

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA  
MINUTES FOR REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING  
OF  
MONDAY, MAY 19, 2008  
AT 2:00 P.M.  
IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS - 12TH FLOOR

Table of Contents

CHRONOLOGY OF THE MEETING.....	2
ATTENDANCE DURING THE MEETING .....	2
ITEM-1: ROLL CALL.....	3
ITEM-10: INVOCATION .....	3
ITEM-20: PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.....	3
CLOSED SESSION ITEMS.....	4
ITEM-150: Water Department Business Process Reengineering (BPR) Report .....	7
ITEM-200: City Council Discussion of the Fiscal Year 2009 Proposed Budget .....	10
ITEM-201: Authorizing the Appropriation and Transfer of \$5,000,000 from the General Fund Unallocated Reserve to the General Fund Appropriated Reserve .....	11
ITEM-202: Police Department Business Process Reengineering (BPR) Study .....	14
ITEM-203: Fire-Rescue Department Business Process Reengineering (BPR) .....	16
ITEM-S400: Fiscal Year 2007/2008 Proposition 1B – Local Streets and Roads Improvement, Congestion Relief, and Traffic Safety .....	19
ITEM-250: <b>Notice</b> of Pending Final Map Approval – Missouri Street Condominiums .....	21
ITEM-251: SUBMISSION OF BALLOT PROPOSALS.....	22
REPORT OUT OF CLOSED SESSION .....	23
NON-DOCKET ITEMS .....	23
ADJOURNMENT.....	24

**CHRONOLOGY OF THE MEETING:**

The meeting was called to order by Council President Peters at 2:06 p.m. Council President Peters recessed the meeting at 3:25 for the purpose of a break. Council President Peters reconvened the meeting at 3:32 p.m. with all Council Members present. Council President Peters recessed the meeting at 4:20 p.m. for the purpose of a break. Council President Peters reconvened the meeting at 4:24 p.m. with Council Member Maienschein and Council Member Frye not present. The meeting was adjourned by Council President Pro Tem Madaffer at 5:24 p.m.

**ATTENDANCE DURING THE MEETING:**

- (1) Council Member Peters-present
  - (2) Council Member Faulconer-present
  - (3) Council Member Atkins-present
  - (4) Council Member Young-present
  - (5) Council Member Maienschein-present
  - (6) Council Member Frye-present
  - (7) Council Member Madaffer-present
  - (8) Council Member Hueso-present
- Clerk-Maland (sr)

FILE LOCATION:                      MINUTES



ITEM-1: ROLL CALL

Clerk Maland called the roll:

- (1) Council Member Peters-present
- (2) Council Member Faulconer-present
- (3) Council Member Atkins-present
- (4) Council Member Young-present
- (5) Council Member Maienschein-present
- (6) Council Member Frye-present
- (7) Council Member Madaffer-present
- (8) Council Member Hueso-present

FILE LOCATION: MINUTES



ITEM-10: INVOCATION

Invocation was given by Reverend Al Jesse of Our Redeemer Lutheran Church.

FILE LOCATION: MINUTES



ITEM-20: PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Council Member Hueso.

FILE LOCATION: MINUTES

CLOSED SESSION ITEMS:

**Conference with Legal Counsel - existing litigation, pursuant to California Government Code Section 54956.9(a):**

**CS-1** *City of San Diego, et al. v. Kinder Morgan, et al.*  
U. S. District Court Case No. 07-CV-1883 W (CAB)

**REFERRED TO CLOSED SESSION OF TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2008**

DCA's Assigned: J. Serrano and G. Lowenberg

This matter involves a pending lawsuit in federal court relating to contamination of the Qualcomm Stadium site. In Closed Session, the City Council will be updated on the status of the litigation.

FILE LOCATION: AGENDA

COUNCIL ACTION: (Time duration: 4:08 p.m. - 4:18 p.m.)

**Council President Peters closed the hearing.**

**Conference with Real Property Negotiator, pursuant to California Government Code Section 54956.8:**

**CS-2 Property:** 5036-60 Sterling Court, San Diego (south end of Winona Avenue)

Agency Negotiator: Jim Barwick, Director, Real Estate Assets Department

Negotiating Party: Larry Zajonc

Under Negotiation: Price and terms of payment

**REFERRED TO CLOSED SESSION OF TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2008**

DCA Assigned: K. Davies

Discussion of negotiations regarding the offer received from Larry Zajonc for the sale of his property to the City for a proposed park (Fox Canyon).

