for a

period of one year.

This Ordinance establishes, as an emergency measure, height limits of 50 feet and 120 feet in specified portions of the Harborview area of Centre City and floor area ratio designations in the Cortez and Core areas.

(Centre City Community Area. District-8.)

NOTE: Six votes required.

FILE LOCATION: LAND - Centre City Community Plan

COUNCIL ACTION: (Tape location: B140-D040; E346-501.)

MOTION BY ROBERTS TO SET MAXIMUM REQUIREMENTS FARs FOR HEIGHTS IN KEEPING WITH THE SPECIFIC LANGUAGE CONTAINED IN THE CCPC (CENTRE CITY PLANNING COMMITTEE) REPORT. IN THE HARBORVIEW AREA - MAXIMUM FAR 6.0, WITH GENERALLY A 50-FOOT HEIGHT LIMIT.

CORTEZ AREA - MAXIMUM 6.0 FAR, WITH FAA GOVERNED HEIGHT LIMITS.

ASH STREET MALL - 250-FOOT HEIGHT LIMIT, 7.0 MAXIMUM FAR. CORE

AREAS - 10.0 FAR, WITH FAA GOVERNED HEIGHT LIMITS. ALL FINAL

BUILDING PERMITS GRANTED AFTER TODAY WILL BE SUBJECT TO

DISCRETIONARY REVIEW BASED ON, BUT NOT NECESSARILY LIMITED TO,

POINTS 1-8. COUNCIL MAY REVIEW, BUT NOT NECESSARILY BE LIMITED

TO, THE FOLLOWING CRITERIA: IN THAT LIST WILL BE POINTS 1-8.

AMEND LANGUAGE IN POINTS 4 AND 5 TO OMIT THE WORD "ADDITIONAL",

AND DELETE ITEM 9. THIS WILL INCLUDE THE COUNTY MALL AREA AND

WILL GIVE THE COUNCIL COMPLETE DISCRETIONARY REVIEW OVER THAT

AREA. IT ALSO ENDORSES THE IDEA OF ALL THESE PERMITS, AT LEAST

FOR SOME LIMITED TIME, COMING BACK TO THE COUNCIL. AT A LATER

DATE, WE WILL DIRECT SOME OF THESE PERMITS TO THE PLANNING

DIRECTOR AND CITY PLANNING ARCHITECT FOR APPROVAL AS OPPOSED TO

CITY COUNCIL APPROVAL. DELETE THE GRANDFATHER PROVISION FROM

SECTION 11. DELETE THE "WHEREAS" PARAGRAPH WHICH STATES, "THE

CITY COUNCIL/REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO WILL

BE CONSIDERING THE INITIATION OF A REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA TO

COVER THE ENTIRE DOWNTOWN AREA;" Second by McColl. (NOTE:

Trailed as unfinished business to Tuesday, 11-1-88, p.m. for

lack of six affirmative votes as follows: Wolfsheimer-yea,

Roberts-yea, McColl-yea, Pratt-yea, Struiksmas-not present,

Henderson-not present, McCarty-nay, Filner-not present, Mayor

O'Connor-yea.

MOTION BY ROBERTS TO SET MAXIMUM REQUIREMENTS FARs FOR HEIGHTS IN KEEPING WITH THE SPECIFIC LANGUAGE CONTAINED IN THE CCPC (CENTRE CITY PLANNING COMMITTEE) REPORT. IN THE HARBORVIEW AREA

- MAXIMUM FAR 6.0, WITH GENERALLY A 50-FOOT HEIGHT LIMIT.

CORTEZ AREA - MAXIMUM 6.0 FAR, WITH FAA GOVERNED HEIGHT LIMITS.

ASH STREET MALL - 250-FOOT HEIGHT LIMIT, 7.0 MAXIMUM FAR. CORE

AREAS - 10.0 FAR, WITH FAA GOVERNED HEIGHT LIMITS. ALL FINAL

BUILDING PERMITS GRANTED AFTER TODAY WILL BE SUBJECT TO

DISCRETIONARY REVIEW BASED ON, BUT NOT NECESSARILY LIMITED TO, POINTS 1-8. COUNCIL MAY REVIEW, BUT NOT NECESSARILY BE LIMITED TO, THE FOLLOWING CRITERIA: IN THAT LIST WILL BE POINTS 1-8. AMEND LANGUAGE IN POINTS 4 AND 5 TO OMIT THE WORD "ADDITIONAL", AND DELETE ITEM 9. THIS WILL INCLUDE THE COUNTY MALL AREA AND WILL GIVE THE COUNCIL COMPLETE DISCRETIONARY REVIEW OVER THAT AREA. IT ALSO ENDORSES THE IDEA OF ALL THESE PERMITS, AT LEAST FOR SOME LIMITED TIME, COMING BACK TO THE COUNCIL. AT A LATER DATE, WE WILL DIRECT SOME OF THESE PERMITS TO THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY PLANNING ARCHITECT FOR APPROVAL AS OPPOSED TO CITY COUNCIL APPROVAL. DELETE THE GRANDFATHER PROVISION FROM SECTION 11. DELETE THE "WHEREAS" PARAGRAPH WHICH STATES, "THE CITY COUNCIL/REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO WILL BE CONSIDERING THE INITIATION OF A REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA TO COVER THE ENTIRE DOWNTOWN AREA." Second by McColl. Passed by the following vote: Wolfsheimer-yea, Roberts-yea, McColl-yea, Pratt-yea, Struiksma-yea, Henderson-not present, McCarty-nay, Filner-not present, Mayor O'Connor-yea.

ITEM-S502: (R-89-699) ADOPTED AS RESOLUTION R-272257

(Continued from the meeting of October 24, 1988, Item 207, taken October 25, 1988, at Council Member Wolfsheimer's request, to allow time for a more lengthy discussion.)

Approving the budget for the San Diego Data Processing Corporation for the Fiscal Year 1989.

(See memorandum from the City Manager dated 10/11/88.)

CITY MANAGER SUPPORTING INFORMATION: The operating agreement between the City and the San Diego Data Processing Corporation requires that an annual budget be submitted to and approved by the City Council. The Corporation has submitted a budget for FY 1989 totaling \$23,943,012. Of this amount, \$21,056,067 reflects expenditures for services to City Departments. These costs represent \$16,224,691 for Computer Services, and \$4,831,376 for Telecommunication Services. The remaining amount primarily reflects Automated Regional Justice Information System (ARJIS) costs to be recovered from other participating cities, the City/County Library Circulation System, and the County's contribution to the Regional Urban Information System (RUIS).

FILE LOCATION: MEET

COUNCIL ACTION: (Tape location: E040-304.)

MOTION BY WOLFSHEIMER TO ADOPT AND TO BRING TO COUNCIL A FULL ACCOUNTING OF THE EXCESS FUNDS; AND TO ALSO BRING TO COUNCIL, FOR THEIR REVIEW, THE RESULTS OF THE RFP AND THE

TELECOMMUNICATIONS CONTRACT. Second by McCarty. Passed by the following vote: Wolfsheimer-yea, Roberts-yea, McColl-yea, Pratt-yea, Struiksmayea, Henderson-not present, McCarty-yea, Filner-not present, Mayor O'Connor-yea.

ITEM-CS-1: (R-88-804) ADOPTED AS RESOLUTION R-272256

A Resolution adopted by the City Council in Closed Session on November 1, 1988:

Authorizing the City Manager to pay the sum of \$36,000 in the settlement of each and every claim against the City of San Diego, its agents and employees, Superior Court Case 589238, John La Bella v. The City of San Diego et al, resulting from personal injuries to John La Bella.

Authorizing the City Auditor and Comptroller to issue one check to John La Bella and his attorney, Kenneth Satis in the amount of \$36,000 in full settlement of all claims.

FILE LOCATION: MEET

NON-DOCKET ITEMS:

ITEM-ND-1: (R-88-1037) ADOPTED AS RESOLUTION R-272258

19881101

A resolution supporting San Diego County's Proposition A, Gann Spending Limit Waiver, on the November 8, 1988 ballot.

FILE LOCATION: MEET

COUNCIL ACTION: (Tape location: F128-130.)

By common consent the item was accepted for Council consideration.

MOTION BY PRATT TO ADOPT THE RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSITION A. Second by McColl. Passed by the following vote: Wolfsheimer-yea, Roberts-yea, McColl-yea, Pratt-yea, Struiksmayea, Henderson-not present, McCarty-not present, Filner-not present, Mayor O'Connor-yea.

COUNCIL COMMENT:

None.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

ITEM-PC-2: REFERRED TO THE CITY MANAGER

Comments by Mark MacKenzie regarding the impact the downtown redevelopment has on crime in San Diego.

FILE LOCATION: AGENDA

COUNCIL ACTION: (Tape location: F131-140.)

ADJOURNMENT:

By common consent the meeting was adjourned by Mayor  
O'Connor at 3:17 p.m.

FILE LOCATION: MINUTES

COUNCIL ACTION: (Tape location: F141).