Closed Session Comment 1:

John Stump commented on the need for open-space parks in City Heights and requested a continuance of this item.

Closed Session Comment 2:

Larry Zajonc commented on the status of the property.

FILE LOCATION: AGENDA

COUNCIL ACTION: (Time duration: 4:08 p.m. - 4:18 p.m.)

**Council President Peters closed the hearing.**

**Conference with Labor Negotiator, pursuant to Government Code Section 54957.6:**

**CS-3 Agency Negotiator:** Steven Berliner, Richard Kreisler, Lisa Briggs, Scott Chadwick, Tanya Tomlinson, Thom Harpole, Abby Jarl, Hadi Dehghani, Val VanDeweghe, Rod Betts, Jessica Falk Michelli, William Gersten, Lori Thacker, and Alan Hersh

**Employee Organizations:** Municipal Employees Association, AFSCME Local 127 AFL-CIO, and Deputy City Attorney Association

**REFERRED TO CLOSED SESSION OF TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2008**

DCA's Assigned: W. Gersten/J. Falk Michelli/L. Thacker/A. Hersh

The Mayor and City Council will be updated on the status of the labor negotiations.

FILE LOCATION: AGENDA

COUNCIL ACTION: (Time duration: 4:08 p.m. - 4:18 p.m.)

**Council President Peters closed the hearing.**

**CS-4 Agency Negotiator:** Steven Berliner, Richard Kreisler, Lisa Briggs, Scott Chadwick, Tanya Tomlinson, Thom Harpole, Abby Jarl, Hadi Dehghani, Val VanDeweghe, Rod Betts, Jessica Falk Michelli, William Gersten, Lori Thacker, and Alan Hersh

**Employee Organizations:** Municipal Employees Association, AFSCME Local 127 AFL-CIO, and Deputy City Attorney Association

**REFERRED TO CLOSED SESSION OF TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2008**

DCAs Assigned: W. Gersten/J. Falk Michelli/L. Thacker/A. Hersh

Resolution of impasse on contract negotiations.

FILE LOCATION: AGENDA

COUNCIL ACTION: (Time duration: 4:08 p.m. - 4:18 p.m.)

**Council President Peters closed the hearing.**



[ITEM-150](#): Water Department Business Process Reengineering (BPR) Report.

(See Report to the City Council No. 08-078.)

**STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION:**

Adopt the following resolution:

(R-2008-773 Cor.Copy)      ADOPTED AS RESOLUTION R-303702

Accepting the Water Department Business Process Reengineering (BPR) Report.

**STAFF SUPPORTING INFORMATION:**

The Mayor has commenced BPR efforts to improve efficiencies, reduce cost of City government and to maximize the services offered to our residents. BPR focuses on optimizing the efficiency and effectiveness of operational processes and functional work groups. On July 31, 2006, the City Council adopted Ordinance O-19523, establishing a policy for the implementation of BPR results (Report to City Council No. 06-094).

The mission of the Water Department is to provide San Diego with safe, reliable, cost-effective water and outstanding customer service in an environmentally sensitive manner. It does so with the help of 851 full-time employees budgeted for FY 08 working under five Divisions:

Water Operations	484 employees
Customer Support	195 employees
Engineering and CIP Project Management	55 employees
Administration	79 employees
Water Policy and Strategic Planning	38 employees

The Department has a history of looking for ways to improve operations and customer service. In 1997, the Department completed an organization-wide reengineering effort that resulted in the restructuring of several functions and divisions. On October 2001, the consulting firm of Black and Veatch completed an independent management review of department operations. The department developed and published its Strategic Business Plan in July 2002 (subsequently updated in March 2006) which, among other efficiencies, implemented Balanced Scorecards as metrics to measure and monitor progress on implementing initiatives and overall performance in an effort to become "Best-In-Class."

Almost 80% of the Water Department is currently participating in the City's Bid-to-Goal Program (Operations Division is completing its fourth year of a five year contract and the Customer Support Division is finishing its second year of implementation.) So far, this program has achieved approximately \$30 million in savings for these two divisions.

The rest of the Department is covered either by this BPR Report or by Citywide BPR effort. This BPR Report focuses on several processes within the smaller remaining divisions: Water Policy and Strategic Planning, and Business and Support Services. This effort covers a total of 61 employees or 7.1% of the Department's staff. However, the work functions selected for detailed analysis constitute only part of the workload for the staff of those Divisions. The Full Time Equivalent employees (FTE's) for the As-Is functions reviewed in this BPR total 12.50 which represents 1.5% of the department's budgeted staff.

#### Functions Reviewed

The processes included in this BPR effort are as follows:

- Accounts Payable - Creating Requisitions
- Accounts Payable - Invoice Processing
- CIP Analyst Project Monitoring
- Interagency Contract Administration
- Grants Application and Administration
- Initial Recycled Water Cross-Connection Control
- Long Range Planning
- Legislative Policy Review and Policy Prioritization
- Mandated Training and Safety Program
- Curriculum Development, Scheduling and Enrollment

#### Findings and Recommendations

Given that most of the Water Department was excluded from this BPR analysis because it was covered either by a Bid-to-Goal contract or subject to City-wide BPR efforts, the savings identified in the scope of this study are very narrow. While the total FTE's required to perform the several, disparate work functions included in this BPR study could theoretically be reduced from 12.50 to 10.67, that potential savings of 1.83 FTE's represents numerous job classifications that all have other responsibilities than those studied here. Unfortunately, the savings cannot be consolidated into a single job classification or position. Therefore, this study cannot recommend the elimination of any positions.

Even so, the Department was able to identify some opportunities for increasing efficiency and effectiveness. Duplicate training classes were eliminated. The proposed automation of invoice processing and training enrollment would significantly cut process steps and wait time. While some recommendations would add positions, such proposed staffing increases are seen as enhancing prospects for grant funding, meeting expectations of State and County regulator and improving service to both internal and external customers. However, existing positions were realigned to address these new staffing needs. A detailed description of the specific recommendations is outlined in the BPR Final Report.

Peer reviews showed that current processes mirror those employed by other agencies and municipalities and those aspects that worked well for other agencies, along with valuable stakeholder input, were incorporated into the proposed To-Be processes. The BPR project allowed the Water Department the opportunity to learn from both stakeholders and other agencies.

FISCAL CONSIDERATIONS: None.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL and/or COMMITTEE ACTION:

City Council previously approved the Bid-to-Goal Programs for Water Operations and Customer Support and Citywide BPR Reports on Engineering, Information Technology, and Human Resources organizational efficiency.

COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION AND PUBLIC OUTREACH EFFORTS:

Stakeholders were invited to meetings to discuss improvements they would like to see in current processes. Some of these meetings were held off-site (outside Downtown San Diego) to encourage stakeholder attendance. Stakeholders interviewed included actual customers and representatives from retail and wholesale water agencies, municipalities, the County of San Diego, regulatory agencies, and City of San Diego employees from the Water Department and other departments who take part in each of these processes under review.

KEY STAKEHOLDERS AND PROJECTED IMPACTS:

All ratepayers benefit from reduced wasted time on invoice processing and scheduling of training classes. Recommended process improvements also enhance customer service and communications among City departments and other agencies.

Ruiz/Barret

FILE LOCATION: MEET

COUNCIL ACTION: (Time duration: 3:30 p.m. - 3:47 p.m.)

MOTION BY MADAFFER TO ADOPT. Second by Hueso. Passed by the following vote: Peters-yea, Faulconer-yea, Atkins-yea, Young-yea, Maienschein-yea, Frye-yea, Madaffer-yea, Hueso-yea.



ITEM-200: City Council Discussion of the Fiscal Year 2009 Proposed Budget.

**INDEPENDENT BUDGET ANALYST'S RECOMMENDATION:**

HEARING HELD

Allow for City Council discussion and budget deliberation of the Fiscal Year 2009 Proposed Budget.

**SUPPORTING INFORMATION:**

There is no requested Council action with this item. This item is to allow for City Council discussions and deliberations on the FY 2009 Proposed Budget, which will be voted on at a later date.

FILE LOCATION: MEET

COUNCIL ACTION: (Time duration: 4:18 p.m. - 4:20 p.m.;  
4:24 p.m. - 5:11 p.m.)



ITEM-201: Authorizing the Appropriation and Transfer of \$5,000,000 from the General Fund Unallocated Reserve to the General Fund Appropriated Reserve.

(See Independent Budget Analyst Report No. 08-43.)

**STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION:**

Introduce and adopt the following ordinance:

(O-2008-149 Rev. 1) INTRODUCED AND ADOPTED AS AMENDED  
AS ORDINANCE O-19751 (NEW SERIES)

Introduction and adoption of an Ordinance amending Ordinance No. O-19652 (New Series) entitled "An Ordinance Adopting the Annual Budget for the Fiscal Year 2008 and Appropriating the Necessary Money to Operate the City of San Diego for Said Fiscal Year", by appropriating \$5,000,000 from the General Fund Unallocated Reserve to Fund 100, Dept. 602, General Fund Appropriated Reserve;

Amending the Appropriation Ordinance to authorize the City Auditor and Comptroller, upon approval by Council resolution, to transfer and expend appropriations from the General Fund Appropriated Reserve to other General Fund departments and to General Fund contributions to the CIP;

Ratifying and approving the following Council resolutions and the actions taken:

- a) Transfer up to \$2,219,500.18 from General Fund Appropriated Reserve (Dept. 602) to General Fund Citywide Program Expenditures (Dept. 601) then transfer to the Public Liability Fund (81140) and other enterprise funds based upon the FTE allocation. This transfer is for payment of court ordered legal fees relating to SDCERS litigation. R303276 passed on December 12, 2007.
- b) Transfer \$2,000,000 from Appropriated Reserve (Dept. 602) to General Fund Contributions to the CIP (Fund 630221) for emergency repair of Soledad Mountain Road. R-303265 passed on December 18, 2007.
- c) Transfer \$800,000 from General Fund Appropriated Reserve (Dept. 602) to the City Planning and Community Investment Department (Dept. 065) and authorize to expend up to \$800,000 for the completion of the Otay Mesa Community Plan Update. R-303275 passed on December 18, 2007.

- d) Transfer \$792,181 from General Fund Appropriated Reserve (Dept. 602) to the City Planning and Community Investment Department (Dept. 065) for the Grantville Master Plan consulting contract. R-303550 passed on April 1, 2008.
- e) Transfer \$184,750 from General Fund Appropriated Reserve (Dept. 602) to the General Fund Citywide Program Expenditures (Dept. 601) for the first amendment to the Agreement with Liebert Cassidy Whitmore for professional services. R-303500 passed on April 8, 2008.

**STAFF SUPPORTING INFORMATION:**

The General Fund Reserve Policy (O-19679), adopted on November 13, 2007, established the General Fund Appropriated Reserve Department (Dept. 602) and appropriated \$7,000,000 to this department from the General Fund unallocated reserves. It was the intention of the policy to allow for transfers from the Appropriated Reserve Department to other General Fund Departments authorized by Council resolution. However, O-19679 did not include the language necessary to amend the Fiscal Year 2008 Appropriation Ordinance (O-19652) to provide this authority. Therefore, in order to allow the City Comptroller to carry out the intended purpose of the Reserve Policy and fund unanticipated operational needs during Fiscal Year 2008, it is necessary to amend the Appropriation Ordinance to allow transfers to be authorized by Council resolution. Ratification of the following listed actions that have been previously approved via Council resolution is necessary to allow these actions to be completed.

1. Transfer up to \$2,219,500.18 from General Fund Appropriated Reserve (Dept. 602) to General Fund Citywide Program Expenditures (Dept. 601) then transfer to the Public Liability Fund (81140) and other enterprise funds based upon the FTE allocation. This transfer is for payment of court ordered legal fees relating to SDCERS. R-303276 passed on December 12, 2007.
2. Transfer \$2,000,000 from Appropriated Reserve (Dept. 602) to General Fund Contributions to the CIP (Fund 630221) for emergency repair of Soledad Mountain Road. R-303265 passed on December 18, 2007.
3. Transfer \$800,000 from General Fund Appropriated Reserve (Dept. 602) to the City Planning and Community Investment Department (Dept. 065) and authorize to expend up to \$800,000 for the completion of the Otay Mesa Community Plan Update. R-303275 passed on December 18, 2007.

4. Transfer \$184,750 from General Fund Appropriated Reserve (Dept. 602) to the General Fund Citywide Program Expenditures (Dept. 601) for the First Amendment to the Agreement with Liebert, Cassidy Whitmore for professional services. R-303500 passed on April 8, 2008.

The following actions to transfer appropriations from the General Fund Appropriated Reserve were approved by City Council by Ordinance and no further action is needed.

5. Transfer up to \$369,500 from General Fund Appropriated Reserve (Dept. 602) to General Fund Citywide Program Expenditures (Dept. 601) for services provided by Hawkins, Delafield & Wood. O-19695 passed on December 18, 2007.
6. Transfer \$40,000 from General Fund Appropriated Reserve (Dept. 602) to Council Administration (Dept. 029) for the addition of 1.0 committee consultant to the Ad Hoc Committee on Fire Prevention. O-19703 passed on January 22, 2008.
7. Transfer \$50,000 from General Fund Appropriated Reserve (Dept. 602) to Office of the Independent Budget Analyst (Dept. 030) for the addition of 1.0 Office of the Independent Budget Analyst staff member. O-19702 passed on January 28, 2008. To replenish depleted reserves in the General Fund Appropriated Reserve, it is requested that Council Approve and Authorize the City Auditor and Comptroller to appropriate \$5,000,000 from the General Fund Unallocated Reserves to the Appropriated Reserve, Fund 100, Dept. 602 for Fiscal Year 2008.

FISCAL CONSIDERATIONS:

The amount of \$5,000,000 will be appropriated from the General Fund Unallocated Reserve, reducing the General Fund Unallocated Reserve.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL and/or COMMITTEE ACTION: N/A

COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION AND PUBLIC OUTREACH EFFORTS: N/A

KEY STAKEHOLDERS AND PROJECTED IMPACTS: N/A

Tirandazi/Lewis

Aud. Cert. 2800732.

Staff: Nader Tirandazi - (619) 236-6060  
Brant Will - Deputy City Attorney

**NOTE:** Today's action is the second public hearing and introduction and adoption of the Ordinance. See Item 200 on the docket of Monday, May 12, 2008, for the first public hearing.

FILE LOCATION: MEET

COUNCIL ACTION: (Time duration: 3:47 p.m. - 3:57 p.m.)

MOTION BY MADAFFER TO INTRODUCE, DISPENSE WITH THE READING AND ADOPT THE ORDINANCE AS AMENDED BY APPROPRIATING \$2,500,000 INSTEAD OF \$5,000,000. Second by Hueso. Passed by the following vote: Peters-yea, Faulconer-yea, Atkins-yea, Young-yea, Maienschein-yea, Frye-nay, Madaffer-yea, Hueso-yea.



ITEM-202: Police Department Business Process Reengineering (BPR) Study.

(See Report to the City Council No. 08-076.)

**STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION:**

Adopt the following resolution:

(R-2008-918) ADOPTED AS RESOLUTION R-303703

Accepting the report of the Police Department's BPR Review and the recommendations listed in Attachment A to the Report;

Declaring that any expenditures, new funding, or budgetary adjustments are still subject to the usual City processes, including compliance with all federal, state, and local laws, and all Council Policies and Administrative Regulations.

**STAFF SUPPORTING INFORMATION:**

When incoming workload exceeds the available capacity to perform that work, the result is a loss of effectiveness. Adding work capacity by streamlining work processes closes the gap between incoming workload and the ability to perform the work. This results in operational improvements throughout the organization and increased Departmental effectiveness.

The purpose of the Police Department Reengineering Study was to evaluate selected Police Department functions and make recommendations as to how to provide the citizens of San Diego the services associated with these core functions in the most effective and efficient manner. The Police Department established the following three sub-committees to conduct this evaluation: 1) Core Functions & Organizational Structure, 2) Investigative Workload, and 3) Civilian Staffing. This report contains the overall findings and recommendations from the Police Department Reengineering Study.

The work of the three sub-committees was very focused. As a result of the level of effort and commitment put forward by the members of each of the sub-committees, significant operational improvements in the way the San Diego Police Department currently does business have been identified and recommended for implementation.

While this report provides a framework for becoming a more effective and efficient Department, the work accomplished over the past year has merely laid the foundation for the work that will begin during the Implementation Phase of the project.

**PREVIOUS COUNCIL and/or COMMITTEE ACTION:** None.

**COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION AND PUBLIC OUTREACH EFFORTS:**

The Department included a number of external stakeholders with background and familiarity with the Department's operations.

**KEY STAKEHOLDERS AND PROJECTED IMPACTS:**

The Meet and Confer requirements for the relevant labor organizations (Municipal Employees Association and Police Officers Association) have been completed.

Lansdowne/Olen

Staff: Marta Williams - (619) 531-2662  
Mary T. Nuesca - Deputy City Attorney

FILE LOCATION: MEET

COUNCIL ACTION: (Time duration: 2:58 p.m. - 3:24 p.m.)

MOTION BY MADAFFER TO ADOPT. Second by Peters. Passed by the following vote: Peters-yea, Faulconer-yea, Atkins-nay, Young-yea, Maienschein-yea, Frye-yea, Madaffer-yea, Hueso-yea.



ITEM-203: Fire-Rescue Department Business Process Reengineering (BPR).

(See Report to the City Council No. 08-077.)

**STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION:**

Adopt the following resolution:

(R-2008-912 Cor. Copy) ADOPTED AS RESOLUTION R-303704

Accepting the report of the Fire-Rescue Department management's BPR Review and approving the recommendations listed in Attachment A to the Report.

**STAFF SUPPORTING INFORMATION:**

This BPR focused on a review of the Fire-Rescue Department's management practices rather than the more typical process-oriented analysis of most BPRs. Key aspects of this study are discussed in this executive summary. A listing of all BPR recommendations is provided as Attachment A while a detailed analysis of the department's staffing strategies is provided as Attachment B.

**STAFFING STRATEGIES:**

All aspects of the departments staffing strategies and practices were studied. This included a review of labor law regarding the payment of overtime (OT), firefighter work schedules, engine/truck company minimum staffing levels, the use of constant staffing to maintain minimum staffing, training of firefighters as EMTs, and a review of CY2006 staffing data to determine leave usage and cost of hiring versus OT to fill vacancies.

Recommendation/Conclusion:

The department is employing staffing strategies and practices that are efficient, cost-effective and consistent with those found in a vast majority of the 14 large fire service agencies surveyed. In CY2006, the use of overtime in lieu of additional hiring to fill short-term firefighter vacancies saved the City \$3 million in personnel costs. These savings consisted of \$1.7 million in direct cost savings and the avoidance of \$1.3 million in costs that would have been incurred by overstaffing if additional employees had been hired, in lieu of using OT.

TELESTAFF STAFFING PROGRAM:

The TeleStaff staffing software program is used to schedule work shifts for 978 Operations Division (e.g., fire fighting, helicopter program) personnel.

Recommendation/Conclusion:

The department recommends expanding the use of TeleStaff to the scheduling of all department personnel. The purchase of the reporting module for the TeleStaff program would automate many of the data gathering and reporting functions currently done manually. This will cost \$5,388, and can be absorbed in the current budget. As management of the TeleStaff system is an adjunct duty of firefighters assigned to the Airport Fire Station, no personnel reductions are possible as a result of this expenditure; however, this will result in more timely production of management reports and enable assigned personnel to devote more hours to required training and other administrative activities.

SPECIALTY TEAM AND SPECIAL STATION ASSIGNMENTS:

SDFD provides the community with a wide variety of specialty services. The use of “cross-staffed” and “cross-trained” firefighters has proven to be a cost efficient method of providing these services. However, the cross-staffing practice can compromise overall operations in those cases where an engine or truck company must be placed out-of-service to staff the specialty response apparatus.

Recommendation/Conclusion:

The department recommends that response readiness impacts be assessed as part of any decision to cross-staff emergency apparatus. In situations where the criticality of the mission and/or the response activity levels suggests the need to create stand-alone specialty units, a case should be made through the budgetary process to address these issues.

Explosive Device Team (EDT)

EDT personnel have found it increasingly difficult to meet the FBI’s monthly 16-hour training requirement under the present training structure of eight hours of dedicated training and eight hours of trailing to be conducted while on-duty and cross-staffing a fire engine/truck at Fire Station 1. This on-duty training often impacts other assigned duties and compromises training effectiveness. Due to these adverse impacts, training often falls short of the required 16 hours per month.

Recommendation/Conclusion:

The department recommends that an additional eight hours of dedicated training be provided to EDT technicians to meet FBI requirements. This will cost \$65,260 annually.

Light and Air Apparatus (LA-1)

The Light and Air apparatus are used to refill all department self-contained breathing apparatus used by firefighters. LA-I is staffed via OT for the first 12 hours of each 24-hour shift. The second 12 hours are cross-staffed with a firefighter assigned to one of the three emergency response units at Fire Station 1. The cost of this OT staffing model is \$163,665 annually.

Recommendation/Conclusion:

The department recommends that once the air compressor installations at all battalion headquarters are completed, OT staffing of the Light and Air unit be discontinued. Staffing for emergency use of the Light and Air units would then be provided by personnel assigned to Fire Stations 1 and 40 on a cross-staffed basis, as is currently the case after 8 p.m. This recommendation will result in a maximum cost savings of \$163,665 in the first year (dependent upon date of project completion) and the full amount annually thereafter.

FISCAL CONSIDERATIONS:

The following table summarizes the estimated net expenses in the FY08 and FY09 budgets. Due to the uncertain timing of implementation, these impacts have not been addressed in the FY2009 budget; however, they will be addressed once implementation is completed.

<u>Cost Impacts Expected</u>	<u>Impact</u>
FY08 (to be absorbed in current budget)	
Purchase TeleStaff reporting module	\$ 5,388
Increase monthly bomb squad training from 8 to 16 hours to comply with FBI requirements - ongoing expense (March-June FY08)	<u>\$21,753</u>
<b>Sub Total FY08</b>	<b>\$27,141</b>
	<b>(to be absorbed in current budget)</b>
<u>FY09</u>	
Discontinue OT staffing of Light and Air Unit (maximum savings dependent compressor installation completion date)	(\$163,665)
Increase monthly bomb squad training from 8 to 16 hours to comply with FBI requirements - ongoing expense	<u>\$ 65,260</u>
<b>Sub Total FY09</b>	<b>(\$ 98,405)</b>

PREVIOUS COUNCIL and/or COMMITTEE ACTION: None.

**KEY STAKEHOLDERS AND PROJECTED IMPACTS:**

The work related impacts of this BPR have been appropriately covered through the Meet and Confer process with Local 145 and MEA. The resulting cost avoidance will benefit the City.

Jarman/Olen

Staff: Tracy Jarman - (619) 533-4301  
David M. Stotland - Deputy City Attorney

**FILE LOCATION:** MEET

**COUNCIL ACTION:** (Time duration: 2:10 p.m. - 2:57 p.m.)

MOTION BY ATKINS TO ADOPT. Second by Madaffer. Passed by the following vote: Peters-yea, Faulconer-not present, Atkins-yea, Young-yea, Maienschein-yea, Frye-yea, Madaffer-yea, Hueso-not present.



**ITEM-S400:** Fiscal Year 2007/2008 Proposition 1B – Local Streets and Roads Improvement, Congestion Relief, and Traffic Safety.

(Continued from the meeting of May 13, 2008, Item 108, at the request of Councilmember Madaffer, for further review.)

**STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION:**

Adopt the following resolution:

(R-2008-1005) ADOPTED AS RESOLUTION R-303705

Authorizing the Mayor, or his designee, for and on behalf of the City, to make an application to the California Department of Finance for \$21,180,426 in Proposition 1B funds for the local streets and roads improvements;

Authorizing the Mayor, or his designee, to take all necessary actions to secure funds from the California Department of Finance for the local streets and road improvements;

Authorizing the City Auditor and Comptroller to establish a special interest-bearing fund for the grants;

Declaring that this activity is not a “project” and is therefore exempt from CEQA pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines Section 150606(c)(2). Any construction activities related to this approval will be subject to environmental review.

**STAFF SUPPORTING INFORMATION:**

In May, Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger signed Senate Bill 1266 (Perata), placing before voters during the November 7, 2006 statewide general election the Highway Safety, Traffic Reduction, Air Quality, and Port Security Bond Act of 2006. Known as Proposition 1B, this measure authorized the sale of \$19.925 billion of state general obligation bonds for specified purposes, including local street and road improvement, congestion relief, and traffic safety. The funds were equally divided between cities and counties, and were allocated by formula to local jurisdictions.

The City of San Diego’s FY2007/2008 allocation of Prop 1B funding is \$21,180,426. Projects were selected for Prop 1B funding by considering the factors in Council Policy 800-14, Prioritizing Transportation & Drainage CIP projects: Health & Safety; Capacity and Service; Project Readiness (for construction); Grant Funding Opportunities; and Reducing Maintenance Needs.

The following is the list of proposed Prop 1B projects that have been included in the FY 2009 Proposed Budget:

- 43rd Street and Logan/National Avenue Intersection (Council District 4)
- First Avenue Bridge over Maple Canyon - Rehabilitation (Council District 3)
- Guardrails (Citywide)
- Normal Street Median (Council District 3)
- Street Lights (Citywide)
- Traffic Control/Calming Measures (Citywide)
- Willow Elementary School (Council District 8)
- Resurfacing City Streets with Asphalt Overlay (Citywide)
- Ransom/Darwin Storm Drain (Council District 8)
- 6th Avenue Storm Drain (Council District 3)
- Ingulf Place Storm Drain (Council District 6)
- Resurfacing City Streets with Slurry Sealing (Citywide)

The list provides detailed information on the project description, location, schedule of completion, and useful life of the capital improvement.

FISCAL CONSIDERATIONS:

This action accepts funding by the California Department of Finance under the three-year use it or lose it provision that states all Proposition 1B Local Streets and Roads monies allocated in FY 2007-2008 must be expended by June 30, 2011.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL COMMITTEE ACTION: N/A

COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION AND PUBLIC OUTREACH EFFORTS: N/A

KEY STAKEHOLDERS AND PROJECTED IMPACTS (if applicable): N/A

Boekamp/Jarrell

Staff: Patti Boekamp - (619) 236-6274  
Ryan Kohut - Deputy City Attorney

FILE LOCATION: MEET

COUNCIL ACTION: (Time duration: 3:58 p.m. - 4:08 p.m.)

MOTION BY MADAFFER TO ADOPT. Second by Hueso. Passed by the following vote: Peters-yea, Faulconer-yea, Atkins-yea, Young-yea, Maienschein-yea, Frye-yea, Madaffer-yea, Hueso-yea.

ITEM-250: **Notice** of Pending Final Map Approval – Missouri Street Condominiums.

Notice is hereby given that the City Engineer has reviewed and will approve on this day the subdivision of land shown on that certain final map entitled “Missouri Street Condominiums” (T.M. No. 270444/PTS No. 127908), located on the south side of Missouri Street between Mission Boulevard and Bayard Street in the Pacific Beach Community Plan Area in Council District 2, a copy of which is available for public viewing at the Office of the San Diego City Clerk. Specifically, the City Engineer has caused the map to be examined and has made the following findings:

(1) The map substantially conforms to the approved tentative map, and any approved alterations thereof and any conditions of approval imposed with said tentative map.

(2) The map complies with the provisions of the Subdivision Map Act and any local ordinances applicable at the time of approval of the tentative map.

(3) The map is technically correct.

Said map will be finalized and recorded unless a valid appeal is filed. Interested parties will have 10 calendar days from the date of this Council hearing to appeal the above findings of the City Engineer to the City Council. A valid appeal must be filed with the City Clerk no later than 2:00 p.m., 10 calendar days from the date of this Notice stating briefly which of the above findings made by the City Engineer was improper or incorrect and the basis for that conclusion. If you have questions about the approval findings or need additional information about the map or your appeal rights, please feel free to contact Anne Hoppe at (619) 446-5290.

#### **ITEM-251: SUBMISSION OF BALLOT PROPOSALS**

City Council Policy 000-21 establishes the procedure for submittal of ballot proposals. The Council Policy states that members of the public shall submit proposals to the City Clerk, who shall then transmit them promptly to the Rules Committee for review and comment. The proposals must be submitted in time to allow the City Clerk to list on the Council Docket 127 days prior to the election the ballot proposals which have been referred to Council following Rules Committee review.

Therefore, the City Clerk's Office has established the following administrative guidelines for the November 4, 2008 election:

<u>DAY</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>DAYS BEFORE ELECTION</u>	<u>EVENT</u>
Friday	6/20/08	137	LAST DATE (10:00 a.m.) for public, departments and agencies to submit ballot proposals to City Clerk for review by Rules Committee
Wednesday	6/25/08	132	Rules Committee review
Monday	6/30/08	127	Council Docket (PUBLIC NOTICE) lists proposals referred by Rules Committee
Monday	7/7/08	120	Council adopts propositions for ballot; directs City Attorney to prepare ordinances
Monday	7/28/08	99	Council adopts ordinances prepared by City Attorney
Friday	8/8/08	88	Last day for City Clerk to file with Registrar of Voters all elections material
Thursday	8/21/08	75	Last day to file ballot arguments with City Clerk

If you have questions, please contact the Office of the City Clerk at (619) 533-4025.

**REPORT OUT OF CLOSED SESSION:**

None.

**NON-DOCKET ITEMS:**

None.

